arXiv:1409.2192v2 [math.RA] 20 Mar 2015

Nilpotent matrices having a given Jordan type as maximum commuting nilpotent orbit*

Anthony Iarrobino, Leila Khatami, Bart Van Steirteghem, Rui Zhao

March 20, 2015

Abstract

The Jordan type of a nilpotent matrix is the partition giving the sizes of its Jordan blocks. We study pairs of partitions (P, Q), where $Q = \mathfrak{Q}(P)$ is the Jordan type of a generic nilpotent matrix A commuting with a nilpotent matrix B of Jordan type P. T. Košir and P. Oblak have shown that Q has parts that differ pairwise by at least two. Such partitions, which are also known as "super distinct" or "Rogers-Ramanujan", are exactly those that are stable or "self-large" in the sense that $\mathfrak{Q}(Q) = Q$.

In 2012 P. Oblak formulated a conjecture concerning the cardinality of $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ when Q has two parts, and proved some special cases. R. Zhao refined this to posit that the partitions in $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ for $Q = (u, u-r)$ with $r \geq 2$ could be arranged in an $(r-1)\times(u-r)$ table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ where the entry in the k-th row and ℓ-th column has $k + \ell$ parts. We prove this Table Theorem, and then generalize the statement to propose a Box Conjecture for the set of partitions $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ for an arbitrary partition Q whose parts differ pairwise by at least two.

Contents

1 Introduction. **2**

2 Preliminaries and Background. **4**
2.1 Notation and Preliminaries. 4
2.2 Background: the poset $\mathcal{D}_P$. 6

3 The table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ for $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ when $Q = (u, u-r)$. **9**
3.1 Three subsets of $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ and their intersections. 9
3.2 The table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ for $Q = (u, u-r)$. 12
3.3 Properties and Examples of the table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$. 23
3.4 Completeness of the table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$. 29

*Keywords: Jordan type, commuting nilpotent matrices, generic commuting orbit, nilpotent orbit, partition, complete intersection. **2010 Mathematics Subject Classification**: Primary: 15A27; Secondary: 05E40, 13E10, 14M10, 20G05.

4 Equations of the table loci. **37**
4.1 The sl_2 grading on $\mathcal{U}_B$. 37
4.2 Homogeneity of the equations for partition loci in $\mathcal{U}_B$. 41
4.3 Proposed equations for the table loci when $Q = (u, u-r)$. 46

5 The Box Conjecture. **48**
5.1 Recursive Conjecture for $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$. 48
5.2 Key of a stable partition Q and the Box Conjecture. 50
5.3 Diagonal hook lengths and the Box Conjecture. 52
5.4 Box Conjecture for certain partitions Q with three parts. 54

1 Introduction.

We fix an infinite field k and denote by $\mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathsf{k})$ the ring of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in k acting on the vector space $V = \mathsf{k}^n$. Let P be a partition of n and denote by $B = J_P$ the nilpotent Jordan block matrix of partition P. Let $\mathcal{C}_B = \{A \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathsf{k}) \mid AB = BA\}$ be the centralizer of B in $\mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathsf{k})$, and let $\mathcal{N}_B$ be the subvariety of nilpotent elements in $\mathcal{C}_B$.

There has been substantial work in the last ten years studying the map $\mathfrak{Q}$ that takes P to the Jordan type $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ of a generic element of $\mathcal{N}_B$. P. Oblak conjectured a beautiful recursive description of $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$. This conjecture appears close to resolved (see Section 5.1, Conjecture 5.3, Remark 5.7, and [6, 37, 27, 28, 24, 3]).

An *almost rectangular* partition is one whose largest part is at most one larger than its smallest part. R. Basili introduced the invariant r_P, which is the smallest number of almost rectangular partitions whose union is P, and showed that $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ has r_P parts (Theorem 2.5). T. Košir and P. Oblak showed that if the characteristic of k is 0 then $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ has parts that differ pairwise by at least two (Theorem 2.7). Even in cases where the Oblak recursive conjecture had been shown some time ago, (as $r_P = 2$ [29], or $r_P = 3$ [28]) the set $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ remained mysterious. In 2012 P. Oblak made a second conjecture: when $Q = (u, u-r)$ with $u > r \geq 2$, then the cardinality $|\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)| = (r-1)(u-r)$ [38, Remark 2]. In 2013, R. Zhao noticed an even stronger pattern in $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ for such Q. She conjectured that there is a table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ of partitions $P_{k,\ell}$ where the number of parts in $P_{k,\ell}$ is $k + \ell$: see Theorem 1.1 immediately below. We here prove a precise version, the Table Theorem (Theorems 3.13 and 3.31). We then propose a Box Conjecture 5.11 describing $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ for arbitrary partitions Q whose parts differ pairwise by at least two (Section 5.2) and we study some special cases where Q has three parts (Section 5.4).

The question, which pairs of conjugacy classes can occur for pairs of commuting matrices reduces to the case where both matrices are nilpotent. There is an extensive literature on commuting pairs of nilpotent matrices, including [3, 21, 24, 27, 28, 29, 37, 38, 39, 42] and others, some of whose results we specifically cite. Connections to the Hilbert scheme are made in [1, 2, 4, 11, 42], and commuting nilpotent orbits occur in the study of Artinian algebras [4, 23]. However, the study of the map $P \to \mathfrak{Q}(P)$ seems to be, surprisingly, very recent, beginning with [1, 2, 4, 27, 29, 37, 39, 42]: apparently, early workers in the area were more drawn to determining vector spaces of commuting matrices of maximum dimension (see [25, 33, 45] and references in the latter). There is further recent work on commuting r-tuples of

nilpotent matrices, as [21, 36, 44] and these also appear to be connected to the study of group schemes [15, 35]. There is much study of nilpotent orbits for Lie algebras, as in [10, 12, 17, 40]; for generalizations of problems considered here to other Lie algebras than sl_n, see [39]

Our main result is

Theorem 1.1. *Let $Q = (u, u-r)$ where $u > r \geq 2$.*

i. The cardinality $|\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)| = (r-1)(u-r)$.

ii. The set $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ may be arranged as an $(r-1) \times (u-r)$ array $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ of partitions

$$P_{k,\ell} = P_{k,\ell}(Q), \text{ where } 1 \leq k \leq r-1, \text{ and } 1 \leq \ell \leq u-r, \tag{1.1}$$

such that the number of parts of $P_{k,\ell}$ is $k + \ell$.

Remark 1.2. We call this the TABLE THEOREM. Theorem 3.13 below specifies each $P_{k,\ell}$ in $\mathcal{T}(Q)$, and shows that $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{k,\ell}) = Q$; Theorem 3.31 says that $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ is all of $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$. Some special cases had been shown prior to our work here: P. Oblak had shown Theorem 1.1 for $2 \leq r \leq 4$ in [38]. R. Zhao in [47] had shown the case $(u-r) = 1, 2, 3$ and also the case $u \gg r$; the latter is the case where $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ has a "normal pattern" (Corollary 3.22).

In the formula for cardinality the proposed value for $|\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}((u, u-r))|$ is the same as that for $|\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}((u, r-1))|$. Understanding this symmetry was a goal of R. Zhao in her study of the two sets: it remains obscure to us.

Summary.

In Section 2.1 we first review some results we will need; in Section 2.2 we recall the poset $\mathcal{D}_P$ associated to the nilpotent commutator $\mathcal{N}_B$ of $B = J_P$ and more particularly to a maximal nilpotent subalgebra $\mathcal{U}_B$ of the centralizer $\mathcal{C}_B$.

Let $Q = (u, u-r)$ with $u > r \geq 2$ and put $B = J_Q$. After dividing the partitions in $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ into three types A, B and C, in Section 3.1, we prove in Section 3.2 the main Theorem 3.13 which specifies the filling of the table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ with A rows and B/C hooks. We give examples and properties of the tables in Section 3.3; we obtain in Corollary 3.22 the normal pattern case first shown by R. Zhao [47]. In Section 3.4 we show that the table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ is the complete inverse image of Q under the map $\mathfrak{Q}$ (Theorem 3.31).

In Section 4 we study the equations for loci $\mathfrak{Z}(P_{k,\ell})$ in the affine space $\mathcal{U}_B$: these loci are the algebraic subsets of $\mathcal{U}_B$ parametrizing the matrices $A \in \mathcal{U}_B$ with $P_A = P_{k,\ell}$. In Section 4.1 we describe the grading on $\mathcal{U}_B$ determined by the sl_2-triple associated to B, where $B = J_P$ for an arbitrary partition P. In Section 4.2 we show that the equations of these loci are sl_2-homogeneous (Proposition 4.12). In Section 4.3, joint with M. Boij, we conjecture that the closure $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{k,\ell})}$ is an irreducible complete intersection of codimension $k + \ell - 2$ in $\mathcal{U}_B$, where again $B = J_Q$ and $Q = (u, u-r)$ with $u > r \geq 2$. We also propose equations for $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{k,\ell})}$. Note that by Proposition 2.9(c) we have $\mathcal{U}_B = \mathcal{N}_B$ for the partitions $Q = (u, u-r)$ with $u > r \geq 2$.

After reviewing P. Oblak's recursive conjecture in Section 5.1, we propose in Section 5.2 the Box Conjecture 5.11 for $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$. The combinatorial part of the Box Conjecture in short states that if Q is a partition with k parts differing pairwise by at least two, then its key $\mathsf{S}(Q)$ gives the lengths of the sides of a k-dimensional box $\mathcal{B}(Q)$ containing the elements of $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$.

In Section 5.3 we note that the number of partitions having a given diagonal hook partition Q, and a given number a of parts is exactly the analogous number for $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$, under the Box Conjecture. This shows that the combinatorial part of the Box Conjecture is consistent with known formulas for the number of partitions of n having a parts. In Section 5.4 we show some special cases of the Box Conjecture for Q having three parts.

We believe that this article introduces a new approach to viewing the map $\mathfrak{Q} : P \to \mathfrak{Q}(P)$. While our methods are elementary, our results suggest interesting algebraic and geometric explanations and consequences.

2 Preliminaries and Background.

2.1 Notation and Preliminaries.

We fix notation and summarize some concepts and results we will need. Let $P = (p_1, \ldots, p_s)$ be a *partition* of the positive integer n having s parts. This means that $p_1 \geq \cdots \geq p_s > 0$ and $p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_s = n$. We denote by S_P the set of parts of P, i.e. $S_P = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s\}$. Note that $1 \leq |S_P| \leq s$. Recall that the Ferrers diagram of P has rows whose lengths are the parts of P, which we arrange with the row p_i above the row $p_{i+1}, i \in \{1, \ldots, s-1\}$. We denote by $P^\vee$ the conjugate partition to P: the rows of the Ferrers diagram of $P^\vee$ are the columns of the Ferrers diagram of P. We denote by s^k the partition of $k \cdot s$ having k parts equal to s; its conjugate is k^s. We now introduce almost rectangular partitions, whose importance for the problem of describing the map $P \to \mathfrak{Q}(P)$ was first noted by R Basili [2].

Definition 2.1 (Almost Rectangular)**.** A partition $P = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s)$ of n with $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \cdots \geq p_s > 0$ is *almost rectangular* if $p_1 - p_s \leq 1$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$ we denote by $[n]^k$ the unique almost rectangular partition of n that has k parts.

Write $n = qk + \mathsf{r}$ with $\mathsf{r}, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq \mathsf{r} < k$ and put $d = k \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{k} \rceil - n$. Then

$$d = \begin{cases} k - \mathsf{r} & \text{if } \mathsf{r} \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathsf{r} = 0, \end{cases}$$

and we have

$$[n]^k = \left((q+1)^{\mathsf{r}}, q^{k-\mathsf{r}}\right) = \left(\lceil \frac{n}{k} \rceil^{k-d}, \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor^{d}\right). \tag{2.1}$$

See Figure 1 for the almost rectangular partitions of 5. The *regular partition* of n, denoted by $[n]$ or (n), is the only partition of n with a single part. Given any partition P of n we denote by J_P the unique Jordan matrix whose diagonal Jordan blocks have lengths $p_1, \ldots, p_s$, arranged in descending order of lengths. For example

$$J_{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_{(2,1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Given a nilpotent $n \times n$ matrix A we denote by P_A its *Jordan type*; it is the partition giving the sizes of the blocks of the Jordan block matrix similar to A (we write $J_{P_A} \sim A$). Recall that the corank of A is $n-$ rank A, the dimension of the kernel of A. We take $A^0 = I_n$, the identity. The following result is standard (see, e.g., [12, Lemma 6.2.2]).



Figure 1: The almost rectangular partitions of 5.

Lemma 2.2. *The number of parts greater than or equal to i in P_A is the difference*

$$\textit{corank } A^i - \ \textit{corank } A^{i-1}. \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $B = J_{(n)}$. Then $P_{B^k} = [n]^k$.*

Proof. Evidently B^k has corank k. The number of parts of P_A is the corank of A so P_{B^k} has k parts. Let $q = \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$. Then $(B^k)^{(q+1)} = 0$, so no part of P_{B^k} is greater than $q+1$. The Lemma follows. □

This allows us to describe $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ when $Q = (n)$ has a single part (is *regular*).

Corollary 2.4. *If A is a nilpotent matrix commuting with $J_{(n)}$ then $P_A = [n]^k$ for some k. Consequently, $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}([n])$ is the set of almost rectangular partitions $\{[n]^k, 1 \le k \le n\}$.*

Proof. Lemma 2.3 implies that if $B = J_{(n)}$ then $P_{B^k} = [n]^k$, so $\mathfrak{Q}([n]^k) = (n)$. The matrices A commuting with a regular nilpotent matrix B are the polynomials $A = p(B)$ where $p \in \mathsf{k}[x]$ [13, Theorem 2.8]. When $p = x^k \cdot p'$ where $p' = a_u x^u + \cdots + a_0$ with $a_0 \neq 0$ then $p'(B)$ is invertible, so $A = p(B) \sim B^k$ and $P_A = [n]^k$. □

Arranging $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}([n])$ in a linear table $\mathcal{T}([n])$ we have

# parts	1	2	$\cdots$	n
$\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q) =$	$\{[n]$	$[n]^2$	$\ldots$	$[n]^n = 1^n\}$

(2.3)

Loosely speaking, the main result in this paper is the generalization of (2.3) to the case where Q has two parts. Recall that r_P is the smallest number of almost rectangular partitions whose union is P.

Theorem 2.5. *([2]) The partition $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ has r_P parts.*

The following result is shown for char $\mathsf{k} = 0$ in [39, Theorem 2.1 and Example 2.5a], and for general infinite k in [4, Theorem 1.12]. We say that a partition P is *stable* if $\mathfrak{Q}(P) = P$.

Theorem 2.6. *A partition is stable if and only if its parts differ pairwise by at least two.*

A partition whose parts differ pairwise by at least two is termed "super distinct" or "Rogers-Ramanujan" in the literature on partitions.

Theorem 2.7. *([29]) Suppose that* $\mathrm{char}\ \mathsf{k} = 0$ *or* $\mathrm{char}\ \mathsf{k} = p > n$. *Then the partition* $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ *has parts that differ pairwise by at least two.*

The proof of Theorem 2.7 depends on showing that when $B = J_P$ and the matrix $A \in \mathcal{N}_B$ is generic, then the Artinian ring $\mathsf{k}[A, B]$ is Gorenstein, so – in height two – is a complete intersection. When $\mathrm{char}\ \mathsf{k} = 0$ or $\mathrm{char}\ \mathsf{k} > n$, the Hilbert function of $\mathsf{k}[A, B]$ is the conjugate of the partition $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ [4, Theorem 2.3][1]; the characterization of the Hilbert functions of (non-graded) Artinian CI algebras of height two by F.H.S. Macaulay [32] implies the property that $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ has parts that differ pairwise by at least two.

Denote the partition P by $(\cdots i^{n_i} \cdots)$ meaning it has n_i parts of length i. An almost rectangular subpartition $P' = (a^{n_a}, (a-1)^{n_{a-1}})$ of P defines a U-chain C_a in a partially ordered set associated to P (Definition 2.15). For such a subpartition $(a^{n_a}, (a-1)^{n_{a-1}})$ of P the length of the U-chain C_a is

$$|C_a| = an_a + (a-1)n_{a-1} + 2\sum_{i>a} n_i. \tag{2.4}$$

Theorem 2.8. *([37]) The largest part of* $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ *is* $\max\{|C_a| : a \text{ is a part of } P\}$.

This result was originally shown for $\mathrm{char}\ \mathsf{k} = 0$; the proof was subsequently seen to be valid over any infinite field k: see [5, 24].

2.2 Background: the poset $\mathcal{D}_P$.

We now recall the poset $\mathcal{D}_P$ associated to P. This poset plays an important role in understanding the map $P \to \mathfrak{Q}(P)$. For example, it is behind the proofs of Theorem 2.7 of P. Oblak and T. Košir and Theorem 2.8 of P. Oblak. The main proofs of Section 3 refer to the U-chains in the poset. However, we note for those readers less interested in this background that the proofs there will use Equation (2.4) and Theorem 2.8 above and may be read independently of the Definition 2.10 of the poset $\mathcal{D}_P$. We will use the poset $\mathcal{D}_Q$ and its elementary maps when we describe the equations for the loci of certain Jordan types in Section 4, and also in Section 5. For further discussion of the poset $\mathcal{D}_P$ see [5, 29, 27, 28, 24].

The poset $\mathcal{D}_P$.

Let P be a partition of n, and let $B = J_P$ acting on the vector space V. The poset $\mathcal{D}_P$ has n vertices corresponding to a basis $\mathfrak{B}$ of V. First we recall the basis $\mathfrak{B}$. We write n_i for the multiplicty of the part i in P, so $P = (\ldots, i^{n_i}, \ldots)$. Following [24] we have $V = \oplus_{i \in S_P} V_i$, where V_i has a decomposition

$$V_i = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n_i} V_{i,k} \tag{2.5}$$

into cyclic B-modules $V_{i,k}$, each of length i. The subspace $V_{i,k}$ has a cyclic vector $(1, i, k)$ and basis

$$\{(\mathfrak{u}, i, k) = B^{\mathfrak{u}-1}(1, i, k) : 1 \le \mathfrak{u} \le i\}. \tag{2.6}$$

[1] Although Theorem 2.23 in [4] is stated there for k algebraically closed, k infinite suffices.

So $V_{i,k} \cong \mathsf{k}[x]/x^i$ as a $\mathsf{k}[x]$-module through the action of B.[2] We denote by $\mathfrak{B}$ the concatenation of the above bases for $V_{i,k}$, and by $\langle A \cdot v \mid (\mathfrak{u}, i, k)\rangle$ the component of $A \cdot v$ on the basis vector $(\mathfrak{u}, i, k)$. Fix i and denote by $\mathfrak{W}_i$ the subset of $\mathcal{B}$ consisting of the cyclic vectors of $\{V_{i,k} : 1 \leq k \leq n_i\}$, that is,

$$\mathfrak{W}_i = \{(1, i, 1), (1, i, 2), \ldots, (1, i, n_i)\} \tag{2.7}$$

and let W_i be the span of $\mathfrak{W}_i$. Denote by π_i the projection from the centralizer $\mathcal{C}_B$ to $\mathrm{Mat}_{n_i}(\mathsf{k})$ obtained by restricting $A \in \mathcal{C}_B$ to W_i and then projecting to W_i. Let

$$\pi : \mathcal{C}_B \to \prod_i \mathrm{Mat}_{n_i}(\mathsf{k}) \tag{2.8}$$

be the product of the π_i. We define a nilpotent subalgebra $\mathcal{U}_B \subset \mathcal{C}_B$ by requiring that each $\pi_i(A)$ be strictly upper triangular on W_i:

$$\mathcal{U}_B = \{A \in \mathcal{C}_B \mid \text{ for each } i \in S_P : 1 \leq s \leq s' \leq n_i \Rightarrow \langle A \cdot (1, i, s') \mid (1, i, s)\rangle = 0\}. \tag{2.9}$$

The following is well known (see [14, Thm. 3.5.2], [2, Lemma 2.3],[46]). Recall that $\mathcal{N}_B$ is the set of nilpotent elements of $\mathcal{C}_B$.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $B = J_Q, Q$ a partition of n. Then*

a. The map π is the map from $\mathcal{C}_B$ to its semisimple part.

b. $\mathcal{U}_B \subset \mathcal{N}_B$.

c. When P has no repeated parts, then $\mathcal{U}_B = \mathcal{N}_B$.

Proof. Part (c) follows evidently from (a) and (b) when each $n_i = 1$. Part (b) follows from (a) since $\pi \mid_{\mathcal{U}_B} = 0$. □

Definition 2.10 (Poset $\mathcal{D}_P$)**.** The poset $\mathcal{D}_P$ has the set $\mathcal{B}$ of basis elements of V as its underlying set. For $v, v' \in \mathfrak{B}$, we set $v < v'$ if there is an element $A \in \mathcal{U}_B$ such that $\langle A \cdot v \mid v'\rangle \neq 0$.

The *diagram* $\mathrm{Diag}(\mathcal{L})$ of a poset $\mathcal{L}$ is a directed graph for which the vertices are the elements of $\mathcal{L}$ and with an arrow $v \to v'$ if v' covers v (here v' *covers* v if $v < v'$ and there is no v'' such that $v < v'' < v'$). Recall that S_P is the set of integers that are parts of P. For $i \in S_P$ we denote by i^- the next smaller element of S_P if it exists (that is, if i is not the smallest part of P), and by i^+ the next larger element of S_P, if it exists. For $P = (5, 4, 4, 3, 2, 2)$ where $S_P = \{5, 4, 3, 2\}, 4^+ = 5$ and $3^- = 2$.

Definition 2.11 (Elementary Maps associated to P)**.** [5, Def. 2.9]. The maps $\beta_i, \alpha_i, e_{i,k}$ and w_i defined below are zero on the elements of $\mathcal{B}$ that are not specifically listed. They are called the *elementary maps* associated to $P = (p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \cdots \geq p_s)$.

i. for $i \in S_P \backslash \{p_s\}$, β_i maps the vertex $(\mathfrak{u}, i, n_i)$ to $(\mathfrak{u}, i^-, 1)$, whenever $1 \leq \mathfrak{u} \leq i^-$.

[2] Here we quote from [24, Definition 2.3].

ii. for $i \in S_P \backslash p_s$, α_i maps $(\mathfrak{u}, i^-, n_{i^-})$ to $(\mathfrak{u} + i - i^-, i, 1)$, whenever $1 \leq \mathfrak{u} \leq i^-$.

iii. For $i \in S_P$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n_i\}$ $e_{i,k}$ maps the vertex $(\mathfrak{u}, i, k)$ to $(\mathfrak{u}, i, k+1)$ whenever $1 \leq \mathfrak{u} \leq i, 1 \leq k < n_i$.

iv. When $i \in S_P$ is isolated (i.e. when neither $i - 1 \in S_P$ nor $i + 1 \in S_P$), w_i sends $(\mathfrak{u}, i, n_i)$ to $(\mathfrak{u} + 1, i, 1)$ whenever $1 \leq \mathfrak{u} < i$.

Lemma 2.12. *There is an edge $v \to v'$ in the diagram* $\mathrm{Diag}(\mathcal{D}_P)$ *if and only if there exists an elementary map γ such that $\gamma(v) = v'$.*

For further discussion of $\mathcal{D}_P$ see [37, 5, 24, 27, 28].



Figure 2: $\mathrm{Diag}(\mathcal{D}_P)$ for $P = (3, 2)$ and $P = (3, 2, 2, 1)$.

Example 2.13. When $P = (3, 2)$ and $B = J_P$ then the algebra $\mathcal{U}_B$ is generated by α_3, and β_3, subject to the relations ${\alpha_3}^2 = {\beta_3}^2 = (\beta_3\alpha_3)^2 = 0$. For $P' = (3, 2, 2, 1)$ and $B' = J_{P'}$ the algebra $\mathcal{U}_{B'}$ is generated by $\alpha_3, \alpha_2, \beta_3, \beta_2$ and $\eta_{2,1}$ (Figure 2). When $P = (4, 2, 2, 1)$ the algebra $\mathcal{U}_B$ is generated by $\beta_4, \beta_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_2, w_4$ and $e_{2,1}$ (Figure 3).

Definition 2.14 (Rows of $\mathcal{D}_P$)**.** A *row of length i* of $\mathcal{D}_P$ is a subset of the form $\{(\mathfrak{u}, i, k) \in \mathcal{D}_P \mid 1 \leq \mathfrak{u} \leq i\}$, where $i \in S_P$ and k satisfying $1 \leq k \leq n_i$ is fixed.

Definition 2.15. Let $a \in S_P$. The *U-chain* C_a of the poset $\mathcal{D}_P$ is comprised of three parts:
i. the unique maximum chain through all the vertices of $\mathcal{D}_P$ in rows of lengths a and $a-1$;
ii. a chain from the source vertex $(1, p_1, 1)$ down to $(1, a, 1)$;
iii. a chain from the vertex (a, a, n_a) to the sink vertex (p_1, p_1, n_{p_1}) of $\mathcal{D}_P$.

By definition, the length $|C_a|$ is the number of vertices in the U-chain. It satisfies $|C_a| = an_a + (a-1)n_{a-1} + 2\sum_{i>a} n_i$ (this is equation (2.4)).



Figure 3: Diag($\mathcal{D}_P$) and maps for $P = (4, 2, 2, 1)$. cf. [24, Figure 2]

Example 2.16. For the partition $P = (3, 2, 2, 1)$ of Figure 2, the U-chain C_2 is

$$(1, 3, 1) \to (1, 2, 1) \to (1, 2, 2) \to (1, 1, 1) \to (2, 2, 1) \to (2, 2, 2) \to (1, 3, 3)$$

given by the chain of maps (right to left) $\alpha_3 \circ e_{21} \circ \alpha_2 \circ \beta_2 \circ e_{21} \circ \beta_3$. The U-chain C_3 is

$$(1, 3, 1) \to (1, 2, 1) \to (1, 2, 2) \to (1, 3, 2) \to (2, 2, 1) \to (2, 2, 2) \to (1, 3, 3)$$

given by $\alpha_3 \circ e_{21} \circ \beta_3 \circ \alpha_3 \circ e_{21} \circ \beta_3$.

3 The table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ for $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ when $Q = (u, u - r)$.

In this section we determine the tables $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ giving the complete set $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ for all stable partitions Q having two parts: $Q = (u, u-r)$ with $u > r \geq 2$. Our main results, Theorem 3.13 specifying the table $\mathcal{T}(Q) \subset \mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ and Theorem 3.31 asserting completeness of the table are proved in Sections 3.2 and 3.4, respectively.

3.1 Three subsets of $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ and their intersections.

By Theorem 2.5, $Q = \mathfrak{Q}(P)$ has two parts exactly when P is the union of two almost rectangular partitions, but P is not almost rectangular. Hence there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a - b \geq 2$ such that

$$P = (a^{n_a}, (a-1)^{n_{a-1}}, b^{n_b}, (b-1)^{n_{b-1}}) \text{ with } n_a > 0 \text{ and } n_b > 0. \tag{3.1}$$

We can and will assume that $n_{b-1} = 0$ if $b = 1$. Here we have denoted by n_i the number of parts of P having length i.

Definition 3.1 (Type A,B,C partitions in $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$)**.** Let $Q = (u, u-r)$ with $u, r \in \mathbb{N}, u > r \geq 2$ and let $P \in \mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ satisfy (3.1).

We say that P is of *type A* if $u = (a) \cdot n_a + (a-1)n_{a-1}$;

We say that P is of *type B* if $u = 2n_a + 2n_{a-1} + bn_b + (b-1)n_{b-1}$, or if $b = a-2, n_{b-1} = 0$ and $u = 2n_a + (a-1)n_{a-1} + bn_b$.

We say that P is of *type C* if $b = a-2$, if each of $n_a, n_{a-1}, n_b, n_{b-1}$ is non-zero, and $u = 2n_a + (a-1)n_{a-1} + bn_b$.

Remark 3.2. It is clear from Theorem 2.8 that every $P \in \mathfrak{Q}^{-1}((u, u-r))$ is of type A,B, or C. Note that a partition can have more than one type (see Remark 3.16(b) for an example). When P has type A then the length of the U-chain C_a through the upper almost rectangular subpartition of P is the largest part of Q, and $u - r = bn_b + (b-1)n_{b-1}$. When P has type B the length of the U-chain C_b through the lower almost rectangular subpartition of P is the largest part of Q. Then $u - r = (a-2)n_a + (a-3)n_{a-1}$. When P has type C the middle almost rectangular U-chain C_{a-1} is a longest U-chain. Then $u - r = (a-2)n_a + (b-1)n_{b-1}$.

Example 3.3. The partition $P = (5, 4, 4, 3, 3, 2)$ is of type C since the middle U-chain of length $|C_4| = 16$ is longest, as $|C_5| = 13$ and $|C_3| = 14$. The partition $P = (5, 5, 4, 3, 2)$ is of type A: the longest U-chain is C_5. The partition $P = (5, 4, 3, 2, 2, 2)$ if of type B: the longest U-chain is the bottom chain C_3.

Recall that r_P is the smallest number of almost rectangular subpartitions whose union is P and that S_P is the set of integers that are parts of P. Here $|S_P| \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ since $r_P = 2$.

Our focus here and a result we need for Theorem 3.31 is on partitions of type C that are not of type A or B. The following is a consequence of Equation (2.4).

Lemma 3.4. *Let P be a partition as in Equation (3.1). The length of the top U-chain $U_{top} = C_a$ of $\mathcal{D}_P$ is*

$$|U_{top}| = n_a \cdot a + n_{a-1} \cdot (a-1), \tag{3.2}$$

while the length of the bottom U-chain $U_{bottom} = C_b$ is

$$|U_{bottom}| = n_b \cdot b + n_{b-1} \cdot (b-1) + 2(n_a + n_{a-1}). \tag{3.3}$$

We have

$$|U_{top}| - |U_{bottom}| = n_a \cdot (a-2) + n_{a-1} \cdot (a-3) - n_b \cdot b - n_{b-1} \cdot (b-1). \tag{3.4}$$

If $b = a-2$ and $n_{a-1} > 0$, then the length of the middle U-chain $U_{middle} = C_{a-1}$ is

$$|U_{middle}| = n_{a-1} \cdot (a-1) + n_{a-2} \cdot (a-2) + 2n_a, \tag{3.5}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{middle}| - |U_{top}| &= (n_{a-2} - n_a) \cdot (a-2), \\ |U_{middle}| - |U_{bottom}| &= (n_{a-1} - n_{a-3}) \cdot (a-3). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Consequently, P is of type C and not of type A or B if and only if $b = a-2$ and both

$$n_{a-1} > n_{a-3} > 0, \ \text{and} \ n_{a-2} > n_a. \tag{3.7}$$

Classification of type C partitions.

Definition 3.5. Given the sequence $C = (\mathsf{c}_1, \mathsf{c}_2, s_1, s_2; a)$ of non-negative integers satisfying

$$\mathsf{c}_1, \mathsf{c}_2 \geq 1, a \geq 4, \tag{3.8}$$

we denote by P_C the partition

$$P_C = (a^{\mathsf{c}_1}, (a-1)^{\mathsf{c}_2+s_2}, (a-2)^{\mathsf{c}_1+s_1}, (a-3)^{\mathsf{c}_2}) \tag{3.9}$$

Note that

$$P_C = \left([(\mathsf{c}_1 + \mathsf{c}_2 + s_2)a - (\mathsf{c}_2 + s_2)]^{\mathsf{c}_1+\mathsf{c}_2+s_2}, [(\mathsf{c}_1 + \mathsf{c}_2 + s_1)(a-2) - \mathsf{c}_2]^{\mathsf{c}_1+\mathsf{c}_2+\mathsf{s}_1}\right), \tag{3.10}$$

and that P_C is a partition of

$$\begin{aligned} n &= a \cdot \mathsf{c}_1 + (a-1)(\mathsf{c}_2 + s_2) + (a-2)(\mathsf{c}_1 + s_1) + (a-3)\mathsf{c}_2 \\ &= (2a-2) \cdot \mathsf{c}_1 + (2a-4) \cdot \mathsf{c}_2 + (a-1) \cdot s_2 + (a-2) \cdot s_1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

The number of parts $t(P_C)$ of P_C satisfies $t(P_C) = 2\mathsf{c}_1 + 2\mathsf{c}_2 + s_1 + s_2$.

The following Lemma is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 3.4 and equation(3.9).

Lemma 3.6. *Let the sequence $C = (\mathsf{c}_1, \mathsf{c}_2, s_1, s_2; a)$ satisfy* (3.8)*. Then P_C is a type* C *partition and*

$$\mathfrak{Q}(P_C) = ((\mathsf{c}_2 + s_2)(a-1) + (\mathsf{c}_1 + s_1) \cdot (a-2) + 2\mathsf{c}_1, \mathsf{c}_1 \cdot (a-2) + \mathsf{c}_2 \cdot (a-3)). \tag{3.12}$$

In other words, $P_C \in \mathfrak{Q}^{-1}((u, u-r))$ where

$$\begin{aligned} &u = (\mathsf{c}_2 + s_2)(a-1) + (\mathsf{c}_1 + s_1) \cdot (a-2) + 2\mathsf{c}_1 \text{ and} \\ &r = s_1 \cdot (a-2) + s_2 \cdot (a-1) + 2(\mathsf{c}_1 + \mathsf{c}_2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Moreover, if P is a partition of type C, then $P = P_C$ for some sequence $C = (\mathsf{c}_1, \mathsf{c}_2, s_1, s_2; a)$ satisfying (3.8)*. Here P_C is also of type A if and only if $s_1 = 0$; and P_C is also of type B if and only if $s_2 = 0$. Consequently, $\{P_C : C = (\mathsf{c}_1, \mathsf{c}_2, s_1, s_2; a)$ satisfying* (3.8) *with $s_1 \geq 1$ and $s_2 \geq 1\}$ is the complete set of partitions that are of type C but not of type A or B.*

Examples 3.26, 3.27 and 3.28 of Section 3.4 below contain partitions that are only of type C.

Corollary 3.7. If $u > r + r^2/8$ then every partition in $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}((u, u-r))$ is of type A or of type B.

Proof. This is a straightforward calculation based on Lemma 3.6. □

Remark 3.8. Although we will not use it, we note the following connection between type C partitions in the sets $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ and $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q')$ where Q and Q' are certain stable partitions of distinct integers n and n'.

The formulas (3.9) for P_C, (3.11) for $n = |P_C|$, and (3.12) for $\mathfrak{Q}(P_C)$ are linear in the multiplicities $(\mathsf{c}_1, \mathsf{c}_2, s_1, s_2)$. Also, increasing a by 1 to form $P' = P_{C'} = P_C + \underline{1}$ increases

each part of P_C by 1, so $|P'_C| = |P_C| + t(P_C)$, while the multiplicities stay the same. The same increase of a by 1 increases $\mathfrak{Q}(P_C) = (u, u-r)$ by $\Delta Q = (\mathsf{c}_1 + \mathsf{c}_2 + s_1 + s_2, \mathsf{c}_1 + \mathsf{c}_2)$ to form $Q + \Delta Q = Q' = (u', u' - r')$. It increases r by $(s_1 + s_2)$ and what we will call the *key* $\mathsf{S}_Q = (r-1, u-r)$ of Q to $\mathsf{S}_{Q'} = \mathsf{S}_Q + (s_1 + s_2, \mathsf{c}_1 + \mathsf{c}_2)$ (see Definition 5.9). By setting $a = 4$ we find the most "basic" partition $P_{C_0}, C_0 = (\mathsf{c}_1, \mathsf{c}_2, s_1, s_2; 4)$ of type C having given multiplicities $(\mathsf{c}_1, \mathsf{c}_2, s_1, s_2)$. We have that $|P_C| = |P_{C_0}| + t(a-4)$, where $t = t(P_C)$.

Finally, we note that it follows from (3.13) that the number of parts of P_C satisfies

$$t(P_C) \leq \min\{2u/3, r\}, \tag{3.14}$$

for all C as in Definition 3.5. We will use formula (3.14) later as we describe properties of the table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$.

3.2 The table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ for $Q = (u, u-r)$.

In this section we prove Theorem 3.13 which describes the $(r-1) \times (u-r)$ table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ of elements in $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$.

Definition 3.9 (Table invariants)**.** Let $Q = (u, u-r)$ with $u > r \geq 2$. For $0 \leq t < \min\{u-r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\}$ define

$$\begin{aligned} q_t &= \lceil \tfrac{u-r}{t+1} \rceil \\ d_t &= (t+1)q_t - (u-r). \end{aligned}$$

We set $k_{-1} = 0$, and if $r \geq 3$ then for $0 \leq t < \min\{u-r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\}$ define

$$\begin{aligned} k_t &= t + \lceil \tfrac{u-t+d_t}{q_t+1} \rceil, \text{ and} \\ c_t &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d_t = 0, \\ \lceil \frac{u-2(t+1)+d_t}{q_t} \rceil - (k_t - t) & \text{if } d_t > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Remark 3.10. Since $0 \leq \lceil \frac{u-r}{t+1} \rceil - \frac{u-r}{t+1} < 1$, we have $0 \leq d_t < t+1$. Note that by equation (2.1) q_t and d_t are defined in such a way that

$$[u-r]^{t+1} = ((q_t)^{t+1-d_t}, (q_t - 1)^{d_t}). \tag{3.16}$$

The invariants k_t give the rows of the table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ that start with a partition of type B or C, and the invariants c_t determine the columns of the table that contain partitions of type C, see Theorem 3.13. Note that, using the definition of $d_t = (t+1)q_t - (u-r)$, we can also write

$$k_t = 2t + 1 + \lceil \tfrac{r-2(t+1)+1}{q_t+1} \rceil \tag{3.17}$$

Example 3.21 below shows these invariants for $Q = (27, 3)$. The following lemma gives some of the basic properties of the invariants of Definition 3.9.

Lemma 3.11 (Relations among the table invariants)**.** *Assume that* $Q = (u, u-r)$ *with* $u > r \geq 3$ *and let* $t_{\max} = \min\{u-r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\} - 1$*. Then*

$$\text{(a)} \qquad k_{t_{\max}} = \begin{cases} u - \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor - 1 & \text{if } u - r \leq \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor; \\ r-1 & \text{if } u - r > \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor \text{ and } r \text{ is odd}; \\ r-2 & \text{if } u - r > \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor \text{ and } r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

(b) If $0 \leq t' \leq t \leq t_{\max}$ *then we have* $k_{t'} - t' \leq k_t - t \leq \lceil \frac{r+1}{2} \rceil$*.*

(c) The sequence $\{k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{t_{\max}}\}$ *is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers satisfying* $2 \leq k_t \leq r-1$ *for all* $t \in \{0, 1, \ldots, t_{\max}\}$*.*

Proof. We begin with part (a). First assume that $u - r \leq \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor$. Then $t_{\max} = u - r - 1$. So $q_{t_{\max}} = 1$, $d_{t_{\max}} = 0$, and by Definition 3.9 we have

$$k_{t_{\max}} = t_{\max} + \left\lceil \frac{r+1}{2} \right\rceil = u - r - 1 + \left\lceil \frac{r+1}{2} \right\rceil = u - \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \right\rfloor - 1. \tag{3.18}$$

Now assume that $u - r > \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor$. Then $t_{\max} = \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor - 1$ and by formula (3.17) we have

$$k_{t_{\max}} = \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lceil \frac{r - 2\left(\left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \right\rfloor\right) + 1}{q_{t_{\max}} + 1} \right\rceil.$$

Since $\frac{r-1}{2} - 1 < \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor \leq \frac{r-1}{2}$, we get $2 \leq r - 2(\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor) + 1 < 4$. On the other hand, since by assumption $\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor < u - r$, we have $q_{t_{\max}} = \lceil \frac{u-r}{t_{\max}+1} \rceil \geq 2$. Thus $\lceil \frac{r-2(\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor)+1}{q_{t_{\max}}+1} \rceil = 1$ and therefore by formula (3.17) we obtain that

$$k_{t_{\max}} = 2\left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \right\rfloor. \tag{3.19}$$

To complete the proof of (a) we note that $\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor$ is equal to $\frac{r}{2} - 1$ if r is even, and to $\frac{r-1}{2}$ if r is odd.

We move to part (b). By equation (3.17), for $0 < t \leq t_{\max}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} k_{t-1} - (t-1) &= t + \left\lceil \tfrac{r-2t+1}{q_{t-1}+1} \right\rceil \\ &\leq t + \left\lceil \tfrac{r-2t+q_t}{q_t+1} \right\rceil \qquad \text{since } 1 \leq q_t \leq q_{t-1} \\ &= t + 1 + \left\lceil \tfrac{r-2(t+1)+1}{q_t+1} \right\rceil \\ &= k_t - t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $0 \leq t \leq t' \leq t_{\max}$ we have $k_t - t \leq k_{t'} - t'$. To complete the proof of part (b), it is enough to use equations (3.18) and (3.19) to obtain that $k_{t_{\max}} - t_{\max} \leq \lceil \frac{r+1}{2} \rceil$.

Finally we prove part (c). By Part (b), the sequence $\{k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{t_{\max}}\}$ is strictly increasing. By part (a) this sequence is bounded above by $r-1$. To complete the proof, by Definition 3.9 we have $q_0 = u - r$, $d_0 = 0$ so $k_0 = \lceil \frac{u}{u-r+1} \rceil \geq 2$. □

Notation 3.12. Let $Q = (u, u-r)$ with $u > r \geq 2$. For $t = \min\{u-r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\}$, we set $k_t = r$. Recall that $k_{-1} = 0$.

The following is the first part of our main result. The reader may wish to read Corollary 3.15 and Remark 3.16 along with the Theorem, to gain some intuition about the result.

Theorem 3.13 (Table Theorem, part I)**.** *Let $Q = (u, u-r)$ with $u > r \geq 2$.*

(a) For every non-negative integer t such that $0 \leq t \leq \min\{u-r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\}$, define the set

$$A_t = \{(k,\ell) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \,|\, k_{t-1} < k < k_t \text{ and } 1 \leq \ell \leq u-r-t\}.$$

Then for all $(k,\ell) \in A_t$, the partition $P_{k,\ell} = \big([u]^{k-t}, [u-r]^{t+\ell}\big)$ is of type A and satisfies $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{k,\ell}) = (u, u-r)$.

(b) For every non-negative integer t such that $0 \leq t < \min\{u-r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\}$, define the subset $C_t \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ as

$$C_t = \{(k_t,\ell) \,|\, 1 \leq \ell \leq \min\{c_t, u-r-t\}\} \cup \{(k,\ell) \,|\, r-1 \geq k > k_t \text{ and } \ell = u-r-t \leq c_t-(k-k_t)\}.$$

Then for all $(k,\ell) \in C_t$, the partition

$$P_{k,\ell} = \big([u-r+2(t+1)]^{t+1}, [u-2(t+1)-d_t(q_t-1)]^{k-t+\ell-d_t-1}, (q_t-1)^{d_t})\big)$$

is of type C but not of type A or B, and satisfies $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{k,\ell}) = (u, u-r)$.

(c) For every non-negative integer t such that $0 \leq t < \min\{u-r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\}$, define the subset $B_t \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ as

$$B_t = \{(k_t,\ell) \,|\, c_t < \ell \leq u-r-t\} \cup \{(k,\ell) \,|\, r-1 \geq k > k_t \text{ and } \ell = u-r-t > c_t-(k-k_t)\}.$$

Then for all $(k,\ell) \in B_t$, the partition

$$P_{k,\ell} = \big([u-r+2(t+1)]^{t+1}, [u-2(t+1)]^{k-t+\ell-1}\big)$$

is of type B but not of type A and satisfies $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{k,\ell}) = (u, u-r)$.

(d) Each pair $(k,\ell) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq k \leq r-1$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq u-r$ belongs to one and only one set A_t, B_t or C_t defined above. In particular there are listed above $(r-1)(u-r)$ distinct partitions $\{P_{k,\ell}\}$, each satisfying $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{k,\ell}) = (u, u-r)$ and such that $P_{k,\ell}$ has $k+\ell$ parts.

The proof of Theorem 3.13 starts on page 15 after Remark 3.16.

Definition 3.14. (a) For $Q = (u, u-r)$ as in Theorem 3.13 we define the *table* $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ as the array of partitions $\{P_{k,\ell} \mid 1 \leq k \leq r-1, 1 \leq \ell \leq u-r\}$ from Theorem 3.13.

(b) [B/C hook] For $0 \leq t < \min\{u-r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\}$ the set $\{P_{k,\ell} \mid (k,\ell) \in B_t \cup C_t\}$ is called the *t-th B/C hook of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$*.

(c) [A row] For a pair (t,k) consisting of a non-negative integer t such that $0 \le t \le \min\{u-r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\}$ and k satisfying $k_{t-1} < k < k_t$ the set of partitions $\{P_{k,\ell} \mid 1 \le \ell \le u-r-t\}$ is called the (t,k)-*th A row* of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$, or, more simply, the k-th A row of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$.

Corollary 3.15. *The A rows and B/C hooks form a decomposition of the table* $\mathcal{T}(Q)$.

The proof of Corollary 3.15 is given on page 22.

Remark 3.16 (Table decomposition into A rows and B/C hooks)**.** By Theorem 3.13 and Corollary 3.15 the $(r-1)\times(u-r)$ table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ is decomposed into A rows and B/C hooks, each beginning in the leftmost column of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$. The t-th B/C hook begins at $P_{k_t,1}$, $t \in \{0,\dots,t_{\max}\}$, has a corner at $P_{k_t,u-r-t}$ and descends to $P_{r-1,u-r-t}$. The top k_0-1 rows of the table are comprised of type A partitions. Each subsequent type A row or partial row begins below the t-th B/C hook, and above the $(t+1)$-th B/C hook ($k_t < k < k_{t+1}$) for some t, or below the last B/C hook ($k > k_{t_{\max}}$). The (t,k)-th (or k-th) A row begins at $P_{k,1}$ and ends at $P_{k,u-r-(t+1)}$: this leaves exactly the $t+1$ spaces at the end of the k row of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ for the column portion of the previous $t+1$ B/C hooks, each beginning at $P_{k_{t'},1}$ for t' satisfying $0 \le t' \le t$. In other words, these rows and hooks exactly fit together to form the rectangular table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$. Note that a B/C hook will be entirely horizontal if it begins in the last row of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$; and the last B/C hook, beginning at the $k = k_{t_{max}}$ row, will be vertical if $t_{max} = u-r-1$ and $k_{t_{max}} < r-1$.

Sometimes a partition has more than one type: for example $P = (a, a-1, a-2, a-3)$ with $\mathfrak{Q}(P) = (2a-1, 2a-5)$ is of type A,B, and C. However, by Definition 3.14 a B/C hook consists of partitions that are of type B or C, but not of type A. As we shall see in Section 4.3, at least conjecturally, the equations defining the locus $\mathfrak{Z}(P_{k,l})$ of matrices in $\mathcal{U}_{J_Q}$ having Jordan type $P_{k,l}$ "behave" differently depending on whether $P_{k,l}$ is in an A row or a B/C hook.

Finally, each type C entry $P_{k,\ell}$ is preceded in its B/C hook only by other type C entries, and by (3.14) they can occur only when $k+\ell \le \min\{2u/3, r\}$. The entry $P_{11,2}$ in $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ for $Q = (27,3)$ (see Example 3.21, Table 3.1) shows that a type C entry may occur in the vertical portion of a B/C hook.

Proof of Theorem 3.13. **Part (a)**

Case 1. Let $0 \le t < \min\{u-r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\}$ and suppose that $(k,\ell) \in A_t$. Then $k_{t-1} < k < k_t$ and $1 \le \ell \le u-r-t$.

By the definition of k_{t-1} we have that $k_{t-1} - (t-1) \ge 1$. Since $k \ge k_{t-1}+1$, we get $k - t \ge 1$. On the other hand,

$$k - t \le k_t - 1 - t = \left\lceil \frac{u-t+d_t}{q_t+1} \right\rceil - 1 \le \frac{u-t+d_t-1}{q_t+1}. \tag{3.20}$$

Thus $\frac{u}{k-t} \ge \frac{u}{u-t+d_t-1}(q_t+1)$. Since $d_t < t+1$, we get $\frac{u}{k-t} > q_t+1$. In particular we have

$$\left\lfloor \frac{u}{k-t} \right\rfloor \ge q_t+1 \text{ and } \left\lceil \frac{u}{k-t} \right\rceil \ge q_t+2.$$

On the other hand, since $1 \le \ell \le u-r-t$, we get $t+1 \le t+\ell \le u-r$. So $\frac{u-r}{t+\ell} \le \frac{u-r}{t+1} \le q_t$. Thus $\lceil \frac{u-r}{t+\ell} \rceil \le q_t \le \lfloor \frac{u}{k-t} \rfloor - 1$.

If $\lceil \frac{u-r}{t+\ell} \rceil < \lfloor \frac{u}{k-t} \rfloor - 1$, then the poset of $P_{k,\ell}$ contains two U-chains with the following lengths:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|U_{top}| &= u,\\
\\
|U_{bottom}| &= u - r + 2(k-t)\\
&\le u - r + 2(k_t - 1 - t) && \text{(by the first inequality of (3.20))}\\
&\le u - r + 2(\lceil \tfrac{r+1}{2} \rceil - 1) && \text{(by Lemma 3.11}b\text{)}\\
&\le u.
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{k,\ell}) = (u, u-r)$ in this case and $P_{k,\ell}$ is of type A.
Now assume that $\lceil \frac{u-r}{t+\ell} \rceil = \lfloor \frac{u}{k-t} \rfloor - 1 = q_t$. We can then write

$$P_{k,\ell} = ((q_t+2)^{n_2}, (q_t+1)^{n_1}, q_t^{n_0}, (q_t-1)^{n_{-1}})$$

such that $n_2 > 0$, $n_2 + n_1 = k - t$, $0 < n_0 \le t + \ell$, and $n_0 + n_{-1} = t + \ell$.

By (3.20), $(k-t)(q_t+1) + (t - d_t + 1) \le u$. Thus $[u]^{k-t}$ has at least $t + 1 - d_t$ parts of size $q_t + 2$. So $n_2 \ge t + 1 - d_t > 0$.

On the other hand since $(t+1)q_t - d_t = u - r$, we get

$$(t+\ell)q_t - d_t = u - r + (\ell - 1)q_t \ge u - r.$$

Thus $[u-r]^{t+\ell}$ has at least d_t parts of size $q_t - 1$. So $n_{-1} \ge d_t$.

Consequently, the poset of $P_{k,\ell}$ contains three U-chains with the following lengths:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|U_{top}| &= |C_{q_t+2}| = u,\\
\\
|U_{middle}| &= |C_{q_t+1}| = u + (u-r) - (n_{-1}(q_t - 1) + n_2 q_t)\\
&\le u + (u-r) - (d_t(q_t-1) + (t+1-d_t)q_t)\\
&= u + u - r - (u - r) = u,\\
\\
|U_{bottom}| &= |C_{q_t}| = u - r + 2(k-t)\\
&\le u - r + 2(k_t - 1 - t) && \text{(By the first inequality in (3.20))}\\
&\le u - r + 2(\lceil \tfrac{r+1}{2} \rceil - 1) && \text{(By Lemma 3.11}b\text{)}\\
&\le u.
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{k,\ell}) = (u, u-r)$ in this case as well and $P_{k,\ell}$ is of type A.

Case 2. Let $t = \min\{u - r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\}$. By inspection for $r = 2$ and by Lemma 3.11(a) for $r \ge 3$, A_t is non-empty only if r is even and $u - r > \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor = \frac{r}{2} - 1$. In this case, $t = \frac{r}{2} - 1$ and $k_{t-1} = r - 2$.

Assume that $(k, \ell) \in A_t$ in this case. Then $k = r - 1$, $1 \le \ell \le u - \frac{3}{2}r + 1$ and $P_{k,\ell} = ([u]^{\frac{r}{2}}, [u-r]^{\frac{r}{2}+\ell-1})$.

Since $t + \ell \ge t + 1 = \frac{r}{2}$,

$$\frac{u-r}{t+\ell} \le \frac{u-r}{\frac{r}{2}} = \frac{u}{\frac{r}{2}} - 2 = \frac{2u}{r} - 2. \tag{3.21}$$

If $\lceil \frac{u-r}{t+\ell} \rceil < \lfloor \frac{2u}{r} \rfloor - 1$, then the poset of $P_{k,\ell}$ contains two U-chains with the following lengths.

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{top}| &= u, \\ |U_{bottom}| &= u - r + 2(\tfrac{r}{2}) \\ &= u \end{aligned}$$

So $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{k,\ell}) = (u, u-r)$ in this case and $P_{k,\ell}$ is of type A (as well as type B).

If $\lceil \frac{u-r}{t+\ell} \rceil = \lfloor \frac{2u}{r} \rfloor - 1$, then by (3.21) we must have $\lfloor \frac{2u}{r} \rfloor = \lceil \frac{2u}{r} \rceil - 1$. Therefore

$$P_{k,\ell} = \left(\left(\left\lfloor \frac{2u}{r} \right\rfloor + 1 \right)^{n_1}, \left(\left\lfloor \frac{2u}{r} \right\rfloor \right)^{n_0}, \left(\left\lfloor \frac{2u}{r} \right\rfloor - 1 \right)^{m_1}, \left(\left\lfloor \frac{2u}{r} \right\rfloor - 2 \right)^{m_0} \right)$$

such that $n_1 > 0$, $n_0 + n_1 = \frac{r}{2}$, $m_0 + m_1 = t + \ell = \frac{r}{2} + (\ell - 1)$, $(n_1 + n_0)\lfloor \frac{2u}{r} \rfloor + n_1 = u$, and $(m_1 + m_0)(\lfloor \frac{2u}{r} \rfloor - 2) + m_1 = u - r$. In particular, we have $n_1 - m_1 = (\ell - 1)(\lfloor \frac{2u}{r} \rfloor - 2) \geq 0$.

So the poset of $P_{k,\ell}$ contains three U-chains with the following lengths.

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{top}| &= u, \\ |U_{middle}| &= m_1(\lfloor \tfrac{2u}{r} \rfloor - 1) + n_0(\lfloor \tfrac{2u}{r} \rfloor) + 2n_1 \\ &\leq n_1(\lfloor \tfrac{2u}{r} \rfloor - 1) + n_0(\lfloor \tfrac{2u}{r} \rfloor) + 2n_1 \\ &= u, \\ |U_{bottom}| &= u - r + 2(\tfrac{r}{2}) \\ &= u. \end{aligned}$$

So in this case $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{k,\ell}) = (u, u-r)$ and $P_{k,\ell}$ is of type A (as well as type B, and even type C when $\ell = 1$).

Part (b). Let $0 \leq t < \min\{u - r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\}$ and let $(k, \ell) \in C_t$. Then either $k = k_t$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq \min\{c_t, u - r - t\}$, or $k > k_t$, $\ell = u - r - t$ and $\ell \leq c_t - (k - k_t)$.

If $c_t \leq 0$ then C_t is empty and therefore there is nothing to prove. We assume that $c_t > 0$. In particular, by definition of c_t (see Definition 3.9) this implies that $d_t > 0$ and hence that $q_t \geq 2$, since $\frac{u-r}{t+1}$ is not an integer and $t + 1 \leq u - r$.

Since by assumption $\ell \leq c_t - (k - k_t)$, using the definition of c_t we get

$$k - t + \ell \leq k_t - t + c_t \leq \left\lceil \frac{u - 2(t+1) + d_t}{q_t} \right\rceil \leq \frac{u - 2(t+1) + d_t + q_t - 1}{q_t}.$$

Multiplying by q_t and subtracting $(1 + d_t)q_t$ we obtain that

$$(k - t + \ell - 1 - d_t)q_t \leq u - 2(t+1) - d_t(q_t - 1) - 1 < u - 2(t+1) - d_t(q_t - 1). \tag{3.22}$$

On the other hand, since $k \geq k_t$ and $\ell \geq 1$, we have by the definition of k_t that

$$k - t + \ell - 1 \geq k_t - t = \left\lceil \frac{u - t + d_t}{q_t + 1} \right\rceil \geq \frac{u - t + d_t}{q_t + 1}.$$

Then, multiplying this last inequality by q_t+1 and subtracting $d_t(q_t+1)$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned}(k-t+\ell-1-d_t)(q_t+1) &\geq u-t+d_t-d_t(q_t+1)\\ &= u-2(t+1)-d_t(q_t-1)+(t+2-d_t) \qquad (3.23)\\ &> u-2(t+1)-d_t(q_t-1) \qquad (\text{since } t+1>d_t),\\ &> 0 \qquad (\text{see below}).\end{aligned}$$

Above, we have $u-2(t+1)-d(q+1)>0$ since $2(t+1)\leq r-1$ and $d(q-1)=dq-d<(t+1)q-d=u-r$. It follows from (3.22) and (3.23) that we can write

$$[u-2(t+1)-d_t(q_t-1)]^{k-t+\ell-1-d_t}=(\,(q_t+1)^{n_1},q_t^{n_0}\,)$$

with $n_1\geq 1,\ n_0\geq t+2-d_t>1$ and where $n_0+n_1=k-t+\ell-1-d_t$ is positive.

Thus, using that $[u-r+2(t+1)]^{t+1}=((q_t+2)^{t+1-d_t},(q_t+1)^{d_t})$, we have

$$P_{k,\ell}=((q_t+2)^{t+1-d_t},(q_t+1)^{d_t+n_1},q_t^{n_0},(q_t-1)^{d_t}). \qquad (3.24)$$

Therefore the poset of the partition $P_{k,\ell}$ contains three U-chains with the following lengths:

$$\begin{aligned}|U_{top}| &= (u+u-r)-(d_t(q_t-1)+n_0q_t)\\ &= u+u-r-d_t(q_t-1)-n_0q_t\\ &\leq (u+u-r)-d_t(q_t-1)-(t+2-d_t)q_t\\ &= u+u-r-d_tq_t-(-d_t+(t+1)q_t)-q_t+d_tq_t\\ &= u-q_t \qquad (\text{by the definition of } q_t),\\ &< u \qquad (\text{since } q_t\geq 2).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}|U_{middle}| &= (u+u-r)-[(t+1-d_t)(q_t+2)+d_t(q_t-1)]+2(t+1-d_t)\\ &= (u+u-r)-[(t+1)(q_t)-d_t]\\ &= u.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}|U_{bottom}| &= (u+u-r)-[(t+1-d_t)(q_t+2)+(d_t+n_1)(q_t-1)]\\ &= u+u-r-[u-r+n_1(q_t+1)]\\ &< u. \qquad (\text{since } q_t\geq 2 \text{ and } n_1\geq 1).\end{aligned}$$

So $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{k,\ell})=(u,u-r)$, as desired, and $P_{k,\ell}$ is of type C but not of type A or B.

Part (c). Let $0\leq t<\min\{u-r,\lfloor\frac{r-1}{2}\rfloor\}$ and let $(k,\ell)\in B_t$. Then either $k=k_t$ and $c_t<\ell\leq u-r-t$, or $k>k_t$, $\ell=u-r-t$ and $\ell>c_t-(k-k_t)$. Recall $P_{k,\ell}=\big([u-r+2(t+1)]^{t+1},[u-2(t+1)]^{k-t+\ell-1}\big)$. Note that $k-t+\ell-1\geq 0$ since $k-t\geq k_t-t\geq 0$, by definition of k_t, and $\ell\geq 1$.

Since $k\geq k_t$ and $\ell\geq 1$, we have

$$k-t+\ell-1\geq k_t-t=\left\lceil\frac{u-t+d_t}{q_t+1}\right\rceil\geq\frac{u-t+d_t}{q_t+1}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}(k-t+\ell-1)(q_t+1) &\geq u-t+d_t\\ &= u-2(t+1)+(t+2-d_t) \qquad (3.25)\\ &> u-2(t+1). \qquad (\text{ Since } d_t<t+1.)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\lceil \frac{u-2(t+1)}{k-t+\ell-1} \rceil \leq q_t + 1$.

Case 1. Let $d_t = 0$.
In this case $k_t - t = \left\lceil \dfrac{u-t}{q_t+1} \right\rceil$ and $[u - r + 2(t+1)]^{t+1} = ((q_t+2)^{t+1})$.

Case 1.1. If $\lceil \frac{u-2(t+1)}{k-t+\ell-1} \rceil < q_t + 1$, then the largest part of the partition $[u - 2(t+1)]^{k-t+\ell-1}$ is at most q_t, and therefore it is not adjacent to the parts of $[u - r + 2(t+1)]^{t+1}$. Thus the lengths of the U-chains in the poset of $P_{k,\ell}$ are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{top}| &= u - r + 2(t+1) \\ &\leq u - r + 2\lfloor \tfrac{r-1}{2} \rfloor \\ &< u. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{bottom}| &= 2(t+1) + [u - 2(t+1)] \\ &= u \end{aligned}$$

So $\mathfrak{U}(P_{k,\ell}) = (u, u-r)$ and $P_{k,\ell}$ is of type B but not of type A.

Case 1.2. If $\lceil \frac{u-2(t+1)}{k-t+\ell-1} \rceil = q_t + 1$, then, since $d_t = 0$, using (3.25), we get

$$(k - t + \ell - 1)(q_t + 1) - (t + 2) \geq u - 2(t+1).$$

Thus the partition $[u-2(t+1)]^{k-t+\ell-1}$ must have at least $t+2$ parts of size q_t. So we can write

$$[u - 2(t+1)]^{k-t+\ell-1} = ((q_t+1)^{k-t+\ell-1-n_0}, q_t^{n_0}),$$

with $n_0 \geq t + 2$. Thus $P_{k,\ell} = (\,(q_t+2)^{t+1}, (q_t+1)^{k-t+\ell-1-n_0}, q_t^{n_0}\,)$ and the lengths of the U-chains in the poset of $P_{k,\ell}$ are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{top}| &= |C_{q_t+2}| \\ &= (u + u - r) - n_0 q_t \\ &\leq (u + u - r) - (t+2) q_t \\ &= u + u - r - (u - r) - q_t \qquad \text{(since } u - r = (t+1)q_t\text{)} \\ &< u \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{bottom}| &= |C_{q_t+1}| \\ &= (u + u - r) - (t+1)(q_t+2) + 2(t+1) \\ &= u + u - r - (u - r) \\ &= u. \end{aligned}$$

So $\mathfrak{U}(P_{k,\ell}) = (u, u-r)$ and $P_{k,\ell}$ is of type B but not of type A.

This completes the proof of (c) in Case 1.

Case 2. Let $d_t > 0$.

In this case $[u-r+2(t+1)]^{t+1} = ((q_t+2)^{t+1-d_t}, (q_t+1)^{d_t})$. Since by assumption $\ell > c_t - (k-k_t)$, we must have by the definition of c_t that

$$k-t+\ell-1 \geq \left\lceil \frac{u-2(t+1)+d_t}{q_t} \right\rceil \geq \frac{u-2(t+1)+d_t}{q_t}.$$

Therefore

$$(k-t+\ell-1)q_t \geq u-2(t+1)+d_t > u-2(t+1). \tag{3.26}$$

Thus $\lceil \frac{u-2(t+1)}{k-t+\ell-1} \rceil \leq q_t$.

Case 2.1. If $\lceil \frac{u-2(t+1)}{k-t+\ell-1} \rceil < q_t$ then the lengths of the U-chains in the poset of $P_{k,\ell}$ are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{top}| &= u-r+2(t+1) \\ &\leq u-r+2\lfloor \tfrac{r-1}{2} \rfloor \\ &< u. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{bottom}| &= 2(t+1)+[u-2(t+1)] \\ &= u \end{aligned}$$

So $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{k,\ell}) = (u, u-r)$ and $P_{k,\ell}$ is of type B but not of type A.

Case 2.2. If $\lceil \frac{u-2(t+1)}{k-t+\ell-1} \rceil = q_t$, then since by (3.26) we have

$$(k-t+\ell-1)q_t - d_t \geq u-2(t+1),$$

the partition $[u-2(t+1)]^{k-t+\ell-1}$ has at least d_t parts of size q_t-1. So we can write

$$[u-2(t+1)]^{k-t+\ell-1} = (q_t^{k-t+\ell-1-n_{-1}}, (q_t-1)^{n_{-1}}),$$

with $n_{-1} \geq d_t$. Thus

$$P_{k,\ell} = ((q_t+2)^{t+1-d_t}, (q_t+1)^{d_t}, q_t^{k-t+\ell-1-n_{-1}}, (q_t-1)^{n_{-1}})$$

and the lengths of the U-chains in the poset of $P_{k,\ell}$ are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{top}| &= |C_{q_t+2}| \\ &= u-r+2(t+1) \\ &\leq u-r+2\lfloor \tfrac{r-1}{2} \rfloor \\ &< u \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{middle}| &= |C_{q_t+1}| \\ &= (u+u-r)+[(t+1-d_t)q_t + n_{-1}(q_t-1)] \\ &\leq u+u-r-[(t+1-d_t)q_t + d_t(q_t-1)] \\ &= u \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{bottom}| &= |C_{q_t}| \\ &= 2(t+1)+[u-2(t+1)] \\ &= u \end{aligned}$$

So $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{k,\ell}) = (u, u-r)$ and $P_{k,\ell}$ is of type B but not of type A. (It may also be of type C.)

This completes the proof in Case 2 and therefore the proof of part (c).

Part (d). It is easy to check that by construction each partition $P_{k,\ell}$ in parts (a),(b),(c) of the Theorem has $k+\ell$ parts. What remains is to show

(1) every (k,ℓ) with $1 \le k \le r-1$ and $1 \le \ell \le u-r$ belongs to exactly one of the sets A_t, B_t, C_t, and

(2) all partitions $P_{k,\ell}$ are distinct, that is, if $(k,\ell) \ne (k',\ell')$, then $P_{k,\ell} \ne P_{k',\ell'}$.

We begin with the proof of assertion (1). Let $(k,\ell) \in \{1,2,\ldots,r-1\} \times \{1,2,\ldots,u-r\}$. By Lemma 3.11(c), there exists a unique $t \in \{1,2,\ldots t_{\max}, t_{max}+1\}$ where $t_{\max} = \min\{u-r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\} - 1$, such that either

(i) $k_{t-1} < k < k_t$ or

(ii) $t \le t_{\max}$ and $k = k_t$.

Assume first that we are in case (i). If $1 \le \ell \le u-r-t$ then $(k,\ell) \in A_t$. If $\ell > u-r-t$ then put

$$T = t - (\ell - (u-r-t)).$$

It is immediate from the definition of B_T and C_T that if $\ell \le c_T - (k-k_T)$ then $(k,\ell) \in C_T$ whereas if $\ell > c_T - (k-k_T)$ then $(k,\ell) \in B_T$.

We now assume that we are in case (ii). If $\ell \le c_t - (k-k_t)$ then $(k,\ell) \in C_t$, whereas if $\ell > c_t - (k-k_t)$ then $(k,\ell) \in B_t$.

We have shown that the family of sets

$$\{A_t : 0 \le t \le t_{\max}+1\} \bigcup \{B_t : 0 \le t \le t_{\max}\} \bigcup \{C_t : 0 \le t \le t_{\max}\}$$

cover the rectangle $\{1,2,\ldots,r-1\} \times \{1,2,\ldots,u-r\}$ in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. The observation that any two sets in the family are disjoint completes the proof of assertion (1).

We proceed with the proof of assertion (2). Let (k,ℓ) and (k',ℓ') be two distinct elements of $\{1,\ldots,r-1\} \times \{1,\ldots,n-r\}$. Put

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_A &= \cup\{A_t \mid 0 \le t \le t_{\max}+1\} \\
\mathcal{F}_B &= \cup\{B_t \mid 0 \le t \le t_{\max}\} \\
\mathcal{F}_C &= \cup\{C_t \mid 0 \le t \le t_{\max}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from parts (a),(b),(c) of Theorem 3.13 that if (k,ℓ) and (k',ℓ') do not belong to the same set $\mathcal{F}_A, \mathcal{F}_B, \mathcal{F}_C$, then $P_{k,\ell} \ne P_{k',\ell'}$ since the two partitions are of different type.
Case 1. Suppose (k,ℓ) and $(k',\ell') \in \mathcal{F}_A$. Then there exist t, t' with $0 \le t' \le t$ and $t \in \{0,1,\ldots,t_{\max}, t_{\max}+1\}$ such that $(k,\ell) \in A_t$ and $(k',\ell') \in A_{t'}$. We can assume $t' \le t$. In particular $k_{t-1} < k < k_t$ and $k_{t'-1} < k' < k_{t'}$.

Case 1.1. If $t' = t$ then $P_{k,\ell} = \left([u]^{k-t}, [u-r]^{t+\ell}\right)$ and $P_{k',\ell'} = \left([u]^{k'-t}, [u-r]^{t+\ell}\right)$ are obviously distinct.

Case 1.2. If $t' < t$ then, by Lemma (3.11b), we have

$$k' - t' < k_{t'} - t' \leq k_{t-1} - (t-1) < k - t + 1.$$

Thus $k' - t' < k - t$, and therefore $P_{k,\ell} = \left([u]^{k-t}, [u-r]^{t+\ell}\right)$ and $P_{k',\ell'} = \left([u]^{k'-t'}, [u-r]^{t'+\ell'}\right)$ are distinct.

Case 2. Suppose now that (k,ℓ) and (k',ℓ') are both in $\mathcal{F}_C$. Then there exist t, t' with $0 \leq t' \leq t$ and $t \in \{0, 1, \ldots t_{\max} + 1\}$ such that $(k,\ell) \in C_t$ and $(k',\ell') \in C_{t'}$.

Case 2.1. Suppose $t = t'$. It is easy to see from the definition of C_t that we must have $k + \ell \neq k' + \ell'$ since $(k,\ell) \neq (k',\ell')$. Consequently,

$$[u - 2(t+1) - d_t(q_t - 1)]^{k-t+\ell-d_t-1} \neq [u - 2(t+1) - d_t(q_t-1)]^{k'-t+\ell'-d_t-1},$$

and so $P_{k,\ell} \neq P_{k',\ell'}$.

Case 2.2. Suppose $t' < t$. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} [u - r + 2(t+1)]^{t+1} &= \left((q_t+2)^{t+1-d_t}, (q_t+1)^{d_t}\right) \\ [u - r + 2(t'+1)]^{t'+1} &= \left((q_{t'}+2)^{t'+1-d_{t'}}, (q_{t'}+1)^{d_{t'}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It is enough to show that the rectangular partitions $(q_t+2)^{t+1-d_t}$ and $(q_{t'}+2)^{t'+1-d_{t'}}$ are distinct. This is obvious if $q_{t'} > q_t$. Assume that $q_{t'} = q_t = q$. Since by definition $q_{t'} = \lceil \frac{u-r}{t'+1} \rceil$, and by assumption $t' < t < u - r$, we get $q = q_{t'} > 1$. Using $u - r = (t'+1)q - d_{t'} = (t+1)q - d_t$, we have

$$(t' + 1 - d_{t'}) - (t + 1 - d_t) = (t - t')(q - 1) > 0.$$

Thus $(q_t+2)^{t+1-d_t}$ and $(q_{t'}+2)^{t'+1-d_{t'}}$ are distinct, and consequently $P_{k,\ell}$ and $P_{k',\ell'}$ are distinct partitions.

Case 3. Suppose now that (k,ℓ) and (k',ℓ') are both in $\mathcal{F}_B$. Then there exist t, t' with $0 \leq t' \leq t$ and $t \in \{0, 1, \ldots t_{\max} + 1\}$ such that $(k,\ell) \in B_t$ and $(k',\ell') \in B_{t'}$.

Case 3.1 Suppose $t = t'$. It is easy to see from the definition of B_t that we must have $k + \ell \neq k' + \ell'$ since $(k,\ell) \neq (k',\ell')$. Consequently

$$[u - 2(t+1)]^{k-t+\ell-1} \neq [u - 2(t+1)]^{k'-t+\ell'-1},$$

and so $P_{k,\ell} \neq P_{k',\ell'}$.

Case 3.2. Suppose $t' < t$. Then we proceed exactly as in case 2.2. This completes the proof of part (d). □

Proof of Corollary 3.15. The Corollary follows immediately from the proof of Assertion (1) in the proof of Theorem 3.13(d) and Definition 3.14. □

3.3 Properties and Examples of the table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$.

Corollary 3.17 (Corner elements of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$)**.** *Let $Q = (u, u-r)$ with $u > r \geq 3$. The four corners of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ are*

$$\begin{array}{cc} P_{1,1} = (u, u-r) & P_{1,u-r} = (u, 1^{u-r}) \\ P_{r-1,1} \text{ (given below)} & P_{r-1,u-r} = (u-r+2, 1^{u-2}). \end{array}.$$

For $P_{r-1,1}$ we have the following three cases:

(a) If $u - r \leq \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor$ then $P_{r-1,1} = (3^{u-r}, 1^{2r-u})$;

(b) If $u - r > \frac{r-1}{2}$ and r is odd, then $P_{r-1,1} = ([u-1]^{\frac{r-1}{2}}, [u-r+1]^{\frac{r+1}{2}})$;

(c) If $u - r > \frac{r}{2}$ and r is even, then $P_{r-1,1} = ([u]^{\frac{r}{2}}, [u-r]^{\frac{r}{2}})$.

$P_{1,1}$ is the first partition and $P_{1,u-r}$ is the last partition in the first A row of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$. The partition $P_{r-1,u-r}$ is the last partition in the first B/C hook of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$, which consists entirely of partitions of type B. In case (a), $P_{r-1,1}$ is the last partition in a B/C hook, which is here a (partial) column. In case (b), $P_{r-1,1}$ is the first partition in a B/C hook, which is here a (partial) row consisting of type B partitions. In case (c) $P_{r-1,1}$ is the first partition in the last A row of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$.

Proof. The partitions $P_{1,1}$ and $P_{1,u-r}$ are directly obtained from part (a) of Theorem 3.13. The partition $P_{r-1,u-r}$ is the last entry of the first B/C hook of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$. Since $c_0 = 0$, this hook only has partitions of type B and $P_{r-1,u-r}$ is obtained from part (c) of Theorem 3.13.

To determine $P_{r-1,u-r}$, we use Lemma 3.11(a) to obtain $k_{t_{\max}}$ in each case and then use Theorem 3.13.

If $u - r \leq \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor$ then $t_{\max} = u - r - 1$ and $k_{t_{\max}} = u - \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor - 1 \leq r - 1$. As observed in the proof of Lemma 3.11(a), $d_{t_{\max}} = 0$ whence $c_{t_{\max}} = 0$. Thus, by Theorem 3.13(c) the $t_{\max}$-th B/C hook is a partial column consisting entirely of partitions of type B, and

$$P_{r-1,1} = ([u - r + 2(u-r)]^{u-r}, [u - 2(u-r)]^{2r-u}) = (3^{u-r}, 1^{2r-u})$$

is the last partition of the hook.

If $u - r > \frac{r-1}{2}$ and r is odd, then $t_{\max} = \frac{r-1}{2} - 1$ and by Lemma 3.11(a), $k_{t_{\max}} = r - 1$. Moreover, a straightforward computation using the definition shows that $c_{t_{\max}} = 0$. Thus by Theorem 3.13(c) $P_{r-1,1}$ is the first partition in the last B/C hook of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$, which is horizontal and consists entirely of partitions of type B. Moreover,

$$P_{r-1,1} = ([u - r + 2(\frac{r-1}{2})]^{\frac{r-1}{2}}, [u - 2(\frac{r-1}{2})]^{\frac{r+1}{2}}) = ([u-1]^{\frac{r-1}{2}}, [u-r+1]^{\frac{r+1}{2}}).$$

Finally, if $u - r > \frac{r}{2}$ and r is even, then $t_{\max} = \frac{r}{2} - 2$ and by Lemma 3.11(a), $k_{t_{\max}} = r - 2$. Thus by Theorem 3.13(a) $P_{r-1,1}$ is the first partition in the final A row of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ and

$$P_{r-1,1} = ([u]^{r-1-(\frac{r}{2}-2+1)}, [u-r]^{(\frac{r}{2}-2+1)+1}) = ([u]^{\frac{r}{2}}, [u-r]^{\frac{r}{2}}).$$

□

Remark 3.18. The partition $P_{r-1,u-r} = (u-r+2, [u-2]^{u-2})$ is the unique partition in the table $\mathcal{T}(u, u-r)$ for which the number of parts is equal to $u-1 = (r-1)+(u-r)$, the maximum possible for $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ by Theorem 3.13 and 3.31. Case (a) for $P_{r-1,1}$ occurs in Examples 3.21, 3.26 and 3.27. Cases (b) and (c) for $P_{r-1,1}$ are seen respectively in Example 3.23 and Example 3.24.

Recall that the Bruhat partial order $\geq$ can be defined as follows. Let $P = (p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \cdots \geq p_s)$ and $P' = (p'_1 \geq \cdots \geq p'_{s'})$ be partitions of n. Then

$$P \geq P' \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} p'_i \text{ for all } m \text{ with } 1 \leq m \leq \min\{s, s'\}. \tag{3.27}$$

The following should be a combinatorial consequence of Theorem 3.13 and follows from the geometric Conjecture 4.17.

Conjecture 3.19 (Bruhat order on the first column of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$)**.** Let $Q = (u, u-r), r \geq 3$. For $1 \leq k \leq r-2$ we have $P_{k,1} \geq P_{k+1,1}$ in the Bruhat order.

Taking $m = 1$ in the definition of Bruhat order, this conjecture implies that the largest part of $P_{k,1}$ is non-increasing in the first column of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$, a fact we use in Section 5.4. We give a proof.

Lemma 3.20. *Fix $Q = (u, u-r)$ with $u > r \geq 2$. For $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r-1\}$, we denote by p_k the largest part of $P_{k,1} \in \mathcal{T}(Q)$. Then $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{r-1}$ is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.13, it is clear that going down the first column of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$, the largest part of the partitions does not increase from $P_{k,1}$ to $P_{k+1,1}$ as long as either both partitions are of type A, or $P_{k,1}$ is of type B or C and $P_{k+1,1}$ is of type B or C. To complete the proof, it is enough to show that for each integer t with $0 \leq t < \min\{u-r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\}$, we have

(a) $p_{k_t-1} \geq p_{k_t}$ whenever $P_{k_t-1,1}$ is of type A; and

(b) $p_{k_t} \geq p_{k_t+1}$ whenever $P_{k_t+1,1}$ is of type A.

We begin with assertion (a). By Theorem 3.13, we have

$$p_{k_t-1} = \left\lceil \frac{u}{k_t - 1 - t} \right\rceil, \; p_{k_t} = \lceil \frac{u-r}{t+1} \rceil + 2.$$

Recall that by Definition 3.9, we have

$$q_t = \left\lceil \frac{u-r}{t+1} \right\rceil, \text{ and } k_t = t + \left\lceil \frac{u-t+d_t}{q_t+1} \right\rceil \text{ where } d_t = (t+1)q_t - (u-r).$$

Therefore

$$p_{k_t-1} = \left\lceil \frac{u}{\lceil \frac{u-t+d_t}{q_t+1} \rceil - 1} \right\rceil, \; p_{k_t} = q_t + 2, \text{ and } p_{k_t+1} = \left\lceil \frac{u}{\lceil \frac{u-t+d_t}{q_t+1} \rceil} \right\rceil.$$

Using the inequalities $\frac{u-t+d_t}{q_t+1} \leq \lceil \frac{u-t+d_t}{q_t+1} \rceil \leq \frac{u-t+d_t+q_t}{q_t+1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_{k_t-1} &\geq \frac{u}{\lceil \frac{u-t+d_t}{q_t+1} \rceil - 1} \\ &\geq \frac{u(q_t+1)}{u-t+d_t-1} \\ &= q_t + 1 + \frac{(t-d_t+1)(q_t+1)}{u-t+d_t-1} \\ &> q_t + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Here to see the last inequality, we use the inequalities $d_t < t+1 \leq u-r$ which hold by the definition of d_t and the assumption that $t \leq t_{\max}$. Thus $p_{k_t-1} \geq q_t + 2 = p_{k_t}$.

We now turn to assertion (b). We have that $p_{k_t} = q_t + 2$ and that $p_{k_t+1} = \lceil \frac{u}{\lceil \frac{u-t+d_t}{q_t+1} \rceil} \rceil$. Using that $\lceil \frac{u-t+d_t}{q_t+1} \rceil \geq \frac{u-t+d_t}{q_t+1}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_{k_t+1} &< \frac{u}{\lceil \frac{u-t+d_t}{q_t+1} \rceil} + 1 \\ &\leq \frac{u(q_t+1)}{u-t+d_t} + 1 \\ &= q_t + 1 + \frac{(t-d_t)(q_t+1)}{u-t+d_t} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $d_t \geq 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (t-d_t)(q_t+1) &\leq t(q_t+1) \\ &= (t+1)q_t + t - q_t \\ &= u - r + d_t + t - q_t \\ &= u - t + d_t - (r + q_t) \\ &< u - t + d_t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $p_{k_t+1} \leq q_t + 2$. This completes the proof. □

Example 3.21 (Table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ and table invariants for $Q = (27, 3)$)**.** Here $u - r = 3$, and $r = 24$. We have $t_{\max} = \min\{u - r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\} - 1 = 2$ and the table invariants of Definition 3.9 are

$q_0 = \lceil \frac{3}{1} \rceil = 3$	$q_1 = \lceil \frac{3}{2} \rceil = 2$	$q_2 = \lceil \frac{3}{3} \rceil = 1$
$d_0 = 0,$	$d_1 = 1,$ and	$d_2 = 0,$
$k_0 = \lceil \frac{27}{4} \rceil = 7,$ and	$k_1 = 1 + \lceil \frac{27}{3} \rceil = 10,$ and	$k_2 = 2 + \lceil \frac{25}{2} \rceil = 15,$ and
$c_0 = 0.$	$c_1 = 3.$	$c_2 = 0.$

Recall from Theorem 3.13 that $k_0 = 7, k_1 = 10, k_2 = 15$ are the rows of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ where type B/C hooks begin. By Theorem 3.13 we have

$(27,3)$	$(27,[3]^2)$	$(27,[3]^3)$
$([27]^2,3)$	$([27]^2,[3]^2)$	$([27]^2,[3]^3)$
$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$	$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$	$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$
$([27]^6,3)$	$([27]^6,[3]^2)$	$([27]^6,[3]^3)$
$(5,[25]^7)$	$(5,[25]^8)$	$(5,[25]^9)$
$([27]^8,[3]^2)$	$([27]^8,[3]^3)$	$(5,[25]^{10})$
$([27]^9,[3]^2)$	$([27]^9,[3]^3)$	$(5,[25]^{11})$
$([7]^2,[22]^8,1)$	$([7]^2,[22]^9,1)$	$(5,[25]^{12})$
$([27]^9,[3]^3)$	$([7]^2,[22]^{10},1)$	$(5,[25]^{13})$
$([27]^{10},[3]^3)$	$([7]^2,[23]^{12})$	$(5,[25]^{14})$
$([27]^{11},[3]^3)$	$([7]^2,[23]^{13})$	$(5,[25]^{15})$
$([27]^{12},[3]^3)$	$([7]^2,[23]^{14})$	$(5,[25]^{16})$
$([9]^3,[21]^{13})$	$([7]^2,[23]^{15})$	$(5,[25]^{17})$
$([9]^3,[21]^{14})$	$([7]^2,[23]^{16})$	$(5,[25]^{18})$
$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$	$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$	$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$
$([9]^3,[21]^{21})$	$([7]^2,[23]^{23})$	$(5,[25]^{25})$

Table 3.1: Table $\mathcal{T}(Q), Q = (27,3)$

- For $1 \le k \le 6$, and $1 \le \ell \le 3$, we have $P_{k,\ell} = ([27]^k,[3]^\ell)$.
- For $k = 7$ and $1 \le \ell \le 3$, we have $P_{7,\ell} = (5,[25]^{\ell+6})$. (Type B, horizontal part of B/C hook)
- For $8 \le k \le 23$, and $\ell = 3$, we have $P_{k,3} = (5,[25]^{k+2})$. (Type B, vertical part of the same B/C hook)
- For $8 \le k \le 9$, and $1 \le \ell \le 2$, we have $P_{k,\ell} = ([27]^k,[3]^{\ell+1})$. (Type A)
- For $k = 10$ and $1 \le \ell \le 2$, we have $\ell \le c_1 = 3$, and therefore $P_{10,\ell} = ([7]^2,[22]^{\ell+7},1)$. (Type C, in blue in Table 3.1)
- For $k = 11$ and $\ell = 2$, we have $\ell \le c_1 - (11-10) = 2$, and therefore $P_{11,2} = ([7]^2,[22]^{10},1)$. (Type C, in blue in Table 3.1)
- For $12 \le k \le 23$ and $\ell = 2$ we have $\ell > c_1 - (k-10)$ and therefore $P_{k,2} = ([7]^2,[23]^k)$. (Type B, red in Table 3.1, forming with the blue type C partitions a single B/C hook).
- For $11 \le k \le 14$ and $\ell = 1$ we have $P_{k,1} = ([27]^{k-2},[3]^3)$. (Type A)
- For $15 \le k \le 23$, $P_{k,1} = ([9]^3,[21]^{k-2})$. (Type B, this vertical B/C hook is in purple in Table 3.1).

Normal pattern.

We say that $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ has *normal pattern* if

(i) $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ contains no partitions that are of type C but not of type A or B, and

(ii) in the first column of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ the type A and type B partitions strictly alternate.

R. Zhao showed in [47] that when $u >> r$ then $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ has normal pattern, and in particular that $|\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)| = (r-1)(u-r)$ in this case. For completeness we include a different proof of this normal pattern result using Theorem 3.13.

Corollary 3.22. *When $u > \max\{r + r^2/8, 3r/2\}$ then $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ has normal pattern.*

Proof. Condition (i) in the definition of normal pattern is immediate from Corollary 3.7 , since $u > r + r^2/8$. We now show condition (ii), that is $P_{k,1}$ is of type A if k is odd, and of type B (but not of type A) if k is even, for all $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r-1\}$. First, observe that since $u > r/2$

$$u - r > r/2 > \frac{r}{2} > \frac{r-1}{2} \geq \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

It follows from the definition of $t_{\max}$ that $t_{\max} = \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor$. By Theorem 3.13 it is therefore enough to show that $k_t = 2t + 2$ for all $t \in \{0, 1, \ldots, t_{\max}\}$.

By equation (3.17) we have $k_t = 2t+1+\lceil \frac{r-2(t+1)+1}{q_t+1} \rceil$, so we need for each $t \in \{0, 1, \ldots t_{\max}\}$ that

$$q_t + 1 \geq r - 2(t+1) + 1 = r - 2t - 1. \tag{3.28}$$

Since $q_t = \lceil (u-r)/(t+1) \rceil$ it suffices to show that when $0 \leq t \leq r/2$ we have that

$$\frac{u-r}{t+1} \geq r - 2t - 2 \text{ or, equivalently,} \tag{3.29}$$

$$u \geq r + (r - 2t - 2)(t+1). \tag{3.30}$$

The expression on the right of (3.30) is maximum for t in the interval $[0, r/2]$ at $t_0 = r/4 - 1$ and has maximum value $r + r^2/8$. This completes the proof. □

Example 3.23. The table $\mathcal{T}((10,7))$ has normal pattern.

$\mathcal{T}((10,7))$	$\ell = 1$	2	3	4	5	6	7
$k = 1$	$(10,7)$	$(10,[7]^2)$	$(10,[7]^3)$	$(10,[7]^4)$	$(10,[7]^5)$	$(10,[7]^6)$	$(10,[7]^7)$
$k = 2$	$(\mathbf{9},[\mathbf{8}]^{\mathbf{2}})$	$(\mathbf{9},[\mathbf{8}]^{\mathbf{3}})$	$(\mathbf{9},[\mathbf{8}]^{\mathbf{4}})$	$(\mathbf{9},[\mathbf{8}]^{\mathbf{5}})$	$(\mathbf{9},[\mathbf{8}]^{\mathbf{6}})$	$(\mathbf{9},[\mathbf{8}]^{\mathbf{7}})$	$(\mathbf{9},[\mathbf{8}]^{\mathbf{8}})$

The first row is of type A; the second row is a B/C hook.

Example 3.24. The table $\mathcal{T}((10,6))$ also has normal pattern

$\mathcal{T}((10,6))$	$\ell = 1$	2	3	4	5	6
$k = 1$	$(10,6)$	$(10,[6]^2)$	$(10,[6]^3)$	$(10,[6]^4)$	$(10,[6]^5)$	$(10,[6]^6)$
2	$(\mathbf{8},[\mathbf{8}]^{\mathbf{2}})$	$(\mathbf{8},[\mathbf{8}]^{\mathbf{3}})$	$(\mathbf{8},[\mathbf{8}]^{\mathbf{4}})$	$(\mathbf{8},[\mathbf{8}]^{\mathbf{5}})$	$(\mathbf{8},[\mathbf{8}]^{\mathbf{6}})$	$(\mathbf{8},[\mathbf{8}]^{\mathbf{7}})$
3	$([10]^2,[6]^2)$	$([10]^2,[6]^3)$	$([10]^2,[6]^4)$	$([10]^2,[6]^5)$	$([10]^2,[6]^6)$	$(\mathbf{8},[\mathbf{8}]^{\mathbf{8}})$

The partitions in bold are of type B and form a B/C hook. The other partitions are of type A.

Some tables that do not have normal pattern.

The tables $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ in Examples 3.21 above and Examples 3.27, 3.28 below do not have normal patterns. We give one more here that consists entirely of partitions of type A and B.

Example 3.25. Let $Q = (10, 2)$, so $u = 10, r = 8$. For readability we list here the transpose $\mathcal{T}(Q)^T$, a $(u - r) \times (r - 1)$ rectangle of partitions, in place of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$.

	$k=1$	2	3	4	5	6	7
$\ell = 1$	$(10, 2)$	$(5, 5, 2)$	$(4, 3, 3, 2)$	$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2^4})$	$(3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1)$	$(3, 3, 2, 1^4)$	$(3, 3, 1^6)$
$\ell = 2$	$(10, 1, 1)$	$(5, 5, 1, 1)$	$(4, 3, 3, 1, 1)$	$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2^3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$	$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2^2}, \mathbf{1^4})$	$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1^6})$	$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1^8})$

Using the $[n]^k$ notation for the same partitions, we again write the transpose $\mathcal{T}(Q)^T$

$(10, 2)$	$([10]^2, 2)$	$([10]^3, 2)$	$(\mathbf{4}, [\mathbf{8}]^\mathbf{4})$	$([6]^2, [6]^4)$	$([6]^2, [6]^5)$	$([6]^2, [6]^6)$
$(10, [2]^2)$	$([10]^2, [2]^2)$	$([10^3], [2]^2)$	$(\mathbf{4}, [\mathbf{8}]^\mathbf{5})$	$(\mathbf{4}, [\mathbf{8}]^\mathbf{6})$	$(\mathbf{4}, [\mathbf{8}]^\mathbf{7})$	$(\mathbf{4}, [\mathbf{8}]^\mathbf{8})$

The bold and blue partitions are of type B. The bold ones form the B/C hook for $t = 0$, the blue ones the B/C hook for $t = 1$.

Tables containing partitions of type C

Example 3.26 ([47])**.** Consider $Q = (12, 3)$. Here $\mathcal{T}(Q)$, which is shown in Table 3.2, has a type C partition $P = P_{5,1} = (4, [10]^4, 1) = (4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 1)$: neither the top U-chain C_4 nor the bottom U-chain C_2 of $\mathcal{D}_P$ has the maximum length 12 of the U-chain C_3. In the notation of Definition 3.5, $P = P_C$ for the sequence $C = (1, 1, 1, 1; 4)$. By Lemma 3.6 this P is the unique type C partition of smallest length.

$\mathcal{T}(Q)$	$\ell = 1$	2	3
$k = 1$	$(12, 3)$	$(12, [3]^2)$	$(12, [3]^3)$
2	$([12]^2, 3)$	$[12]^2, [3]^2)$	$([12]^2, [3]^3)$
3	$(5, [10]^3)$	$(5, [10]^4)$	$(5, [10]^5)$
4	$([12]^3, [3]^2)$	$([12]^3, [3]^3)$	$(5, [10]^6)$
5	$(4, [10]^4, 1)^C$	$([7]^2, [8]^5)$	$(5, [10]^7)$
6	$([12]^4, [3]^3)$	$([7]^2, [8]^6)$	$(5, [10]^8)$
7	$([9]^3, [6]^5)$	$([7]^2, [8]^7)$	$(5, [10]^9)$
8	$([9]^3, [6]^6)$	$([7]^2, [8]^8)$	$(5, [10]^{10})$

Table 3.2: Table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ for $Q = (12, 3)$ (See Example 3.26).

For $Q = (12, 3)$ we have $k_0 = 3, k_1 = 5$ and $k_2 = 7$, so there are three B/C hooks in $\mathcal{T}(Q)$: the red one starting at $P_{3,1} = (5, [10]^3)$, the blue one starting at $P_{5,1} = (4, [10]^4, 1))$, and the red one starting at $P_{7,1} = ([9]^3, [6]^5)$; see Table 3.2. The black partitions are of type A. The only type partition in $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ that is of type C, but not of type A or B is $P_{5,1} = (4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 1)$. It "replaces" a potential first element $P' = ([7]^2, [8]^4) = (4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2)$ of the second B/C hook, for which $\mathfrak{Q}(P') = (13, 2)$, not $(12, 3)$.

This example illustrates that the A rows and B/C hooks need not occur in alternating even-odd rows as in a normal pattern: the first two rows are of type A. In Example 3.27 we will see that there can be two adjacent B/C hooks.

Example 3.27. Let $Q = (16, 5)$. The Table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ is given in Table 3.3. The partition P_C for $C = (1, 1, 1, 1; 5)$ is $(5, 4^2, 3^2, 2) = ([13]^3, [8]^3) = P_{5,1}$. Remark 3.8 relates the sequence C to the "basic" sequence $C_0 = (1, 1, 1, 1; 4)$ corresponding to the type C partition $P_{C_0} = (4, [10]^4, 1)$ of Example 3.26. The relative position of $P_C = ([13]^3, [8]^3)$ in $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ is the same as that of the

$\mathcal{T}(Q)$	$\ell = 1$	2	3	4	5
$k = 1$	$(16, 5)$	$(16, [5]^2)$	$(16, [5]^3)$	$(16, [5]^4)$	$(16, [5]^5)$
2	$([16]^2, 5)$	$[16]^2, [5]^2)$	$([16]^2, [5]^3)$	$([16]^2, [5]^4)$	$([16]^2, [5]^5)$
3	$(7, [14]^3)$	$(7, [14]^4)$	$(7, [14]^5)$	$(7, [14]^6)$	$(7, [14]^7)$
4	$([16]^3, [5]^2)$	$([16]^3, [5]^3)$	$([16]^3, [5]^4)$	$([16]^3, [5]^5)$	$(7, [14]^8)$
5	$([13]^3, [8]^3)^C$	$([9]^2, [12]^5)$	$([9]^2, [12]^6)$	$([9]^2, [12]^7)$	$(7, [14]^9)$
6	$([16]^4, [5]^3)$	$([16]^4, [5]^4)$	$([16]^4, [5]^5)$	$([9]^2, [12]^8)$	$(7, [14]^{10})$
7	$([11]^3, [10]^5)$	$([11]^3, [10]^6)$	$([11]^3, [10]^7)$	$([9]^2, [12]^9)$	$(7, [14]^{11})$
8	$([16]^5, [5]^4)$	$([16]^5, [5]^5)$	$([11]^3, [10]^8)$	$([9]^2, [12]^{10})$	$(7, [14]^{12})$
9	$([13]^4, [8]^6)$	$([13]^4, [8]^7)$	$([11]^3, [10]^9)$	$([9]^2, [12]^{11})$	$(7, [14]^{13})$
10	$([15]^5, [6]^6)$	$([13]^4, [8]^8)$	$([11]^3, [10]^{10})$	$([9]^2, [12]^{12})$	$(7, [14]^{14})$

Table 3.3: Table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ for $Q = (16, 5)$ (see Example 3.27).

partition P_{C_0} in $\mathcal{T}((12, 3))$ (see Table 3.2). In accordance with Theorem 3.13(c), the type B partitions in the table $\mathcal{T}((16, 5))$ form five hooks, of lengths $12, 8, 6, 3$ and 1. These are the hooks

$$\{(7, [14]^s) : 3 \le s \le 14\}, \quad \{([9]^2, [12]^s) : 5 \le s \le 12\},$$
$$\{([11]^3, [10]^s) : 5 \le s \le 10\} \quad \{([13]^4, [8]^s), 6 \le s \le 8\},$$
$$\{([15]^5, [6]^6)\}.$$

Observe that the upper almost rectangular part of the partitions is constant along these hooks and is of the form $[5 + 2i]^i$, where $1 \le i \le 5$.

Example 3.28. Consider $Q = (18, 3)$. In the table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ given in Table 3.4 we have put in boldface the three B/C hooks. These B/C hooks begin in rows $k_0 = 5, k_1 = 7$, and $k_2 = 10$. The two type C-entries "replace" what would be impossible type B entries $([7]^2, [14]^6)$ and $([7]^2, [14]^7)$ (as these do not belong to $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}((18, 3))$). One feature of this example is that $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ contains two adjacent type C entries: $P_{7,1}$ and $P_{7,2}$. Using the labelling of Definition 3.5 we have that

$$P_{7,1} = P_{C_1} = ([16]^5, [5]^3) = (4, 3, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 1) \text{ where } C_1 = (1, 1, 1, 2; a = 4)$$
$$P_{7,2} = P_{C_2} = ([10]^3, [11]^6) = (4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1) \text{ where } C_2 = (1, 1, 4, 1; a = 4) \qquad (3.31)$$

3.4 Completeness of the table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$.

In this section we will prove Theorem 3.31, which asserts that $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ is all of $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$. This will complete the proof of the Table Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.29. *Fix $Q = (u, u - r), u > r \ge 2$. All type C partitions P that satisfy $\mathfrak{Q}(P) = Q$ and that are not of type A or B, occur in the table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ of Definition 3.14.*

$\mathcal{T}(Q)$	$\ell=1$	2	3
$k=1$	$(18,3)$	$(18,[3]^2)$	$(18,[3]^3)$
2	$([18]^2,3)$	$([18]^2,[3]^2)$	$([18]^2,[3]^3)$
3	$([18]^3,3)$	$([18]^3,[3]^2)$	$([18]^3,[3]^3)$
4	$([18]^4,3)$	$([18]^4,[3]^2)$	$([18]^4,[3]^3)$
5	$(\mathbf{5},[\mathbf{16}]^{\mathbf{5}})$	$(\mathbf{5},[\mathbf{16}]^{\mathbf{6}})$	$(\mathbf{5},[\mathbf{16}]^{\mathbf{7}})$
6	$([18]^5,[3]^2)$	$([18]^5,[3]^3)$	$(\mathbf{5},[\mathbf{16}]^{\mathbf{8}})$
7	$([\mathbf{16}]^{\mathbf{5}},[\mathbf{5}]^{\mathbf{3}})^C$	$([\mathbf{10}]^{\mathbf{3}},[\mathbf{11}]^{\mathbf{6}})^C$	$(\mathbf{5},[\mathbf{16}]^{\mathbf{9}})$
8	$([18]^6,[3]^3)$	$([\mathbf{7}]^{\mathbf{2}},[\mathbf{14}]^{\mathbf{8}}$	$(\mathbf{5},[\mathbf{16}]^{\mathbf{10}})$
9	$([18]^7,[3]^3)$	$([\mathbf{7}]^{\mathbf{2}},[\mathbf{14}]^{\mathbf{9}}$	$(\mathbf{5},[\mathbf{16}]^{\mathbf{11}})$
10	$([\mathbf{9}]^{\mathbf{3}},[\mathbf{12}]^{\mathbf{8}})$	$([\mathbf{7}]^{\mathbf{2}},[\mathbf{14}]^{\mathbf{10}}$	$(\mathbf{5},[\mathbf{16}]^{\mathbf{12}})$
11	$([\mathbf{9}]^{\mathbf{3}},[\mathbf{12}]^{\mathbf{9}})$	$([\mathbf{7}]^{\mathbf{2}},[\mathbf{14}]^{\mathbf{11}}$	$(\mathbf{5},[\mathbf{16}]^{\mathbf{13}})$
12	$([\mathbf{9}]^{\mathbf{3}},[\mathbf{12}]^{\mathbf{10}})$	$([\mathbf{7}]^{\mathbf{2}},[\mathbf{14}]^{\mathbf{12}}$	$(\mathbf{5},[\mathbf{16}]^{\mathbf{14}})$
13	$([\mathbf{9}]^{\mathbf{3}},[\mathbf{12}]^{\mathbf{11}})$	$([\mathbf{7}]^{\mathbf{2}},[\mathbf{14}]^{\mathbf{13}}$	$(\mathbf{5},[\mathbf{16}]^{\mathbf{15}})$
14	$([\mathbf{9}]^{\mathbf{3}},[\mathbf{12}]^{\mathbf{12}})$	$([\mathbf{7}]^{\mathbf{2}},[\mathbf{14}]^{\mathbf{14}}$	$(\mathbf{5},[\mathbf{16}]^{\mathbf{16}})$

Table 3.4: Table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ for $Q=(18,3)$ with B/C hooks in bold (see Example 3.28).

Proof. From Lemma 3.6 we know that

$$\begin{aligned}&\{P_C : C=(\mathsf{c}_1,\mathsf{c}_2,s_1,s_2:a) \text{ with } \mathsf{c}_1,\mathsf{c}_2,s_1,s_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \text{ and } a\geq 4\} \text{ where}\\ &P_C=(a^{\mathsf{c}_1},(a-1)^{\mathsf{c}_2+s_2},(a-2)^{\mathsf{c}_1+s_1},(a-3)^{\mathsf{c}_2})\end{aligned}\tag{3.32}$$

is the complete set of partitions that are of type C but not of type A or B.

Let $C=(\mathsf{c}_1,\mathsf{c}_2,s_1,s_2:a)$ with $\mathsf{c}_1,\mathsf{c}_2,s_1,s_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $a\geq 4$. Assume that $\mathfrak{Q}(P_C)=(u,u-r)$. We need to prove that the partition P_C is in the table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$. By Lemma 3.6 $\mathfrak{Q}(P_C)=(u,u-r)$ implies that the type C partition $P_{k,\ell}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}u&=(a-2)(\mathsf{c}_1+\mathsf{c}_2+s_1+s_2)+\mathsf{c}_2+s_2+2\mathsf{c}_1 &(3.33)\\ &=(a-1)(\mathsf{c}_1+\mathsf{c}_2+s_1+s_2)+\mathsf{c}_1-s_1; &(3.34)\\ u-r&=(a-2)(\mathsf{c}_1+\mathsf{c}_2)-\mathsf{c}_2. &(3.35)\end{aligned}$$

We need to show that there exists $t\in\{0,1,\dots t_{\max}\}$ and $(k,\ell)\in C_t$ where C_t is as in Theorem 3.13(b), such that $P_{k,\ell}=P_C$. Recall from (3.24) in the proof of Theorem 3.13 that if $(k,\ell)\in C_t$ then

$$P_{k,\ell}=((q_t+2)^{t+1-d_t},(q_t+1)^{d_t+n_1},q_t^{n_0},(q_t-1)^{d_t})\tag{3.36}$$

for certain positive integers n_0 and n_1. It follows from (3.32) that for $P_{k,\ell}=P_C$ to hold we must have

$$t+1-d_t=\mathsf{c}_1 \text{ and } d_t=\mathsf{c}_2.\tag{3.37}$$

In particular, the unique candidate for t is

$$t=\mathsf{c}_1+\mathsf{c}_2-1.\tag{3.38}$$

It follows from (3.13) and (3.35) that $\mathsf{c}_1+\mathsf{c}_2\leq\min\{u-r,\lfloor\frac{r-1}{2}\rfloor\}$, whence $0\leq t\leq t_{\max}$. From

(3.34), (3.35), (3.37) and (3.38) we obtain that, by Definition 3.9,

$$\begin{aligned} q_t &= \left\lceil \frac{u-r}{t+1} \right\rceil = a-2; \text{ and} \\ k_t &= t + \left\lceil \frac{u-t+d_t}{q_t+1} \right\rceil = t + (\mathsf{c}_1 + \mathsf{c}_2 + s_1 + s_2) + \left\lceil \frac{1-s_1}{a-1} \right\rceil. \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

Since $d_t = \mathsf{c}_2 \geq 1$ equation (3.33) and Definition 3.9 yield that

$$\begin{aligned} c_t &= \left\lceil \frac{u-2(t+1)+d_t}{q_t} \right\rceil - (k_t - t) \\ &= \mathsf{c}_1 + \mathsf{c}_2 + s_1 + s_2 + \left\lceil \frac{s_2}{a-2} \right\rceil - \left(\mathsf{c}_1 + \mathsf{c}_2 + s_1 + s_2 + \left\lceil \frac{1-s_1}{a-1} \right\rceil \right) \\ &= \left\lceil \frac{s_2}{a-2} \right\rceil + \left\lfloor \frac{s_1-1}{a-1} \right\rfloor. \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

Since $s_1 \geq 1$ and $s_2 \geq 1$ it is clear that $c_t > 0$. Now put

$$\ell = \begin{cases} 1 + \lfloor \frac{s_1-1}{a-1} \rfloor \text{ if } (1 + \lfloor \frac{s_1-1}{a-1} \rfloor) < u-r-t \\ u-r-t \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}, \tag{3.41}$$

$$k = \begin{cases} k_t \text{ if } \ell = (1 + \lfloor \frac{s_1-1}{a-1} \rfloor) \\ 2\mathsf{c}_1 + 2\mathsf{c}_2 + s_1 + s_2 - \ell \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.42}$$

We claim that (a) $(k, \ell) \in C_t$ and that (b) $P_{k,\ell} = P_C$. We begin with the proof of claim (a).

If $1 + \lfloor \frac{s_1-1}{a-1} \rfloor < u-r-t$ then $(k, \ell) = (k_t, 1 + \lfloor \frac{s_1-1}{a-1} \rfloor)$. Since $1 + \lfloor \frac{s_1-1}{a-1} \rfloor \leq c_t$ by (3.40), it follows that $(k, \ell) \in C_t$. Now suppose that $1 + \lfloor \frac{s_1-1}{a-1} \rfloor \geq u-r-t$. Since $r = u - (u-r)$ we can deduce from (3.33) and (3.35) that $k = 2\mathsf{c}_1 + 2\mathsf{c}_2 + s_1 + s_2 - (u-r-t) \leq r-1$. To finish the proof of claim (a) we need to check that $\ell = u-r-t \leq c_t - (k - k_t)$. Using (3.38), (3.39), (3.40), and (3.42) we readily compute that

$$c_t - (k - k_t) = \left\lceil \frac{s_2}{a-2} \right\rceil - 1 + \ell.$$

Since $s_2 \geq 1$ this completes the proof of claim (a).

We now turn to the proof of claim (b). Recall that $q_t = a-2$. Comparing (3.32) and (3.36) all we need to show is that $n_0 = \mathsf{c}_1 + s_1$ and $n_1 = s_2$. Recall from the proof of Theorem 3.13(b) that n_0 and n_1 satisfy the following two conditions:

$$n_0 + n_1 = k - t + \ell - 1 - d_t \tag{3.43}$$

$$(q_t + 1)n_1 + q_t n_0 = u - 2(t+1) - d_t(q_t - 1). \tag{3.44}$$

From (3.38), (3.39) , (3.41), and (3.42) we conclude that

$$k + \ell = 2\mathsf{c}_1 + 2\mathsf{c}_2 + s_1 + s_2. \tag{3.45}$$

Straightforward computations using (3.35), (3.37), (3.38) and $q_t = a-2$ allow us to deduce from (3.43) and (3.44) that indeed $n_0 = \mathsf{c}_1 + s_1$ and $n_1 = s_2$. This completes the proof of claim (b) and of the lemma. □

Example 3.30. Consider the sequence $C = (\mathsf{c}_1, \mathsf{c}_2, s_1, s_2; a) = (1, 2, 1, 1; 4)$. Then $P_C = (4, 3^3, 2^2, 1^2)$, a type C partition of 19, and $\mathfrak{Q}(P) = (15, 4)$. We have $t = d_2 = 2, k_2 = (2 + \lceil (15 - 2 + 2)/3 \rceil = 7, \ell = 1$, so $P = P_{7,1}$ in the table $\mathcal{T}(15, 4)$. Since $c_t = \lceil \frac{1}{2} \rceil + 0 = 1$, we have that $P_{7,2}$ is a type B partition and in fact $P_{7,2} = ([10]^4, [9]^5)$.

Take instead $C = (1, 2, 4, 1; 4)$, then $\mathcal{P}_C = (4, 3^3, 2^5, 1^2)$, with $\mathfrak{Q}(P_C) = (21, 4)$, and we have $t = d_2 = 2, k_2 = 9, \ell = \mathsf{c}_2 = 2$, so $P_C = P_{9,2}$. The next partition in this B/C hook is $P_{10,2} = ([10]^3, [15]^9)$ of type B, and the previous one, $P_{9,1}$, which must be type C, is $P_{C'} = (4, 3^5, 2^2, 1^2)$ with $C' = (1, 2, 1, 3; 4)$.

We can now prove the completeness part of the Table Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.31 (Part II of Table Theorem)**.** *Let $Q = (u, u - r)$, $u > r \geq 2$. The table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ of Definition 3.14 contains all the partitions in $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$.*

Proof. Lemma 3.29 shows the completeness for type C partitions. We next consider type A and then type B partitions.

Claim A: Let $P = (a^{n_a}, (a-1)^{n_{a-1}}, b^{n_b}, (b-1)^{n_{b-1}})$ be a partition as in (3.1) such that $\mathfrak{Q}(P) = (u, u - r)$ and suppose that P is of type A. Put

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \min\{i \,|\, n_a + n_{a-1} < k_i - i\}; \\ k &= n_a + n_{a-1} + t; \\ \ell &= n_b + n_{b-1} - t. \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

Then $(k, \ell) \in A_t$ and $P_{k,\ell} = P$.

Proof of Claim A.

By Definition 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} u &= an_a + (a-1)n_{a-1}, \\ bn_b + (b-1)n_{b-1} &= u - r \end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

and

$$bn_b + (b-1)n_{b-1} + 2(n_a + n_{a-1}) \leq u,$$

since P is of type A. Therefore $n_a + n_{a-1} \leq \frac{r}{2}$.

By Lemma 3.11(b) the sequence $\{k_i - i\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \min\{u - r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\} - 1$ is a weakly increasing sequence of positive integers bounded by $\lceil \frac{r+1}{2} \rceil$. Additionally, for $i = \min\{u - r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\} - 1$ we have by Notation 3.12, $k_{i+1} - (i+1) = r - \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor = \lceil \frac{r+1}{2} \rceil > \frac{r}{2}$. Since $n_a + n_{a-1} \leq \frac{r}{2}$, the integer t defined in (3.46) satisfies $0 \leq t \leq \min\{u - r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\}$ and $k_{t-1} < k < k_t$.

To show that $(k, \ell) \in A_t$ it is enough to show that $1 \leq \ell \leq u - r - t$. Since $u - r = bn_b + (b-1)n_{b-1}$, it is obvious that $\ell \leq u - r - t$. So we only need to show that $n_b + n_{b-1} > t$ as well. This is clear when $t = 0$, so we assume that $t > 0$. By the definition of t in (3.46) and Definition 3.9 we have

$$\left\lceil \frac{u - t + 1 + d_{t-1}}{q_{t-1} + 1} \right\rceil = k_{t-1} - (t-1) \leq n_a + n_{a-1}. \tag{3.48}$$

Thus, using the equality

$$u - r = q_{t-1}t - d_{t-1}, \tag{3.49}$$

which holds by Definition 3.9, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(q_{t-1}+2)(n_a+n_{a-1}) &\geq (q_{t-1}+2)\lceil\tfrac{u-t+1+d_{t-1}}{q_{t-1}+1}\rceil \\ &\geq u-t+1+d_{t-1}+\tfrac{u-t+1+d_{t-1}}{q_{t-1}+1} \\ &= u-t+1+d_{t-1}+\tfrac{tq_{t-1}+r-d_{t-1}-t+1+d_{t-1}}{q_{t-1}+1} \\ &= u-t+1+d_{t-1}+\tfrac{r-2t+t(q_{t-1}+1)}{q_{t-1}+1} \\ &> u\end{aligned}$$

Since $u = (a-1)(n_a+n_{a-1})+n_a > (a-1)(n_a+n_{a-1})$, it follows that $a < q_{t-1}+3$ and therefore

$$b \leq a-2 \leq q_{t-1} \tag{3.50}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}b(n_b+n_{b-1}) &\geq b(n_b+n_{b-1})-n_{b-1} \\ &= u-r \\ &= q_{t-1}t-d_{t-1} \\ &> (q_{t-1}-1)t,\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $d_{t-1} < t$ (see Remark 3.10). Thus if $b \leq q_{t-1}-1$ then $t < n_b+n_{b-1}$ as desired.

Now assume that $b = q_{t-1}$. Since $q_{t-1}+3 > a \geq b+2$, we also have $a = q_{t-1}+2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}u &= (q_{t-1}+1)(n_a+n_{a-1})+n_a && \text{(by (3.47))} \\ &\geq (q_{t-1}+1)\lceil\tfrac{u-t+1+d_{t-1}}{q_{t-1}+1}\rceil+n_a && \text{(by (3.48))} \\ &\geq u-t+1+d_{t-1}+n_a.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $n_a \leq t-1-d_{t-1}$.

Since by assumption P is of type A, in particular the middle U-chain in the poset of P can not be longer than u. Thus, since $b = q_{t-1} = a-2$,

$$(q_{t-1}+1)n_{a-1}+q_{t-1}n_b+2n_a \leq u.$$

Since $u = (q_{t-1}+2)n_a+(q_{t-1}+1)n_{a-1}$, we get $n_b \leq n_a$, and consequently $n_b \leq t-1-d_{t-1}$.

Now using the equalities

$$(q_{t-1}-1)(n_b+n_{b-1})+n_b = u-r = (q_{t-1}-1)t+(t-d_{t-1}),$$

which come from (3.48) and (3.49), again, we have

$$(q_{t-1}-1)(n_b+n_{b-1}-t) = t-d_{t-1}-n_b \geq 1.$$

Thus $n_b+n_{b-1}-t > 0$ in this case as well. This finishes the proof that $(k,\ell) \in A_t$. The fact that $P_{k,\ell} = P$ follows immediately from (3.46) and (3.47). This completes the proof of Claim A.

Claim B: Let $P = (a^{n_a}, (a-1)^{n_{a-1}}, b^{n_b}, (b-1)^{n_{b-1}})$ be a partition as in (3.1) such that $\mathfrak{Q}(P) = (u, u-r)$ and suppose that P is of type B and not of type A.

(i) If $b = a-2$ and $n_{b-1} = 0$ then put

$$\begin{aligned} t &= n_a - 1, \\ k &= k_t + \max\{0, n_{a-1} + n_b - (k_t - t) - (u - r - t - 1)\}, \text{ and} \\ \ell &= n_{a-1} + n_b - (k - t) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Otherwise, put

$$\begin{aligned} t &= n_a + n_{a-1} - 1, \\ k &= k_t + \max\{0, n_b + n_{b-1} - (k_t - t) - (u - r - t - 1)\}, \text{ and} \\ \ell &= n_b + n_{b-1} - (k - t) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(k, \ell) \in B_t$ and $P_{k,\ell} = P$.

Proof of Claim B.

If $b = a-2$ and $n_{b-1} = 0$, then we can write

$$P = ((a+1)^0, a^{n_a}, (b+1)^{n_{b+1}}, b^{n_b}).$$

So to prove the claim, it is enough to prove case (ii), assuming that $n_a + n_{a-1} > 0$ (and not necessarily $n_a > 0$). We can also assume that $a \geq 4$. This is true because in case (i) we have $a+1 = b+3 \geq 4$ and in case (ii) we either have $a-2 > b \geq 1$, or $n_{b-1} > 0$ which in particular implies $b - 1 \geq 1$.

To prove the claim we first show that the integer t defined in case (ii) above satisfies

$$0 \leq t \leq \min\{u - r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\} - 1.$$

Since $n_a + n_{a-1} > 0$, it is obvious that $0 \leq t$.

On the other hand, by assumption P is of type B but not of type A. Thus

$$an_a + (a-1)n_{a-1} < u = bn_b + (b-1)n_{b-1} + 2n_a + 2n_{a-1}, \tag{3.51}$$

and

$$u - r = (a-2)n_a + (a-3)n_{a-1} \tag{3.52}$$

Thus by (3.51) and (3.52) we have

$$r = u - (u - r) > 2(n_a + n_{a-1}).$$

Additionally, since $a - 3 \geq 1$, from (3.52) we also get

$$u - r \geq (a-3)(n_a + n_{a-1}) \geq n_a + n_{a-1}.$$

So $0 \leq t \leq \min\{u - r, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor\} - 1$ as desired.

Next, we show that

$$(k, \ell) \in B_t. \tag{3.53}$$

We first note that by (3.51), $n_b + n_{b-1} \leq u - 2(t+1)$. So

$$n_b + n_{b-1} - (k_t - t) - (u - r - t - 1) \leq (r-1) - k_t.$$

Thus

$$k_t \leq k \leq r - 1. \tag{3.54}$$

Our next step toward proving (3.53) is to show that

$$n_b + n_{b-1} + 1 > c_t + (k_t - t). \tag{3.55}$$

By definition of t, q_t, d_t and by (3.52) we have

$$(a-2)(t+1) - n_{a-1} = u - r = q_t(t+1) - d_t. \tag{3.56}$$

Case 1. Suppose $d_t = 0$. Then there are two possibilities: $n_{a-1} = 0$ and $q_t = a - 2$ (see Case 1.1); or $n_{a-1} = t + 1$ and $q_t = a - 3$ (see Case 1.2).

Case 1.1. Suppose $n_{a-1} = 0$ and $q_t = a - 2$. Using (3.51) we have

$$(a-2)(t+1) < b(n_b + n_{b-1}) = u + n_{b-1} - 2(t+1).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} k_t - t &= \lceil \tfrac{u-t}{q_t+1} \rceil \\ &= \lceil \tfrac{b(n_b+n_{b-1}) - n_{b-1} + (t+1) + 1}{a-1} \rceil \\ &\leq \tfrac{b(n_b+n_{b-1})+t+1}{a-1} + 1 \\ &< \tfrac{b(n_b+n_{b-1}) + \frac{b(n_b+n_{b-1})}{a-2}}{a-1} + 1 \\ &= (n_b + n_{b-1})\tfrac{b}{a-2} + 1 \\ &\leq n_b + n_{b-1} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_t = 0$ when $d_t = 0$, this proves (3.55) in this case.

Case 1.2. Suppose $n_{a-1} = t + 1$ and $q_t = a - 3$. Then $(a-3)(t+1) < b(n_b + n_{b-1})$ and by a calculation as in Case 1.1 we obtain that

$$k_t - t < (n_b + n_{b-1})\frac{b}{a-3} + 1.$$

This proves (3.55) in this case, as long as $b \leq a - 3$. Suppose now that $b = a - 2$. Since $n_{a-1} = t + 1$, we have $n_a = 0$ and so $P = ((a-1)^{n_{a-1}}, b^{n_b}, (b-1)^{n_{b-1}})$. Since P is of type B and not of type A, it follows that

$$(a-1)n_{a-1} + bn_b < u = bn_b + (b-1)n_{b-1} + 2n_{a-1}.$$

Therefore $(a-3)n_{a-1} < (b-1)n_{b-1}$, which implies that $n_{a-1} < n_{b-1}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} k_t - t &= \lceil \tfrac{u-t}{q_t+1} \rceil \\ &= \lceil \tfrac{b(n_b+n_{b-1})-n_{b-1}+n_{a-1}+1}{a-2} \rceil \\ &\leq \lceil \tfrac{b(n_b+n_{b-1})}{a-2} \rceil \\ &= n_b + n_{b-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that (3.55) also holds when $b = a-2$.

Case 2. Suppose that $d_t > 0$. Then by (3.52) we have

$$(a-2)(t+1) - n_{a-1} = (a-3)(t+1) + n_a = q_t(t+1) - d_t = (q_t-1)(t+1) + (t+1-d_t).$$

Since $d_t > 0$, $u-r$ is not a multiple of $t+1$, and so $n_a > 0$ and $n_{a-1} > 0$. Therefore $q_t = a-2$ and $d_t = n_{a-1}$. By (3.51) again, we have $(a-3)(t+1) < b(n_b + n_{b-1})$. Using the definition of c_t we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_t + (k_t - t) &= \lceil \tfrac{u-2(t+1)+d_t}{q_t} \rceil \\ &\leq \lceil \tfrac{b(n_b+n_{b-1})-n_{b-1}+(t+1)}{a-2} \rceil \\ &< \tfrac{b(n_b+n_{b-1})+(t+1)}{a-2} + 1 \\ &< (n_b + n_{b-1})\tfrac{b}{a-3} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

If $b \leq a-3$ then this shows (3.55).

On the other hand, if $b = a-2$, then since $\mathfrak{Q}(P) = (u, u-r)$, the middle U-chain in the poset of P can not be longer than u. Thus we have

$$(a-1)n_{a-1} + bn_b + 2n_a \leq u = bn_b + (b-1)n_{b-1} + 2n_a + 2n_{a-1}.$$

Thus $(a-3)n_{a-1} \leq (b-1)n_{b-1}$. Therefore $n_{a-1} \leq n_{b-1}$. Using the equality $d_t = n_{a-1}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_t + (k_t - t) &= \lceil \tfrac{u-2(t+1)+d_t}{q_t} \rceil \\ &= \lceil \tfrac{b(n_b+n_{b-1})-n_{b-1}+d_t}{a-2} \rceil \\ &\leq \lceil \tfrac{(a-2)(n_b+n_{b-1})}{a-2} \rceil \\ &= n_b + n_{b-1} \end{aligned}$$

So (3.55) holds in this case as well.

We are now ready to show that $(k, \ell) \in B_t$. Recall from (3.54) that $k_t \leq k \leq r-1$. Assume that $n_b + n_{b-1} - (k_t - t) - (u-r-t-1) > 0$. Then $k > k_t$ and $\ell = u-r-t$ by the definition of ℓ. It is straightforward to deduce from (3.55) that $\ell > c_t - (k - k_t)$ and so $(k, \ell) \in B_t$.

Assume now that $n_b + n_{b-1} - (k_t - t) - (u - r - t - 1) \leq 0$. Then $k = k_t$ and $\ell \leq u - r - t$. By (3.55) $\ell > c_t$ and so $(k, \ell) \in B_t$.

Finally, to see that $P_{k,\ell} = P$ it suffices to observe that, by construction,

$$t + 1 = n_a + n_{a-1} \text{ and } k - t + \ell - 1 = n_b + n_{b-1},$$

that by (3.51)

$$u - 2(t + 1) = bn_b + (b - 1)n_{b-1},$$

and that by (3.52)

$$u - r + 2(t + 1) = an_a + (a - 1)n_{a-1}.$$

This completes the proof of Claim B and of Theorem 3.31. □

4 Equations of the table loci.

Recall from Section 2.2 the poset $\mathcal{D}_Q$ associated to a partition Q and the nilpotent subalgebra $\mathcal{U}_B$ of $\mathcal{C}_B$ associated to the Jordan block matrix $B = J_Q$. In Section 4.1 we describe the sl_2 grading on the poset $\mathcal{D}_Q$, on the centralizer $\mathcal{C}_B$ and on $\mathcal{U}_B$. In Section 4.2 we begin by defining the locus $\mathfrak{Z}(P)$ in $\mathcal{U}_B$ of matrices having Jordan type P. We then show in Proposition 4.12 that the ideal of $\mathfrak{Z}(P)$ in $\mathsf{k}[\mathcal{U}_B]$ is homogeneous for the sl_2 grading. In Example 4.15 we determine the equations of $\mathfrak{Z}(P)$ in $\mathcal{U}_B$ with $B = J_{(5,2)}$ for each partition $P \in \mathfrak{Q}^{-1}((5, 2))$. Finally, in Section 4.3 we conjecture equations for $\mathfrak{Z}(P)$ in $\mathcal{U}_B$ with $B = J_{(u.u-r)}$ when $P \in \mathfrak{Q}^{-1}((u, u-r))$. The conjectured equations stem from joint work with M. Boij.

4.1 The sl_2 grading on $\mathcal{U}_B$.

Let Q be a partition of n. Recall from [5, Definition 2.15] that there is an order-reversing involution on $\mathcal{D}_Q$:

$$\tau : \mathcal{D}_Q \to \mathcal{D}_Q,\ \tau(\mathfrak{u}, i, k) = (i + 1 - \mathfrak{u}, i, n_i + 1 - k), \tag{4.1}$$

of which the center of symmetry for the i row is $\mathfrak{u} = (i + 1)/2$. Recall from Section 2.2 the basis $\mathcal{B}$ of the vector space V on which $B = J_Q$ acts. As sets $\mathcal{D}_Q = \mathcal{B}$. We define a function

$$\varrho : \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{Z} :\ \varrho(\mathfrak{u}, i, k) = \varrho(\mathfrak{u}, i) = 2\mathfrak{u} - i - 1. \tag{4.2}$$

Note that $\varrho(\mathfrak{u}, i, k)$ gives the relative position of $(\mathfrak{u}, i, k)$ with respect to the center of symmetry of $\mathcal{D}_Q$ under τ.[3] This is the same grading as in [26, Section 3.3]. The integer $\varrho(\mathfrak{u}, i, k)$ is also the weight of $(\mathfrak{u}, i, k)$ under the action of the semisimple element in the sl_2 triple associated to B, see [12, §3.6]. We call ϱ the sl_2 *grading* on $\mathcal{D}_Q$.

Remark 4.1. In [12] nilpotent orbits of semisimple Lie algebras are classified by weighted Dynkin diagrams. For Lie algebras of type A, which is our setting, this is equivalent to the classification by partitions. The relation between the two classifications is given in [12, §3.6].

[3] This is $\nu(\mathfrak{u}, i, k)$ in [5, Section 2.2 and Theorem 2.13], and $\rho(\mathfrak{u}, i, k)$ in [24, Lemma 2.5].

Briefly, starting from the partition $Q = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_s)$ of n we consider the finite sequence $\tilde{S}_{[\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_s]}$, which is just $\rho(\mathcal{D}_Q) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ reordered so that it is non-decreasing. The weighted Dynkin diagram associated to Q is the Dynkin diagram of type A_{n-1} in which the vertices are labelled with the first differences of the sequence $\tilde{S}_{[\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_s]}$. It is a non-trivial theorem due to B. Konstant [12, Theorem 3.5.4] that no information is lost in going from Q to the weighted Dynkin diagram. In particular, the weighted Dynkin diagram encodes all the information contained in the seemingly richer poset $\mathcal{D}_Q$.

Example 4.2. For $Q = (3, 2)$ we have the vertices $\mathcal{D}_Q = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & & \bullet & & \bullet \\ & \bullet & & \bullet & \end{pmatrix}$ with sl_2 grading $\varrho(\mathcal{D}_Q) = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & & 0 & & 2 \\ & -1 & & 1 & \end{pmatrix}$. The associated weighted Dynkin diagram is obtained by giving the 4 vertices of the Dynkin diagram of type A_4 weights equal to the first differences of the sequence $\tilde{S}_{[3,2]} = (-2, -1, 0, 1, 2)$. In this case, each vertex has weight 1.

The sl_2 grading on $\mathcal{D}_Q$, or equivalently on V, induces a grading on $\mathrm{End}(V)$. We follow the description given in [26, §3.3]. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ put

$$V(m) := \text{ span } \{v \in \mathfrak{B} \mid \varrho(v) = m\}, \tag{4.3}$$

and for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ define

$$\mathrm{End}(V)(k) = \{A \in \mathrm{End}(V) \mid A(V(m)) \subset V(m + k) \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Then $\mathrm{End}(V) = \oplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{End}(V)(k)$ and one checks that the grading is compatible with the multiplication and the Lie algebra structure on $\mathrm{End}(V)$. Moreover, $B \in \mathrm{End}(V)(2)$ and we can verify that

$$\mathcal{C}_B = \oplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{C}_B(k) \text{ where } \mathcal{C}_B(k) = \mathcal{C}_B \cap \mathrm{End}(V)(k). \tag{4.5}$$

This is the sl_2 *grading* on $\mathcal{C}_B$. By [26, Lemma 3.6.1] $\mathcal{C}_B(k) = 0$ for all $k < 0$. Moreover, $\oplus_{k>0}\mathcal{C}_B(k)$ is an ideal of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{C}_B$ and consists of nilpotent matrices (see [26, Lemma 3.6.2]). In fact it is easy to check that

$$\oplus_{k>0}\, \mathcal{C}_B(k) = \mathcal{U}_B, \tag{4.6}$$

where $\mathcal{U}_B$ is the algebra defined in (2.9). We call (4.6) the sl_2 *grading* on $\mathcal{U}_B$. Clearly, $\mathcal{U}_B$ and $\mathcal{C}_B$ are closed under matrix multiplication and $\mathcal{U}_B \subset \mathcal{N}_B$. Recall from Proposition 2.9(b) that when all the parts of Q are different then $\mathcal{U}_B = \mathcal{N}_B$.

Example 4.3. Let $Q = (3, 2)$. We label the vertices of $\mathcal{D}_Q$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1,3,1) & & (2,3,1) & & (3,3,1) \\ & (1,2,1) & & (2,2,1) & \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_3 & & v_2 & & v_1 \\ & v_5 & & v_4 & \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.7}$$

In the basis $\mathfrak{B} = (v_1, v_2v_3, v_4, v_5)$ of V the general element of $\mathcal{C}_B$ is the matrix

$$M = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & g_1 & g_2 \\ 0 & a_0 & a_1 & 0 & g_1 \\ 0 & 0 & a_0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & g_1' & g_2' & b_0 & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & g_1' & 0 & b_0 \end{array}\right). \tag{4.8}$$

Moreover, $M \in \mathcal{U}_B$ if and only if $a_0 = b_0 = 0$. Thus, $\dim_{\mathsf{k}} \mathcal{U}_B = 7$. In terms of the elementary maps of Definition 2.11, when $M \in \mathcal{U}_B$

$$M = a_1(\alpha_3\beta_3) + a_2(\alpha_3\beta_3)^2 + g_1\alpha_3 + g_2(\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_3) + g_1'(\beta_3) + g_2'(\beta_3\alpha_3\beta_3) + b_1(\beta_3\alpha_3). \tag{4.9}$$

The sl_2 grading on $\mathcal{U}_B$ is here completely determined by

$$\alpha_3 \in \mathcal{U}_B(1), \quad \beta_3 \in \mathcal{U}_B(1), \tag{4.10}$$

since the grading is compatible with the multiplication on $\mathcal{U}_B$. In particular

$$\alpha_3\beta_3, \beta_3\alpha_3 \in \mathcal{U}_B(2); \quad \alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_3, \beta_3\alpha_3\beta_3 \in \mathcal{U}_B(3); \quad (\alpha_3\beta_3)^2 \in \mathcal{U}_B(4). \tag{4.11}$$

In a standard way, the sl_2 grading on $\mathcal{U}_B$ induces a grading on the dual vector space $\mathcal{U}_B^* = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_B, \mathsf{k})$, and therefore on the polynomial ring $\mathsf{k}[\mathcal{U}_B] = \oplus_{k\geq 0} S^k(\mathcal{U}_B^*)$. Since $\mathcal{U}_B$ is finite-dimensional

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_B, \mathsf{k}) = \mathrm{Hom}(\oplus_{d>0}\mathcal{U}_B(d), \mathsf{k}) \cong \oplus_{d>0}\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_B(d), \mathsf{k}). \tag{4.12}$$

We call this the *sl_2 grading* on $\mathcal{U}_B^*$ and on $\mathsf{k}[\mathcal{U}_B]$. We can similarly define sl_2 gradings on $\mathsf{k}[\mathrm{End}(V)]$ and on $\mathsf{k}[\mathcal{C}_B]$. Note that the maps $\mathsf{k}[\mathrm{End}(V)] \twoheadrightarrow \mathsf{k}[\mathcal{C}_B] \twoheadrightarrow \mathsf{k}[\mathcal{U}_B]$ induced by the inclusions $\mathcal{U}_B \subset \mathcal{C}_B \subset \mathrm{End}(V)$ are compatible with the sl_2 gradings. A key property of this grading for us is given in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Let x_{ij} be the (i,j)-th entry in the matrix in basis $\mathcal{B}$ of a generic $X \in \mathrm{End}(V)$. Then the sl_2-weight of x_{ij}, viewed as an element of $\mathsf{k}[\mathrm{End}(V)]$ is equal to $\varrho(v_i) - \varrho(v_j)$, where v_i is the i-th basis vector in $\mathcal{B}$ and v_j is the j-th basis vector.*

Proof. For $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $E_{ij} \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ be the linear map defined by

$$E_{ij}(v_k) = \begin{cases} v_i & \text{if } k = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

on $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$. By the definition (4.4) of the grading on $\mathrm{End}(V)$ it is clear that E_{ij} has sl_2-weight $\varrho(v_j) - \varrho(v_i)$. The maps E_{ij} form a basis of $\mathrm{End}(V)$ and the matrix entries x_{ij} are the dual basis of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{End}(V), \mathsf{k})$. Consequently, x_{ij} has the same weight as E_{ij}. □

Coordinates on $\mathcal{U}_B$ when $B = J_Q$ and $Q = (u, u-r)$.

We here make the grading on $\mathcal{U}_B$ and on $\mathsf{k}[\mathcal{U}_B]$ more explicit in the case of greatest interest in this paper: $B = J_Q$ where $Q = (u, u-r)$ with $u > r > 1$. As is well known (see [46, 2]) the

centralizer $\mathcal{C}_B$ consists of the matrices

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc|ccccc} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & & & a_{u-1} & g_1 & g_2 & g_3 & \cdots & g_{u-r} \\ 0 & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & & & a_{u-2} & 0 & g_1 & g_2 & \cdots & g_{u-r-1} \\ 0 & 0 & a_0 & \cdots & & & a_{u-3} & 0 & 0 & g_1 & \cdots & g_{u-r-2} \\ & & & \cdots & & & & & & & \cdots & \\ 0 & 0 & & \cdots & & a_{r-1} & a_r & 0 & 0 & & \cdots & g_1 \\ 0 & 0 & & \cdots & & a_{r-2} & a_{r-1} & 0 & 0 & & \cdots & 0 \\ & & & \cdots & & & & & & & \cdots & \\ 0 & 0 & & \cdots & & a_0 & a_1 & 0 & 0 & & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & \cdots & & 0 & a_0 & 0 & 0 & & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & 0 & g'_1 & g'_2 & \cdots & g'_{u-r} & b_0 & b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_{u-r-1} \\ 0 & & \cdots & 0 & g'_1 & \cdots & g'_{u-r-1} & 0 & b_0 & b_1 & \cdots & b_{u-r-2} \\ & & & \cdots & & & & & & & \cdots & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & g'_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b_0 \end{array}\right), \tag{4.14}$$

with $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{u-1}, b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{u-r-1}, g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{u-r}, g'_1, g'_2, \ldots g'_{u-r} \in \mathsf{k}$. From the definition, it is straightforward to check that the matrix in (4.14) belongs to $\mathcal{U}_B$ if and only if $a_0 = b_0 = 0$. Since the parts of Q are distinct, $\mathcal{U}_B = \mathcal{N}_B$ (Proposition 2.9(b)).

Lemma 4.5. *If $B = J_{(u,u-r)}$ with $u > r > 1$ then*

$$\dim_{\mathsf{k}} \mathcal{U}_B = n - 2 + 2(u-r) = u + 3(u-r) - 2, \tag{4.15}$$

where $n = u + (u-r)$.

Proof. When $a_0 = b_0 = 0$, the matrix (4.14) depends on $(u-1) + (u-r-1) + 2(u-r)$ independent parameters. □

It is straightforward to check that, using the elementary maps of Definition 2.11 , a matrix (4.14) which belongs to $\mathcal{U}_B$ (that is $a_0 = b_0 = 0$) is the matrix of the element

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{u-1} a_i\, w_u^i + \sum_{i=1}^{u-r-1} b_i\, w_{u-r}^i + \sum_{i=1}^{u-r} g_i\, \alpha_u \circ w_{u-r}^{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{u-r} g'_i\, w_{u-r}^{i-1} \circ \beta_u \tag{4.16}$$

of $\mathrm{End}(V)$ in the basis $\mathcal{B}$.

Definition 4.6 (Coordinates on $\mathcal{U}_B$)**.** Let $B = J_{(u,u-r)}$ with $u > r > 1$. We regard the coefficients $a_1 \ldots, g'_{u-r}$ in (4.14) or (4.16) as the $u+3(u-r)-2$ *coordinates* of the affine space $\mathcal{U}_B$.

Example 4.7. Let $Q = (5, 2)$ and $B = J_Q$. Then we have for $A \in \mathcal{U}_B$,

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc|cc} 0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & g_1 & g_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & 0 & g_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1 & a_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & g'_1 & g'_2 & 0 & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g'_1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \tag{4.17}$$

We view the entries of A as coordinates on $\mathcal{U}_B$, that is, $\mathsf{k}[\mathcal{U}_B] = \mathsf{k}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, g_1, g_2, g_1', g_2', b_1]$. See Figure 4, where we have omitted arrows labelled a_1, a_2, a_3 from vertices v_4, v_3, v_2, for a visualization of the coordinates on $\mathcal{U}_B$.



Figure 4: Coordinates of $\mathcal{U}_B$ for $Q = (5, 2)$, viewed as coefficients of elementary maps.

It is straightforward to compute that the sl_2 weights of the elementary maps occurring in (4.16) are

$$\varrho(w_u) = \varrho(w_{u-r}) = 2;\ \varrho(\alpha_u) = \varrho(\beta_u) = r. \tag{4.18}$$

Consequently, the sl_2 weight of the coordinates on $\mathcal{U}_B$, viewed as elements of $\mathcal{U}_B^*$, is given

$$\begin{array}{rl} \varrho(a_k) = 2k & \text{for } k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, u-1\} \\ \varrho(b_i) = 2i & \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \ldots u-r-1\} \\ \varrho(g_j) = \varrho(g_j') = r + 2(j-1) & \text{for } j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, u-r\}. \end{array} \tag{4.19}$$

The weights in (4.19) can also be computed directly from (4.14) and Lemma 4.4.

4.2 Homogeneity of the equations for partition loci in $\mathcal{U}_B$.

Throughout this subsection we fix an arbitrary partition Q of n and put $B = J_Q$. Recall that $\mathcal{U}_B$ is an affine space, since it is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}_B$.

Definition 4.8. Let P be a partition of n. We denote by $\mathfrak{Z}(P)$ the *locus* in $\mathcal{U}_B$ of those matrices $A \in \mathcal{U}_B$ having Jordan type $P_A = P$:

$$\mathfrak{Z}(P) = \{A \in \mathcal{U}_B \mid P_A = P\}. \tag{4.20}$$

We will use $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P)}$ for the Zariski closure of $\mathfrak{Z}(P)$ in $\mathcal{U}_B$ and $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z}(P))$ for the ideal in $\mathsf{k}[\mathcal{U}_B]$ of functions vanishing on $\mathfrak{Z}(P)$.

We note that $\mathfrak{Z}(P)$ is a locally closed subset of $\mathcal{U}_B$ in the Zariski topology, as it is defined by the locally closed conditions specifying the rank of each $A^k, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$ (Lemma 4.10).

In what follows, we shall show that $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z}(P))$ is a homogeneous ideal in $\mathsf{k}[\mathcal{U}_B]$ for every partition P of n. While in general $\mathcal{U}_B \subsetneq \mathcal{N}_B$, every partition which occurs in $\mathcal{N}_B$ occurs in $\mathcal{U}_B$: for every $A \in \mathcal{N}_B$ there exists $A' \in \mathcal{U}_B$, and an invertible $C \in \mathcal{C}_B$ such that $A' = CAC^{-1} \in \mathcal{U}_B$ (see [2] or [5, Lemma 2.2]). We also recall that it is easy to find examples of partitions P, Q that "do not commute", meaning that there is no $A \in \mathcal{N}_B$ where $B = J_Q$ such that $P_A = P$. The first example, for $n = 4$, is $P = (3, 1), Q = (4)$.

The homogeneity of $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z}(P))$ is essentially a formal consequence of the definition of the sl_2-grading on $\mathrm{End}(V)$. The key property is Lemma 4.4 above. The following Lemma is a consequence of the Nullstellensatz when k is algebraically closed. We are grateful to D. Cutkovsky for providing the proof when k is a general infinite field.

Lemma 4.9. *Let k be an infinite field, and suppose that the polynomial ring $R = \mathsf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ is weighted by $wt(x_i) = w_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Suppose that $F_1, \dots, F_s, G_1, \dots, G_t$ are weighted homogeneous polynomials in R. Let*

$$\mathfrak{Z} = \{\alpha \in \mathsf{k}^r \mid F_i(\alpha) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\} \text{ and } G_j(\alpha) \neq 0 \text{ for some } j \in \{1, \dots, t\}\}. \tag{4.21}$$

Then $\mathfrak{Z}$ has the property that

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \mathfrak{Z} \Rightarrow (\lambda^{w_1}\alpha_1, \lambda^{w_2}\alpha_2, \dots, \lambda^{w_r}\alpha_r) \in \mathfrak{Z} \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathsf{k}. \tag{4.22}$$

Moreover, if $\mathfrak{Z}$ is any subset of k^r with property (4.22) and

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z}) = \{f \in R \mid f(\alpha) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathfrak{Z}\}, \tag{4.23}$$

then $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z})$ is generated by weighted homogeneous polynomials.

Proof. Suppose that $f \in R$ is weighted homogeneous of degree d, $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \mathsf{k}^r$ and $\lambda \in \mathsf{k}$. Then

$$f(\lambda^{w_1}\alpha_1, \lambda^{w_2}\alpha_2, \dots, \lambda^{w_r}\alpha_r) = \lambda^d f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r).$$

In particular we have that $f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) = 0$ if and only if $f(\lambda^{w_1}\alpha_1, \lambda^{w_2}\alpha_2, \dots, \lambda^{w_r}\alpha_r) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathsf{k}$. Thus $\mathfrak{Z}$ has the property (4.22). Suppose now that $\mathfrak{Z}$ is any subset of k^r that satisfies (4.22) and that f is a general (not necessarily homogeneous) element of R. Then we can (uniquely) write $f = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}} f_d$ where f_d is weighted homogeneous of degree d. We must show that if $f \in \mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z})$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{Z}$ then $f_d(\alpha) = 0$ for all d and all $\alpha \in Z$. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z})$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \mathfrak{Z}$. Then $(\lambda^{w_1}\alpha_1, \lambda^{w_2}\alpha_2, \dots, \lambda^{w_r}\alpha_r) \in \mathfrak{Z}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathsf{k}$, so

$$0 = f(\lambda^{w_1}\alpha_1, \lambda^{w_2}\alpha_2, \dots, \lambda^{w_r}\alpha_r) = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda^d f_d(\alpha)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathsf{k}$. Since k is infinite, we have that $f_d(\alpha) = 0$ for all d □

The following is an alternative way of stating Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $A \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathsf{k})$ be nilpotent and let $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s)$ be a partition of n. Then A has Jordan type P if and only if*

$$\textit{rank } A^k = \sum_{p_i \geq k} (p_i - k) \tag{4.24}$$

for every $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Given a partition P of n we define the *rank sequence* $\mathcal{R}(P)$

$$\mathcal{R}(P) = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1}) \text{ where } r_k = \sum_{p_i \geq k} (p_i - k) \text{ for } k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}. \tag{4.25}$$

Recall that $\mathrm{rank}\, A^k \leq r$ if and only if all $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ minors of A^k vanish. We identify $\mathrm{End}(V)$ with $\mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathsf{k})$ using the basis $\mathcal{B}$ of V.

Lemma 4.11. *Let X be a generic element of $\mathrm{End}(V) = \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathsf{k})$, and let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Every minor of A^ℓ is an sl_2 homogeneous element of $\mathsf{k}[\mathrm{End}(V)]$. The same conclusion holds if we replace $\mathrm{End}(V)$ by $\mathcal{U}_B$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemma 4.4, of the definition of matrix multiplication, and of the standard formula for the determinant of a matrix. We now give the details. Let Y be another generic element of $\mathrm{End}(V)$. We claim that the (i,j) entry $(XY)_{ij}$ of XY is a homogeneous element of $\mathrm{End}(V)$ of sl_2-weight $\varrho(v_i) - \varrho(v_j)$. Indeed, $(XY)_{ij} = \sum_k x_{ik}y_{kj}$ and by Lemma 4.4 x_{ik} has sl_2 weight $\varrho(v_i) - \varrho(v_k)$, and y_{kj} has sl_2 weight $\varrho(v_k) - \varrho(v_j)$. This proves the claim. The claim readily implies that the (i,j)-th entry $(X^\ell)_{ij}$ of X^ℓ is a homogeneous element of $\mathsf{k}[\mathrm{End}(V)]$ of sl_2 weight $\varrho(v_i) - \varrho(v_j)$. Since the (i,j)-th entry of X^ℓ has the same sl_2 weight as the (i,j)-th entry of X, the lemma follows if we show that every minor of X is an sl_2-homogeneous element of $\mathsf{k}[\mathrm{End}(V)]$. Let $I = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r)$ and $J = (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_r)$ be increasing subsequences of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$. The standard formula for the determinant and Lemma 4.4 imply that each monomial in the $r \times r$ minor of X with rows I and columns J has sl_2-weight

$$\sum_{a=1}^{r} \varrho(v_{i_a}) - \sum_{b=1}^{r} \varrho(v_{j_b}). \tag{4.26}$$

Consequently, the minor is homogeneous of the same sl_2 weight. That the lemma is also true for $\mathcal{U}_B$ follows from the fact that $\mathsf{k}[\mathrm{End}(V)] \to \mathsf{k}[\mathcal{U}_B]$ is compatible with the sl_2 grading. □

Proposition 4.12. *Let P be a partition of n. Then the ideal $I(\mathfrak{Z}(P))$ of $\mathsf{k}[\mathcal{U}_B]$ is sl_2-homogeneous.*

Proof. Argument 1: Let $\mathcal{R}(P) = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{n-1})$ be as in (4.25). The matrix $A \in \mathcal{U}_B$ belongs to $\mathfrak{Z}(P)$ if and only if $\mathrm{rank}(A^k) = r_k, k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. So $A \in \mathfrak{Z}(P)$ if and only if for all $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ all $(r_k+1) \times (r_k+1)$ minors of A^k vanish, and some $r_k \times r_k$ minor of A^k does not vanish. Lemmas 4.9 and 4.11 imply that $I(\mathfrak{Z}(P))$ is homogeneous.

Argument 2 ($\mathsf{k} = \overline{\mathsf{k}}$ and $\mathrm{char}\ \mathsf{k} = 0$): In this case, the Proposition follows from some standard facts about the sl_2 triple associated to B. Let H be the semisimple element of such a triple. As is well known [26, Section 3.3, remark] the sl_2 grading on $\mathrm{End}(V)$, and consequently on $\mathcal{C}_B$ and $\mathcal{U}_B$, is the decomposition of $\mathrm{End}(V)$ into the weight spaces for H:

$$A \in \mathrm{End}(V)(m) \Leftrightarrow [H, A] = mA.$$

We can "exponentiate" H to a homomorphism $\gamma : \mathsf{k}^* \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ such that

$$\gamma(t)A\gamma(t)^{-1} = t^m A \text{ for } t \in \mathsf{k}^* \text{ and } A \in \mathrm{End}(V)(m). \tag{4.27}$$

To show the Proposition it suffices to show that if $A \in \mathfrak{Z}(P)$ then $\gamma(t)A\gamma(t)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{Z}(P)$ for all $t \in \mathsf{k}^*$. By definition

$$\mathfrak{Z}(P) = \mathfrak{Z} \cap \mathcal{U}_B,$$

where $\mathfrak{Z} = \{A \in \mathrm{End}(V) \mid A \text{ is nilpotent and } P_A = P\}$. Since $\mathfrak{Z}$ is closed under conjugation by any matrix, and in particular by $\gamma(t)$ we have that $\gamma(t)A\gamma(t)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{Z}$. A consequence of the decomposition $\mathcal{U}_B = \oplus_{k>0}(\mathrm{End}(V)(k) \cap \mathcal{C}_B)$ is that $\mathcal{U}_B$ is also closed under conjugation by $\gamma(t)$. This proves that $\gamma(t)A\gamma(t)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{Z}(P)$.

□

Remark 4.13. The proof of Proposition 4.12 depends only on Lemmas 4.9 and 4.11, so the statement also holds for the analogous ideals in $\mathsf{k}[\mathrm{End}(V)]$ and $\mathsf{k}[\mathcal{C}_B]$.

Remark 4.14. Let $\mathcal{N}(P)$ be the set of nilpotent elements $A \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathsf{k})$ having Jordan type P. By the Gerstenhaber-Hesselink Theorem for sl_n, the Zariski closure $\overline{\mathcal{N}(P)}$ of $\mathcal{N}(P)$ in $\mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathsf{k})$ is the union $\cup_{P' \leq P} \mathcal{N}(P')$ where $\leq$ is the Bruhat order (3.27) [12, Theorem 6.2.5]. Since $\mathcal{U}_B \subset \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathsf{k})$ the Zariski closure of $\mathfrak{Z}(P)$ in $\mathcal{U}_B$ satisfies

$$\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P)} \subset \{A \in \mathcal{U}_B \mid P_A \leq P\}. \tag{4.28}$$

However in general this is a proper inclusion, as we shall see in Example 4.15, for $Q = (5,2)$ and $P = P_{1,2} = (5,1,1)$, see (4.30). Since $\mathcal{U}_B$ is irreducible, the closure of $\mathfrak{Z}(Q)$ is all of $\mathcal{U}_B$.

Example 4.15 (Equations of table loci for $Q = (5,2)$)**.** Let $Q = (5,2)$, and $B = J_Q$.

Recall that a generic element A of $\mathcal{U}_B$ has the form (4.17). In Figure 5 we give the table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$, and we give in the table $\mathcal{E}(Q)$ for each partition $P_{ij} \in \mathcal{T}(Q)$ the corresponding conditions for $A \in \mathcal{U}_B$ to belong to $\mathfrak{Z}(P_{ij})$. We now show that these are the correct conditions. Recall that since it commutes with B, an element $A \in \mathcal{U}_B$ is uniquely determined by its action on the left hand border of $\mathcal{D}_Q$, here $\{v_5, v_7\}$; see Figure 4 on page 41.

First row of $\mathcal{E}(Q)$: It is easy to see that P_A has largest part 5 if and only if $a_1 \neq 0$; and that then $P_A = (5,2)$ or $P_A = (5,1,1)$ according to whether $b_1 \neq 0$, or $b_1 = 0$, respectively.

Second row of $\mathcal{E}(Q)$:. Suppose that $a_1 = 0$. A straightforward computation shows that $A^3(v_5) = g_1 b_1 g_1' \cdot v_1$ and $A^3(v_7) = 0$, so

$$g_1 b_1 g_1' \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow A^3 \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow P_A \text{ has a part equal to 4.} \tag{4.29}$$

We assume for the remainder that $a_1 = 0$ and $g_1 b_1 g_1' \neq 0$. This leaves three possibilities for P_A: $(4,3), (4,[3]^2)$ and $(4,[3]^3)$. We can eliminate $(4,3)$ since it would require rank $(A^2) = 3$ by Lemma 4.10, but when $a_1 = 0$ it is easy to verify that $A^2(V) \subset \langle A^2(v_5), v_1 \rangle$. To distinguish between the other two possibilities we use the following special case of Lemma 4.10: the number of parts of P_A is equal to $n-\mathrm{rank}(A)$. So $P_A = (4,[3]^2) \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{rank}(A) = 4$ and $P_A = (4,[3]^3) \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{rank}(A) = 3$.

If $a_2 = 0$ then $g_1 \neq 0$ and $g_1' \neq 0$ imply that rank $A = 4$.

If $a_2 \neq 0$ then rank $A = 2+\mathrm{rank}A'$, where $A' = A_{2,6;4,7}$, that is

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & g_1 \\ g_1' & b_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} P_A = (4,[3]^3) &\Leftrightarrow \ \mathrm{rank}(A) = 3 \Leftrightarrow a_2 \neq 0 \text{ and } \det A' = 0; \text{ and} \\ P_A = (4,[3]^2) &\Leftrightarrow \ \mathrm{rank}(A) = 4 \Leftrightarrow \det A' \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof that the equations and inequalities $\mathcal{E}(Q)$ in Figure 5 exactly cut out the $\mathfrak{Z}(P_{i,j})$.

Note that for $Q = (5,2)$ the codimension of each locus $\mathfrak{Z}(P_{k,\ell}), P_{k,\ell} \in \mathcal{T}(Q)$ is $k + \ell - 2$ for $1 \leq k, \ell \leq 2$. Moreover, for each $P_{k,\ell} \in \mathcal{T}(Q)$, the equations in the corresponding entry of

$$\mathcal{T}(Q) = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline (5,2) & (5,[2]^2) \\ \hline (4,[3]^2) & (4,[3]^3) \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad \mathcal{E}(Q) = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline a_1b_1 \neq 0 & b_1 = 0, a_1 \neq 0 \\ \hline a_1 = 0, g_1b_1g_1' \neq 0, \\ a_2b_1 - g_1g_1' \neq 0 & \begin{array}{c} a_1 = a_2b_1 - g_1g_1' = 0, \\ a_2 \neq 0, g_1b_1g_1' \neq 0. \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Figure 5: Equations of loci $\mathfrak{Z}(P_{i,j}), P_{i,j} \in \mathcal{T}(Q), Q = (5,2)$. (Example 4.15).

$\mathcal{E}(Q)$ cut out the closure $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{k,\ell})}$. In other words, these equations generate the ideal $I(\mathfrak{Z}(P_{k,\ell}))$. We observe that each $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{k,\ell})}$ is an irreducible complete intersection.

Closures of the table loci, and their intersections for $Q = (5,2)$.

Observe from $\mathcal{E}(Q)$ in Figure 5 that specialization among table loci (i.e. inclusions $\mathfrak{Z}(P_{i,j}) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{k,\ell})}$) is not simply according to increasing row or column index. We will use the notation from Theorem 3.13 to index the entries of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$: $P_{1,1} = Q, P_{1,2} = (5,[2]^2) = (5,1,1), P_{2,1} = (4,[3]^2) = (4,2,1)$ and $P_{2,2} = (4,[3]^3) = (4,1,1,1)$. The equations in $\mathcal{E}(Q)$ show that $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{2,1})} \supset \mathfrak{Z}(P_{2,2})$. On the other hand $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{1,2})}$ does not contain $\mathfrak{Z}(P_{2,1})$ or $\mathfrak{Z}(P_{2,2})$ even though $P_{2,1}$ is greater than both $P_{2,1}$ and $P_{2,2}$ in the Bruhat order! The relation among the table loci $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{k,\ell})}$ and, more generally, among the loci $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P)}$ in $\mathcal{U}_B$ seems hard to predict, as the next two claims demonstrate. In Section 4.3 we conjecture the equations of the loci $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{k,\ell})}$ in $\mathcal{U}_B$.

Claim. We have the following equality in $\mathcal{U}_B$:

$$\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{1,2})} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{2,1})} = \overline{\mathfrak{Z}((3,3,1))}. \tag{4.30}$$

Proof of claim. We begin by noting that if $A \in \mathcal{U}_B$ with $a_1 = 0$ then A^2 has zero entries except for rows $I = (1,2,6)$, and columns $J = (4,5,7)$, and

$$A^2_{I.J} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1g_1' & a_2^2 + g_1g_2' + g_1'g_2 & g_1b_1 \\ 0 & g_1g_1' & 0 \\ 0 & g_1'b_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.31}$$

We now show that the intersection $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{1,2})} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{2,1})}$ is included in $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}((3,3,1))}$. Let A be a matrix in the intersection. Since $b_1 = 0$, by (4.29) P_A has no part 4. Then the rank of A^2 is the multiplicity of 3 as a part of P_A. But when $a_1 = b_1 = 0$, by (4.31) we have $\mathrm{rk}(A^2) = 2$ so $P_A = (3,3,1)$ if and only if $g_1g_1' \neq 0$. Since $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{1,2})} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{2,1})} = \{A \in \mathcal{U}_B \mid a_1 = b_1 = 0\}$ is irreducible, it follows that $\{A \in \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{1,2})} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{2,1})} : P_A = (3,3,1)\}$ is a dense open subset of the intersection. This proves the inclusion in (4.30) of the intersection in $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}((3,3,1))}$.

If $A \in \mathcal{U}_B$ satisfies $P(A) = (3,3,1)$ then $a_1 = 0$ and $g_1b_1g_1' = 0$ by (4.29). If either g_1 or $g_1' = 0$, then the rank of $A^2_{I,J}$ in (4.31) is at most one, contradicting $P_A = (3,3,1)$. Thus, $b_1 = 0$. This completes the proof of (4.30). □

Claim. The matrix $A \in \mathcal{U}_B$ belongs to $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{1,2})} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{2,2})}$ if and only if $a_1 = b_1 = g_1g_1' = 0$. Moreover,

$$\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{1,2})} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{2,2})} \subsetneq \overline{\mathfrak{Z}((3,2,1,1))}. \tag{4.32}$$

Proof of claim. The first assertion is immediate from $\mathcal{E}(Q)$ in Figure 5. Let $A \in \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{1,2})} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{2,2})}$. Since $a_1 = b_1 = a_2b_1 - g_1g_1' = 0$, we have $g_1g_1' = 0$ so the rank of $A^2_{I.J}$ in (4.31) is

at most one. The rank is one when $g_1 \neq 0$ or $g_1' \neq 0$. This implies that A has a single part 3. Also, in this case when g_1 or g_1' is nonzero, and $a_2 \neq 0$, the rank of A is 3, so P_A has $(7-3) = 4$ parts, so must be $(3,2,1,1)$. Consequently, each of the two irreducible components of the intersection contains a dense open subset of matrices having Jordan type $(3,2,1,1)$. This proves the inclusion.

Conversely, suppose that $P_A = (3,2,1,1)$. Then $a_1 = g_1 b_1 g_1' = 0$ since P_A has no part 5 or 4. Since P_A has a single part 3, the rank of A^2 is one, implying by (4.31) that $g_1 g_1' = 0$. Since P_A has four parts, the rank of A is three. One possibility is $a_1 = b_1 = g_1 g_1' = 0, a_2 \neq 0$ as above. But another possibility is $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ and $a_3 b_1 - g_1' g_2 \neq 0$. This shows that $\mathfrak{Z}((3,2,1,1))$ has a third irreducible component outside of $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{1,2})} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P_{2,2})}$, which shows that the inclusion is strict. □

Jordan types of matrices commuting with $B = J_Q, Q = (5,2)$. Since $\mathfrak{Q}(Q) = Q$ the Jordan types of elements of $\mathcal{U}_B$ do not include the partitions (7) or $(6,1)$ that are greater than Q in the Bruhat order. We have seen that $P = (4,3)$ does not occur as a partition P_A for A in $\mathcal{U}_B$. It is straightforward to show that all other partitions of 7 occur as Jordan types for matrices $A \in \mathcal{U}_B$.

Let Q' be (another) stable partition of n. The algebraic set $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q') \subset \mathcal{U}_B$, being the finite union of locally closed sets of the form $\mathfrak{Z}(P') \mid \mathfrak{Q}(P') = Q'$, is constructible. For example, when $Q = (5,2)$, $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}((6,1)) \subset \mathcal{U}_B$ is the union of $\mathfrak{Z}((3,3,1)), \mathfrak{Z}((3,[4]^3))$ and $\mathfrak{Z}((3,[4]^4))$.

4.3 Proposed equations for the table loci when $Q = (u, u-r)$.

In this subsection we fix $Q = (u, u-r)$ with $u > r \geq 2$, and let $B = J_Q$. The following conjectures were developed in collaboration with M. Boij, using calculations in Macaulay 2 [20]. T. Košir pointed out to us that the quadratic equations that occur are polarizations of the 2×2 determinant, as described in [31], and studied more generally for the $k \times k$ determinant in [30]. By "equations of the locus" of P we mean a specific minimal set of generators of $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z}(P))$. Recall that $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z}(P))$ is the set of functions in $\mathsf{k}[\mathcal{U}_B]$ vanishing on $\mathfrak{Z}(P)$, it is a radical ideal of $\mathsf{k}[\mathcal{U}_B]$. We first give the larger picture, then we will specify the conjectured equations for each $P_{k,\ell}$ in the table $\mathcal{T}(Q)$.

Conjecture 4.16 (Table Loci Conjecture)**.** Let $B = J_Q, Q = (u, u-r), u > r \geq 2$. The ideal $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z}(P))$ for $P = P_{k,\ell}$ is an irreducible complete intersection generated by $k + \ell - 2$ specific sl_2-homogeneous polynomials of which $\min\{k+\ell-2, r-2\}$ equations are linear in the variables of $\mathsf{k}[\mathcal{U}_B]$, and the rest are quadrics. As $k + \ell$ increases along a fixed A row or a fixed B/C hook, in each step we adjoin a new equation to the set of equations for the locus of the previous partition. Each new quadric appearing in the locus of a partition $P_{k,\ell}$ on the $k + \ell = s + r$ diagonal in $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ is the sum of s determinants of 2×2 matrices of variables, and has sl_2 weight $2(k + \ell - 1)$.

We state a precise version of the Table Loci Conjecture first for the loci of partitions in the left hand column of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$, which require only linear equations. Then we generalize it for the other table loci, which, when $k + \ell > r$ involve quadratic equations.

We use the coordinates on $\mathcal{U}_B$ of Definition 4.6. For $\mu \in \{0, 1, \ldots, u-1\}$ and $\nu \in \{0, 1, \ldots u -$

$r-1\}$ we denote by $E(\mu,\nu)$ the set of variables

$$a_1,\cdots,a_\mu;\, b_1,\cdots,b_\nu. \tag{4.33}$$

Note that $E(0,0)=\emptyset$.

Conjecture 4.17 (Loci equations for the left hand column of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$)**.** Let $k\in\{1,\dots,r-1\}$. If $k_{t-1}<k\le k_t$ where $0\le t\le \min\{u-r,\lfloor\frac{r-1}{2}\rfloor\}$ and k_t is the integer defined in Definition 3.9 and Notation 3.12, then $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z}(P_{k,1}))$ is generated by the set of variables $E(k-t-1,t)$.

Remark 4.18. Conjecture 4.17 implies our earlier Conjecture 3.19 that the partitions in the first column of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ satisfy $P_{k,1}>P_{k+1,1}$ in the Bruhat order, for $1\le k\le r-2$.

Before we state the conjecture giving the equations for $\mathfrak{Z}(P_{k,\ell})$ for $P_{k,\ell}$ in $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ with $\ell>1$ we introduce some notation. Let $k_1,k_2,s\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $s\neq 0$ and $k_1+s\le u-1$ and $k_2+s\le u-r-1$ and put

$$X_s(k_1,k_2)=\sum_{v=1}^{s}\det\begin{pmatrix} a_{k_1+v} & g_v\\ g'_{s+1-v} & b_{k_2+s+1-v}\end{pmatrix} \tag{4.34}$$

Conjecture 4.19 (Loci equations for partitions in $\mathcal{T}(Q)$)**.** Let $k,\ell\in\mathbb{N}$ with $1\le k\le r-1$ and $1\le\ell\le u-r-1$.

(a) Suppose (k,ℓ) belongs to one of the sets A_t in Theorem 3.13, that is $P_{k,\ell}$ belongs to the (t,k)-th A row of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$.

  (i) If $k+\ell\le r$ then $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z}(P_{k,\ell}))$ is generated by $E(k-t-1,t+\ell-1)$.

  (ii) If $k+\ell>r$ and we put $k_1=k-t-1, k_2=t+(r-k)-1$ and $s=k+\ell-r$ then $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z}(P_{k,\ell}))$ is generated by

$$E(k_1,k_2),X_1(k_1,k_2),\dots,X_s(k_1,k_2). \tag{4.35}$$

(b) Suppose (k,ℓ) belongs to one of the sets $B_t\cup C_t$ in Theorem 3.13, that is $P_{k,\ell}$ belongs to the t-th B/C hook of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$, of which the partition in the left column of $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ is $P_{k_t,1}$.

  (i) If $k+\ell\le r$ then $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z}(P_{k,\ell}))$ is generated by $E(k+\ell-t-2,t)$.

  (ii) If $k+\ell>r$ and we put $k_1=r-t-2, k_2=t$ and $s=k+\ell-r$ then $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z}(P_{k,\ell}))$ is generated by

$$E(k_1,k_2),X_1(k_1,k_2),\dots,X_s(k_1,k_2). \tag{4.36}$$

Remark 4.20. (a) Note that the set of linear equations $E(k_1,k_2)$ in (4.35) does not depend on ℓ and that in (4.36) does not depend on k or ℓ: it is the conjectured set of generators of $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z}(P_{i_0,j_0}))$ where P_{i_0,j_0} is the unique partition $P_{k,\ell}$ (if it exists) in the A row or B/C hook under consideration for which $k+\ell=r$.

(b) The proof of (4.32) suggests that $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(P)}$ is in general less "nice" if $P\notin\mathcal{T}(Q)$: for $Q=(5,2)$ the locus $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}(3,2,1,1)}$ has at least three irreducible components.

The equations in $\mathcal{E}(Q)$ in Figure 5 on page 45 are the equations of $\mathfrak{Z}(P)$ for $P \in \mathcal{T}((5,2))$. When $r = 2$, as in the next example, Conjecture 4.19 asserts that all ideals $I(\mathfrak{Z}(P))$ are generated by quadrics.

Example 4.21. For $Q = (5,3)$ the ideal $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z}(P_{1,2}))$ is generated by $X_1(0,0) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & g_1 \\ g_1' & b_1 \end{pmatrix}$; the ideal $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{Z}(P_{1,3}))$ is generated by $X_1(0,0)$ and $X_2(0,0)$ where

$$X_2(0,0) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & g_1 \\ g_2' & b_2 \end{pmatrix} + \det \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & g_2 \\ g_1' & b_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 4.22. We have made some progress in showing these conjectures, which we plan to report in [7]. The Ljubljana colleagues T. Košir, P. Oblak and K. Šivic have connected these equations to the spaces of jets over classical determinantal varieties studied in [30, 31]. The conjectures above would suggest that our division of the tables $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ into A rows and B/C hooks is the combinatorial "shadow" of an underlying geometric structure.

5 The Box Conjecture.

We first recall P. Oblak's Recursive Conjecture for $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ and summarize results about it in Section 5.1. In Section 5.2 we state a Box Conjecture for $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ which is a generalization of Theorems 3.13 and 3.31. Section 5.3 links the conjectured cardinality of $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ with the number of partitions for which the lengths of the diagonal hooks are given by Q. In Section 5.4 we prove the analog of Theorem 3.13 in the special case that $Q = (u+s, u, u-r)$, with $r \geq 2$ and $2 \leq s \leq 4$.

5.1 Recursive Conjecture for $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$.

P. Oblak conjectured a recursive process for determining $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ from P that greatly influenced further work in the area ([6, 29, 27, 5, 24]). We state this process below in Definition 5.1.

Recall from Definition 2.15 that a U-chain C_a of $\mathcal{D}_P$ is comprised of three parts: first a chain through all the vertices in the rows of length $a, a-1$, corresponding to the almost rectangular subpartition $(a^{n_a}, (a-1)^{n_{a-1}})$ of P; then two chains linking those rows to the source and to the sink in the top row of $\mathcal{D}_P$. Recall also that the length $|C_a|$ satisfies equation (2.4): $|C_a| = an_a + (a-1)n_{a-1} + 2\sum_{i>a} n_i$.

Given a partition P of n and an integer $a \in S_P$ we denote by $P'(P,a)$ the unique partition of $(n - |C_a|)$ obtained by omitting the vertices of the chain C_a from $\mathcal{D}_P$ and counting the vertices left in each row. When $P = (\cdots, i^{n_i}, \cdots)$ we have that $P'(P,a) = (\cdots, i^{n_i'}, \cdots)$ where the multiplicity integers n_i' satisfy

$$n_i' = \begin{cases} n_i \text{ if } i \leq a-2 \\ n_{i+2} - 2 \text{ if } i \geq a-1. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

For example, when $P = (7,5,4,3,3,2,1) \vdash 25$ and $a = 3$ then $P'(P,3) = (5,3,2,1) \vdash 11$. The poset $\mathcal{D}_{P'}$ is in general not a subposet of $\mathcal{D}_P$ [5, 27, 24], which has made some work on the problem of determining $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ more challenging. The following recursive process constructs a partition $Ob(P)$ of n from a given partition P of n.

Definition 5.1 (P. Oblak's recursive process)**.** Suppose P is a partition of n. Let C_a be a U-chain in $\mathcal{D}_P$ of maximum length, and suppose that $Ob(P')$ where $P' = P'(P, a)$ has been chosen. Then we set $Ob(P) = (|C_a|, Ob(P'))$. When P is almost rectangular we take $Ob(P) = (n)$.

As just stated the partition $Ob(P)$ is a priori not well defined, since there may be different possibilities for the choices of maximum length U-chains. Originally, P. Oblak chose the largest integer a giving a maximum length U-chain in each step [6].

Several authors associate a partition $\lambda(\mathcal{P})$ to any finite poset $\mathcal{P}$ by first setting $c_i =$ the maximum number of vertices covered by i chains of $\mathcal{P}$, then setting $\lambda_i(\mathcal{P}) = c_i - c_{i-1}$, with $c_0 = 0$ (see [16, 18, 19, 41, 43, 9]). For the poset $\mathcal{D}_P$ the second author defined the partition $\lambda_U(P)$ using U-chains in a similar way, setting $c_{i,U}(\mathcal{D}_P) =$ the maximum number of vertices covered by i U-chains, then setting $\lambda_{i,U}(P) = c_{i,U}(\mathcal{D}_P) - c_{i-1,U}(\mathcal{D}_P)$. She then showed:

Theorem 5.2. *([27, Theorem 2.5]) The partition $Ob(P)$ resulting from the recursive process of Definition 5.1 is independent of the choices of maximum length U-chains in Definition 5.1 and is equal to $\lambda_U(P)$.*

Conjecture 5.3 (Oblak Recursive Conjecture)**.** The map $P \to \mathfrak{Q}(P)$ satisfies $\mathfrak{Q}(P) = Ob(P)$.

Evidently from Definition 2.10, the poset $\mathcal{D}_P$ is independent of char k. Since $Ob(P)$ is a combinatorial invariant of $\mathcal{D}(P)$ the Recursive Conjecture implies that $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ is independent of char k. Also, by definition $\lambda(\mathcal{D}_P) \geq \lambda_U(P)$ in the Bruhat order (3.27). The first and second author showed:

Theorem 5.4. *[24, Theorem 3.9] Let k be an infinite field. Then $\mathfrak{Q}(P) \geq \lambda_U(P)$.*

L. Khatami studied the smallest part of $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ and defined a somewhat subtle combinatorial invariant $\mu(P)$ [28, Definition 2.6]. Using a study of the antichains of $\mathcal{D}_P$ she showed

Theorem 5.5. *[28, Theorem 4.1] Let P be a partition of n and let k be an infinite field. The three partitions $\lambda(\mathcal{D}_P), \lambda_U(P)$ and $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ have the same smallest part, which is equal to $\mu(P)$.*

This, with P. Oblak's Index Theorem 2.8 implies the following result we will use later.

Theorem 5.6 (Oblak conjecture for $r_P \leq 3$)**.** *The Oblak Recursive Conjecture 5.3 is true over any infinite field k when $r_P \leq 3$.*

Remark 5.7 (Summary of results on the Oblak Recursive Conjecture)**.** Thus, the cases $r_P = 2$ [37, 29, 5, 47] and $r_P = 3$ [28] of the Conjecture have been known since 2012 (2008 for $r_P = 2$) and the map $\mathfrak{Q} : P \to \mathfrak{Q}(P)$ is explicit for $r_P \leq 3$. Theorem 5.4 of the first and second authors then showed "half" the Conjecture in all characteristics. R. Basili has proposed a proof of the Oblak Conjecture in [3]. We note that a characteristic zero proof of the Conjecture implies its truth over any infinite field,[4] since Theorem 5.4 implies that it is equivalent to $\lambda(\mathcal{D}_P) = \lambda_U(\mathcal{D}_P)$ – an entirely combinatorial issue.

[4]In contrast, which pairs of Jordan types occur for A, B with $[A, B] = 0$ depends on char k: see [8],[34, Example 22], and [5, Example 2.18].

Lemma 5.8. *[27, Proposition 2.7] Let P be a partition of n. Then $\lambda_U(P)$ has parts that differ pairwise by at least two.*

Recall that $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ has parts that differ pairwise by at least two when char $k = 0$ or char $k = p > n$ by Theorem 2.7. It follows from Theorems 5.4, 5.5, and Lemma 5.8 that $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ has parts that differ pairwise by at least two over *any* infinite field k when $r_P \leq 4$. When $r_P \leq 3$ this is because $\lambda_U(P) = \mathfrak{Q}(P) = \lambda(\mathcal{D}_P)$.

5.2 Key of a stable partition Q and the Box Conjecture.

We first define the *key* of Q, which determines the shape of the box $\mathcal{B}(Q)$ of partitions which conjecturally make up $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$.

Definition 5.9 (Key of Q)**.** Let $Q = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_k)$ with $q_1 \geq q_2 \geq \cdots \geq q_k > 0$ be a partition of $n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} q_i$ such that $q_i - q_{i+1} \geq 2$ for $i \in 1, \ldots, k-1$. Put

$$s_i = \begin{cases} q_i - q_{i+1} - 1 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \\ q_k & \text{for } i = k. \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

We call the sequence

$$\mathsf{S}_Q = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k) \tag{5.3}$$

the *key* of the stable partition Q.

Example 5.10. The key of $Q = (u, u-r)$ is $\mathsf{S}_Q = (r-1, u-r)$. The key of $Q = (11, 6, 2)$ is $\mathsf{S}_Q = (4, 3, 2)$.

Evidently, the assignment $Q \to \mathsf{S}(Q)$ is a bijection between the set of partitions with k parts that differ pairwise by at least two and $\mathbb{Z}^k_{>0}$. It is easy to see that the inverse to (5.2) is

$$\begin{aligned} q_i &= \left(\sum_{j \geq i} s_j\right) + k - i, \\ n &= \left(\sum i \cdot s_i\right) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

We now state a conjecture generalizing the Table Theorem (i.e. Theorems 3.13, and 3.31) and Conjecture 4.16.

Conjecture 5.11 (Box Conjecture)**.** Let Q be a partition having k parts that differ pairwise by at least two, and assume that the key of Q is $\mathsf{S}_Q = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k)$.

(a) There is an $s_1 \times s_2 \cdots \times s_k$ array ("box") $\mathcal{B}(Q)$ of distinct partitions

$$\mathcal{B}(Q) = \{P_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_u \leq s_u\}, \tag{5.5}$$

such that $P_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_k}$ has $\sum_{1 \leq u \leq k} i_u$ parts and satisfies $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_k}) = Q$.

(b) The cardinality $|\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)| = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} s_i$. Equivalently, given (a), the set of partitions in $\mathcal{B}(Q)$ is the complete set $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$.

(c) Let $B = J_Q$. The codimension of the locus $\mathfrak{Z}(P_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_k})$ of $P_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_k}$ in $\mathcal{U}_B$ is $(\sum_{u=1}^{k} i_u) - k$. This locus is a complete intersection defined by irreducible equations of degree at most k in the coordinates of $\mathcal{U}_B$.

Remark 5.12. In principle Oblak's Recursive Conjecture 5.3 for the map $P \to \mathfrak{Q}(P)$ should allow to decide the purely combinatorial parts (a)-(b) of the Box Conjecture. We follow this strategy in Section 5.4 to prove part (a) of the Box Conjecture for certain Q. Of course, a deeper understanding of $\mathcal{B}(Q)$ and Conjecture 5.11 could very well give a new approach to showing Conjecture 5.3.

Note that when $s_i = 1$ there is no contribution of this part of the key to the conjectured cardinality $|\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)|$, a fact that was known at least in the case when $\mathsf{S}_Q = (1, 1, \ldots, 1, s_k)$ (see [38, Theorem 4.1]). Since the cases where $k = 3$ and s_1, s_2, or s_3 is 1 are relatively easy, we give below several (of many) examples where we have verified Conjecture 5.11 (a),(b) for a partition Q with $k = 3$ parts, where no s_i is equal to 1.

Example 5.13. Let $Q = (8, 5, 2) \vdash 15$ so $\mathsf{S}_Q = (2, 2, 2)$. Then $|\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)| = 8$. The two floors of $\mathcal{B}(Q)$ are

$$\begin{pmatrix} (8,5,2) & (8,5,1^2) \\ (8,4,2,1) & (8,4,1^3) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} (7,4,2^2) & (7,4,2,1^2) \\ (7,3^2,1^2) & (7,4,1^4) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.6}$$

The floor at left are the partitions obtained from $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}((5, 2))$ by adjoining the part 8. The partitions in the second floor at right are obtained by adjoining 7 to those partitions P' in $\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}((6, 2))$ having no part 6.

Example 5.14. Let $Q = (9, 6, 3) \vdash 18$, so $\mathsf{S}_Q = (2, 2, 3)$. Then $|\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)| = 12$. The two floors of $\mathcal{B}(Q)$ are

$$\begin{pmatrix} (9,6,3) & (9,6,[3]^2) & (9,6,[3]^3) \\ (9,5,[4]^2) & (9,5,[4]^3) & (9,5,[4]^4) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} (8,5,[5]^2) & (8,[7]^2,[3]^2) & (8,[7]^2,[3]^3) \\ (8,5,[5]^3) & (8,5,[5]^4) & (8,5,[5]^5) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.7}$$

The two other partitions whose keys are permutations of S_Q are $(9, 5, 2) \vdash 16$ corresponding to key $(3, 2, 2)$ and $(9, 6, 2) \vdash 17$ corresponding to key $(2, 3, 2)$. For $Q = (9, 6, 2) \vdash 17$ the array $\mathcal{B}(Q)$ has the following two floors:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (9,6,2) & (9,6,1,1) \\ (9,4,2^2) & (9,4,2,1^2) \\ (9,3^2,1^2) & (9,4,1^4) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} (8,4,3,2) & (8,4,3,1^2) \\ (8,4,2^2,1) & (8,4,2,1^3) \\ (8,3^2,1^3) & (8,4,1^5) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.8}$$

For $Q = (9, 5, 2) \vdash 16$ the array $\mathcal{B}(Q)$ has these floors:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (9,5,2) & (9,5,1,1) \\ (9,4,2,1) & (9,4,1^3) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} (7,4,3,2) & (7,4,3,1^2) \\ (7,4,2^2,1) & (7,4,2,1^3) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} (6,[7]^2,[3]^2) & (7,3^2,1^3) \\ (6,[7]^2,[3]^3) & (7,4,1^5) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.9}$$

We now give the simplest example with no $s_i = 1$ such that Q has four parts.

Example 5.15. Let $Q = (11, 8, 5, 2) \vdash 26$, so $\mathsf{S}_Q = (2, 2, 2, 2)$. Then $|\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)| = 16$, conveniently viewed with the 4-D glasses supplied to the reader. We view $\mathcal{B}(Q)$ as having two $2\times2\times2$ floors. The first floor is obtained by adjoining the part 11 to each element of $\mathcal{B}((8, 5, 2))$ in display (5.6). The second floor is

$$\begin{pmatrix} (10,7,4,3,2) & (10,7,4,3,1^2) \\ (10,7,4,2^2,1) & (10,7,4,2,1^3) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} (10,6,4,3,2,1) & (10,7,3^2,1^3) \\ (10,6,4,3,1^3) & (10,7,4,1^5) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.10}$$

5.3 Diagonal hook lengths and the Box Conjecture.

Part (b) of the Box Conjecture asserts that $|\mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)| = |\mathcal{B}(Q)| = \Pi_{i=1}^{k} s_i$ for Q a partition with k parts that differ pairwise by at least two. In Lemma 5.16 we observe that the set $\mathcal{D}HL(Q)$ of partitions having diagonal hook lengths Q has this cardinality. This means that our Box Conjecture is consistent with the count of all partitions of n, according to their numbers of parts (see Remark 5.18).

The *rank* $k = k(P)$ of a partition P is the side of its Durfee square, the largest square that fits into the upper left corner of its Ferrers graph. Let P be any partition. The *principal* or *diagonal hook-length partition* $dhl(P)$ of P is the sequence

$$dhl(P) = (h_{11}, h_{22}, \ldots, h_{kk}), k = k(P) \tag{5.11}$$

of lengths of the diagonal hooks of P [22, Definition 2.2]. It is readily seen that $dhl(P)$ has parts that differ pairwise by at least two. Let Q be such a partition. We denote by $\mathcal{DHL}(Q)$ the set of partitions P of n for which $dhl(P) = Q$. We expect that the following lemma is well known. We provide a proof because we could not find a specific reference.

Lemma 5.16. *Let $Q = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_k)$ with $q_1 \geq q_2 \geq \cdots \geq q_k > 0$ be a partition whose parts differ pairwise by at least two. Let $S_Q = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k)$ be the key of Q (see Definition 5.9). We have*

(a) The cardinality $|\mathcal{DHL}(Q)| = \prod_{i=1}^{k} s_i$,

(b) The partitions in $\mathcal{DHL}(Q)$ may be arranged in a box of dimensions S_Q such that the partition in the position $(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ has $i_1 + \cdots + i_k$ parts

Proof. We prove the Lemma by induction on k, the numberof parts of Q. One begins with one of the q_k Ferrers diagrams having a single length-q_k hook. The base case $k = 1$ is immediate: there are exactly q_1 partitions P (of rank 1) such that $dhl(P) = (q_1)$. If we arrange $\mathcal{D}HL((q_1))$ in a row of length q_1 as follows, then part (b) holds as well.

$$(q_1) \quad (q_1 - 1, 1) \quad (q_1 - 2, 1, 1) \quad \ldots \quad (2, 1, \ldots, 1) \quad (1, 1, \ldots, 1).$$

Now suppose $k \geq 2$ and let $\tilde{Q} = (q_2, \ldots, q_k)$. Then $\mathsf{S}_{\tilde{Q}} = (s_2, \ldots, s_k)$. By the induction hypothesis we can assume that

(i) $|\mathcal{DHL}(\tilde{Q}) = \prod_{i \geq 2}^{k} s_i$.

(ii) $\mathcal{DHL}(\tilde{Q})$ is arranged in a box of dimension $\mathsf{S}_{\tilde{Q}}$ such that the partitions in position $(i_2, \ldots, i_k)$ has $i_2 + i_3 + \cdots + i_k$ parts.

Let $\tilde{P} = P_{i_2, \ldots, i_k}$ be the partition in position $(i_2, i_3, \ldots, i_k)$ of $\mathcal{DHL}(\tilde{Q})$. By considering the "top" diagonal hook q_2 of $\tilde{P}$ we see that there are exactly $s_1 = q_1 - q_2 - 1$ ways to "bend" a hook of length q_1 over the Ferrers diagram of $\tilde{P}$ to obtain a partition P with $dhl(P) = Q$. By (i) this finishes the proof of (a). Let $\tilde{t}$ be the number of parts of $\tilde{P}$ and let t be the number of part of P. By (b), $\tilde{t} = i_2 + i_3 + \cdots + i_k$. It is straightforward to see that

$$* \quad P \text{ is uniquely determined by } \tilde{P} = P_{i_2, \ldots, i_k} \text{ and by } t; \tag{5.12}$$

$$* \quad 1 \leq t - \tilde{t} \leq s_1. \tag{5.13}$$



Figure 6: $\mathcal{DHL}((6,2))$: Partitions with diagonal hook lengths $(6,2)$.

The partition in position $(i_1, i_2, \dots i_k)$ of $\mathcal{DHL}(Q)$ is the unique partition P as in (5.12) with number of parts $t = i_1 + \tilde{t} = i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k$. Thanks to (ii) this completes the proof of (b). □

Example 5.17. For $Q = (6,2)$, then $\mathsf{S}_Q = (3,2)$ and we may arrange $\mathcal{DHL}(Q)$ as (Figure 6)

Here there are two possible orientations for the length 2 hook (⧫). For each, there are $6 - 2 - 1 = 3$ ways to bend a length 6 hook (•) around it to make a partition with diagonal hook lengths $(6,2)$.

Remark 5.18. (a) Let $\mathcal{P}(n)$ be the set of partitions of n and consider the following two decompositions of $\mathcal{P}(n)$ as disjoint unions:

$$\mathcal{P}(n) = \bigcup_Q \mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q); \tag{5.14}$$

$$\mathcal{P}(n) = \bigcup_Q \mathcal{DHL}(Q). \tag{5.15}$$

Here both unions are over the set

$$\{Q \vdash n \mid \text{ the parts of } Q \text{ differ pairwise by at least two}\}.$$

By (5.14) part (b) of the Box Conjecture implies that

$$|\mathcal{P}(n)| = \sum_Q \prod \mathsf{S}_Q, \tag{5.16}$$

where $\prod \mathsf{S}_Q$ is the product of the components of the key S_Q of Q. Lemma 5.16 and (5.15) prove that this consequence of the Box Conjecture is true.

(b) Lemma 5.16 and the Box Conjecture imply the existence of a number of parts preserving isomorphism between $\mathcal{DHL}(Q)$ and $\mathfrak{D}^{-1}(Q)$. Conversely, the existence of a number of parts preserving bijection $\mathcal{DHL}(Q) \to \mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ would, by Lemma 5.16, imply part (b) of the Box Conjecture.

(c) A direct count of the partitions P for which r_P is equal to a fixed number k would also contribute to showing part (b) of the Box Conjecture (the invariant r_P is defined on page 2).

5.4 Box Conjecture for certain partitions Q with three parts.

In this section we use a method similar to certain steps in the proof of our main Theorem 3.13 to show part (a) of the Box Conjecture– "filling the box" – for a few (infinite) families of partitions Q with three parts. Recall from Theorem 5.6 and Lemma 5.8 that if $r_P \leq 3$ then $Q = \mathfrak{Q}(P)$ has parts that differ pairwise by at least two.

Theorem 5.19. *Let $Q = (u+s, u, u-r)$ with $2 \leq s \leq 4$ and $2 \leq r$. There is an array $\mathcal{B}(Q)$ of dimensions $(s-1) \times (r-1) \times (u-r)$ of partitions*

$$\mathcal{B}(Q) = \{P_{j,k,\ell}, 1 \leq j \leq s-1, 1 \leq k \leq r-1, 1 \leq \ell \leq u-r\} \tag{5.17}$$

such that $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{j,k,\ell}) = Q$ and $P_{j,k,\ell}$ has $j+k+\ell$ parts.

This theorem covers all keys $\mathsf{S}_Q = (s-1, r-1, u-r)$ with $1 \leq s-1 \leq 3$. Like Theorem 3.13 we prove it using Oblak's Recursive Conjecture, which is known for $r_P \leq 3$ (see Theorem 5.6). We now split Theorem 5.19 into three cases, $s = 2$ in Lemma 5.20, $s = 3$ in Lemma 5.22 and $s = 4$ in Proposition 5.23.

Lemma 5.20. *Let $Q = (u+2, u, u-r)$ with $u > r \geq 2$. For $k \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, u-r\}$ put*

$$P_{1,k,\ell} = (u+2, P_{k,\ell}),$$

where $P_{k,\ell}$ is the partition in $\mathcal{T}((u, u-3))$ defined in Theorem 3.13. Then $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{1,k,\ell}) = Q$ and $P_{1,k,\ell}$ has $1+k+\ell$ parts for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, u-r\}$

Proof. For all $P_{k,\ell} \in \mathcal{T}((u, u-r))$, the largest part of $P_{k,\ell}$ is at most u so it differs from $u+2$ by at least 2. It follows from Theorem 5.6 that $\mathfrak{Q}((u+2, P_{k,\ell})) = Q$. The claim about the number of parts of $P_{1,k,\ell}$ is immediate from Theorem 3.13(d). □

Lemma 5.21. *Let $Q' = (u, u-r)$ with $u > r \geq 2$. In $\mathcal{T}(Q')$ all partitions in a fixed A row or fixed B/C hook have largest part.*

Proof. Immediate from the description of $P_{k,\ell}$ in Theorem 3.13. □

Lemma 5.22. *Let $Q = (u+3, u, u-r)$ with $u > r \geq 2$. For $k \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, u-r\}$ put*

$$P_{1,k,\ell} = (u+3, P_{k,\ell}),$$

where $P_{k,\ell}$ is the partition in $\mathcal{T}((u,u-r))$ defined in Theorem 3.13, and put

$$P_{2,k,\ell} = (u+2, P_{k+1,\ell}),$$

where $P_{k+1,\ell}$ is the partition in $\mathcal{T}((u+1,u-r))$ defined in Theorem 3.13. Then $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{j,k,\ell}) = Q$ and $P_{j,k,\ell}$ has $j+k+\ell$ parts for all $j \in \{1,2\}, k \in \{1,\dots,r\}$ and $\ell \in \{1,\dots,u-r\}$

Proof. Since the largest part of every partition in $\mathcal{T}((u,u-r))$ is at most u, it follows from Theorem 5.6 that for all $P_{k,\ell} \in \mathcal{T}((u,u-r))$, we get $\mathfrak{Q}((u+3,P_{k,\ell})) = Q$, as desired.
Now we consider partitions of the form $P = (u+2, P_{k+1,\ell})$ with $P_{k+1,\ell} \in \mathcal{T}(u+1,u-r)$. First we consider $P_{2,1} \in \mathcal{T}((u+1,u-r))$. Since in $\mathcal{T}((u+1,u-r))$, $k_0 = \lceil \frac{u+1}{u-r+1} \rceil$, we have

$$P_{2,1} = \begin{cases} ([u+1]^2, u-r) & \text{(Type A) if } 2r > u+1; \\ \\ (u-r+2, [u-1]^2) & \text{(Type B) if } 2r \le u+1. \end{cases}$$

If $2r > u+1$ then $\frac{u+1}{2} < r$ and therefore $\lceil \frac{u+1}{2} \rceil \le r < u$ so the largest part of $P_{2,1}$ is less than u. By Lemma 3.20 and Lemma 5.21 It follows that all $P_{k+1,\ell} \in \mathcal{T}((u+1,u-r))$ with $k \ge 1$, have largest part smaller than $(u+2)-2$.

If $2r \le u+1$, then the largest part of $P_{k,\ell}$ is at most $u-r+2 \le u$. It follows again by Lemmas 3.20 and 5.21 that the largest part of all $P_{k+1,\ell} \in \mathcal{T}(u+1,u-r)$ is at most $(u+2)-2$.

Thus, in either case, the longest U-chain in the poset of $P_{2,k,\ell}$ has length $u+1+2 = u+3$ and it is the union of the longest U-chain in the poset of $P_{k+1,\ell}$ and the first and last vertices in the $u+2$ row of the poset of $P_{2,k,\ell}$. Once this U-chain is removed from the poset $P_{2,k,\ell}$ the remaining U-chains have lengths u (left over on top) and $u-r+2$ (the remaining vertices in the poset of $P_{k+1,\ell}$ union the first and last remaining vertices on the top row). Thus, by the Oblak recursive process, $\mathfrak{Q}((u+2,P_{k,\ell})) = (u+3,u,u-r)$, as desired. The assertion about the number of parts of $P_{j,k,\ell}$ is immediate from Theorem 3.13(d). □

Proposition 5.23. *Let $Q = (u+4,u,u-r)$ with $u > r \ge 2$. For $k \in \{1,\dots,r-1\}$ and $\ell \in \{1,\dots,u-r\}$ put*

$$P_{1,k,\ell} := (u+4, P_{k,\ell})$$

where $P_{k,\ell}$ is the partition in $\mathcal{T}((u,u-r))$ defined in Theorem 3.13; put

$$P_{2,k,\ell} := (u+2, P_{k+1,\ell})$$

where $P_{k+1,\ell}$ is the partition in $\mathcal{T}(u+2,u-r)$ defined in Theorem 3.13; put

$$P_{3,k,\ell} := \begin{cases} \tilde{P}_{3,k,\ell} & \text{if } k < r-1, \\ (u+2, P_{r+1,\ell}) & \text{if } k = r-1 \text{ where } P_{r+1,\ell} \in \mathcal{T}((u+2,u-r)) \end{cases}$$

and where

$$\tilde{P}_{3,k,\ell} := \begin{cases} ([u+4]^2, P_{k+1,\ell}) & \text{if } 2r > u+2, \text{where } P_{k+1,\ell} \in \mathcal{T}((u,u-r)); \\ (u-r+4, P_{3,\ell}) & \text{if } 2r \le u+2 \text{ and } k = 1 \text{ where } P_{3,\ell} \in \mathcal{T}((u+2,u-2)); \\ (u-r+4, P_{3,(u-r)+(k-1)}) & \text{if } 2r \le u+2 \text{ and } k > 1 \text{ and } \ell = u-r, \\ & \text{where } P_{3,(u-r)+(k-1)} \in \mathcal{T}((u+2,u-2)); \\ ([u+4]^2, P_{k+1,\ell}) & \text{if } 2r \le u+2 \text{ and } k > 1 \text{ and } \ell \le u-r-1, \\ & \text{where } P_{k+1,\ell} \in \mathcal{T}((u,u-r)). \end{cases} ;$$

Then $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{j,k,\ell}) = Q$ and $P_{j,k,\ell}$ has $j+k+\ell$ parts for all $j \in \{1,2,3\}$, $k \in \{1,\dots,r-1\}$ and $\ell \in \{1,\dots,u-r\}$.

Proof. Since the largest part of every partition in $\mathcal{T}((u,u-r))$ is at most u, it follows readily from Theorem 5.6 that $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{1,k,\ell}) = Q$ for all k,ℓ.

To prove that $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{2,k,\ell}) = Q$ and $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{3,r-1,\ell}) = Q$ for all k and ℓ we begin by claiming that the largest part of $P_{2,k,\ell}$ and $P_{3,r-1,\ell}$, namely $u+2$, differs from the second part by at least 2. Since by Lemmas 3.20 and Lemma 5.21 the largest part of every $P_{i,j} \in \mathcal{T}(u+2,u-r)$ with $i \geq 2$ is at most equal to the largest part of $P_{2,1} \in \mathcal{T}(u+2,u-r)$, it is enough to compare the largest part of $P_{2,1}$ and $u+2$. Theorem 3.13 tells us what the largest part of $P_{2,1}$ is, depending on whether $P_{2,1}$ is type A or B.

If $P_{2,1}$ is of type B then its largest part is $u-r+2$ which is at most u because r is at least 2. On the other hand, if $P_{2,1}$ is of type A then its largest part is $\lceil \frac{u+2}{2} \rceil$. We have

$$\left\lceil \frac{u+2}{2} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{u}{2} \right\rceil + 1 \leq \frac{u+1}{2} + 1,$$

which is less than u, because u is at least 3. In either case the largest part of $P_{2,1}$ is at most u. This proves the Claim. It now follows, as in the proof of Lemma 5.22, that the Oblak recursive process implies that $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{2,k,\ell}) = \mathfrak{Q}(P_{3,k,\ell}) = Q$.

What's left is to prove that $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{3,k,\ell}) = Q$ when $1 \leq k \leq r-1$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq u-r$. If $r = 2$, then there are no partitions $\tilde{P}(3,k,\ell)$ and therefore there is nothing left to prove. We assume that $r > 2$. Consequently, we also have $u > 3$.

Case 1. Assume that $2r > u+2$.

Let $P_{2,1} \in \mathcal{T}((u,u-r))$. Then since $2r > u+2$, we get $u > 2(u-r+1)$, and therefore $k_0 = \lceil \frac{u}{u-r+1} \rceil \geq 3$. Therefore, $P_{2,1}$ is of type A and so $P_{2,1} = ([u]^2, u-r)$.

Note that the largest part of $([u]^2, u-r)$ is $\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil$, and the smallest part of $[u+4]^2$ is $\lfloor \frac{u+4}{2} \rfloor$. So if u is even then the difference is 2, which implies that $\mathfrak{Q}(\tilde{P}_{3,k,\ell}) = Q$, as desired. Now assume that u is odd. Then

$$\tilde{P}_{3,k,\ell} = \big([u+4]^2, [u]^2, u-r\big) = \left(\frac{u+1}{2}+2, \frac{u+1}{2}+1, \frac{u+1}{2}, \frac{u-1}{2}, u-r\right).$$

One checks that C_a, with $a = \frac{u+1}{2}$, the largest part of $P_{2,1}$, is one of the maximum-length U-chains in the poset of $\tilde{P}_{3,1,1}$ and then that the Oblak recursive pricess starting with C_a gives $\mathfrak{Q}(\tilde{P}_{3,1,1}) = Q$. Since by Lemmas 3.20 and 5.21 the largest part of each partition in $\{P_{i,j} \in \mathcal{T}((u,u-r)) \mid i \geq 2\}$ is less than or equal to the largest part of $P_{2,1}$, it follows that $\mathfrak{Q}(\tilde{P}_{3,k,\ell}) = Q$ for $1 \leq k \leq r-1$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq u-r$, as desired.

Case 2. Assume that $2r \leq u+2$.

We first deal with the partitions $\tilde{P}_{3,k,\ell}$ where $k = 1$ or where $k > 1$ and $\ell = u-r$. All these partitions have the form $(u-r+4, P_{3,j})$ where $P_{3,j} \in \mathcal{T}((u+2,u-2))$ with $j \leq u-3$. Note that since $(u+2)-(u-2) = 4$, we have for $\mathcal{T}((u+2,u-2))$ that $t_{\max} = 0$ and by Lemma 3.11(a) that $k_0 = 2$. Since by Notation 3.12, we have $k_1 = 4$, and since by assumption $u > 3$, so that $u+2 \geq 6$, it follows from Theorem 3.13 that $P_{3,1} \in \mathcal{T}((u+2,u-2))$ is of type A and that its largest part is $\lceil \frac{u+1}{2} \rceil$. From the assumption $2r \leq u+2$ we obtain $2(u-r+2) \geq u+2$, whence $\frac{u+2}{2} \leq u-r+2$. It follows that $\lceil \frac{u+2}{2} \rceil \leq u-r+2$. Since all $P_{3,j}$ lie in the same A

row of $\mathcal{T}((u+2,u-2))$ it follows from Lemma 5.21 that for all $j \le u-3$ the largest part of $P_{3,j}$ differs from $u-r+4$ by at least 2. It now follows, as in the proof of Lemma 5.22 that the Oblak recursive process gives $\mathfrak{Q}(\tilde{P}_{3,k,\ell}) = Q$ when $k=1$ or when $k>1$ and $\ell = u-r$.

Finally, we deal with the partitions $\tilde{P}_{3,k,\ell}$ where $k \ge 1$ and $\ell \le u-r-1$. Note that since $1 \le k < r-1$ such partitions only exist if $r \ge 4$. The assumption $2r \le u+2$ then also yields $u-r \ge 2$. By (3.17) it follows that $k_1 \ge 4$ for $\mathcal{T}((u,u-r))$. Moreover the assumption $2r \le u+2$ also implies that $u \le 2(u-r+1)$ and so $k_0 = \lceil \frac{u}{u-r+1} \rceil \le 2$. By Theorem 3.13 $P_{3,1} \in \mathcal{T}((u,u-2))$ is of type A and its largest part is $\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil$. By Lemmas 3.20 and 5.21 all $P_{k+1,\ell} \in \mathcal{T}((u,u-2))$ with $k>1$ and $\ell \le u-r-1$ have largest part at most $\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil$. We now conclude, with the same argument as in Case 1, that $\mathfrak{Q}(\tilde{P}_{3,k,\ell}) = Q$.

We have shown that $\mathfrak{Q}(P_{j,k,\ell}) = Q$ for all $j \in \{1,2,3\}, k \in \{1,\dots,r-1\}, \ell \in \{1,\dots,u-r\}$. The assertion about the number of parts of $P_{j.k,\ell}$ follows readily by applying Theorem 3.13(d). □

Remark 5.24. We note that Theorem 5.19 confirms part (a) of Conjecture 5.11 for the partitions Q under consideration, but does not show part (b).

Example 5.25. In Figure 7, where we write $\mathsf{Q}^{-1}(Q)$ for $\mathcal{T}(Q)$, we specify the box $\mathcal{B}(Q)$ for $Q=(11,7,3)$. Since the key of Q is $\mathsf{S}_Q = (3,3,3)$, $\mathcal{B}(Q)$ is a $3\times 3\times 3$ cube. Observe how the picture suggests a decomposition of $\mathcal{B}(Q)$ into partial rows, and possibly three-dimensional hooks, generalizing Corollary 3.15.

Funding. The third-named author received support from The City University of New York PSC-CUNY Research Award Program and from the National Science Foundation through grant number DMS-1407394.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Polona Oblak and Tomaz Košir for their inspiring work on the $P \to \mathfrak{Q}(P)$ problem, and to Polona Oblak for a second fascinating conjecture that was the start of our work. The first author is grateful to Tomaz Košir and his colleagues for an invitation to visit U. Ljubljana in June of 2014, and for discussions he had there.

We thank Mats Boij for his contributions to the paper: the first author is grateful to him for an invitation and appreciative of the support of the Mathematics Department of KTH Stockholm during his visit in May 2014. Discussions during this visit and subsequent collaboration led to the conjectures of Section 4.3 concerning the equations for table loci. We plan to address these jointly in a sequel.

We greatly appreciate comments of Don King, Alfred Noël and George McNinch, and a discussion several of us had with Barry Mazur. Dale Cutkovsky kindly provided the proof of Lemma 4.9.

References

[1] V. Baranovsky: *The variety of pairs of commuting nilpotent matrices is irreducible*, Transform. Groups 6 (2001), no. 1, 3–8.

[2] R. Basili: *On the irreducibility of commuting varieties of nilpotent matrices*, J. Algebra 268 (2003), no. 1, 58–80.

[3] R. Basili: *On the maximum nilpotent orbit intersecting a centralizer in $M(n, K)$*, preprint, 2014, arXiv:math.RT/1202.3369 v.5.

[4] R. Basili and A. Iarrobino: *Pairs of commuting nilpotent matrices, and Hilbert function*, J. Algebra **320** # 3 (2008), 1235–1254.

[5] R. Basili, A. Iarrobino and L. Khatami, *Commuting nilpotent matrices and Artinian Algebras*, J. Commutative Algebra (2) #3 (2010), 295–325.

[6] R. Basili, T. Košir, P. Oblak: *Some ideas from Ljubljana*, (2008), preprint.

[7] M. Boij, A. Iarrobino, L. Khatami, B. Van Steirteghem: *Loci of nilpotent matrices having a given Jordan type as maximum commuting orbit*. (In preparation, 2015).

[8] J.R. Britnell and M. Wildon: *On types and classes of commuting matrices over finite fields*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 83 (2011), no. 2, 470–492.

[9] T. Britz and S. Fomin: *Finite posets and Ferrers shapes*, Advances Math. 158 #1 (2001), 86–127.

[10] J. Brown and J. Brundan: *Elementary invariants for centralizers of nilpotent matrices*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 86 (2009), no. 1, 1–15.

[11] M. Bulois, L. Evain: *Nested punctual Hilbert schemes and commuting varieties of parabolic subalgebras*, preprint, 2013, arXiv:math.RT/1306.4838.

[12] D. Collingwood, W. McGovern: *Nilpotent Orbits in Semisimple Lie algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold (New York), (1993).

[13] G. Dolinar, A. Guterman, B. Kuzma, P. Oblak: *Extremal matrix centralizers*, Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (2013), no. 7, 2904–2910.

[14] Y. Drozd, V. Kirichencko: *Finite Dimensional Algebras*, Springer-Verlag (Berlin) (1994).

[15] E. Friedlander, J. Pevtsova, A. Suslin: *Generic and maximal Jordan types*, Invent. Math. 168 (2007), no. 3, 485–522.

[16] E.R. Gansner: *Acyclic digraphs, Young tableaux and nilpotent matrices*, SIAM Journal of Algebraic Discrete Methods, 2(4) (1981) 429–440.

[17] V. Ginzburg: *Principal nilpotent pairs in a semisimple Lie algebra. I*, Invent. Math. 140 (2000), no. 3, 511–561.

[18] C. Greene: *Some partitions associated with a partially ordered set*, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser A **20** (1976), 69–79.

[19] C. Greene and D. Kleitman: *The structure of Sperner k-families*, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A 20 (1976), no. 1, 41–68.

[20] D. R. Grayson and M. E. Stillman: Macaulay 2, *a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, Available at http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/.

[21] R. Guralnick and B.A. Sethuraman: *Commuting pairs and triples of matrices and related varieties*, Linear Algebra Appl. 310 (2000), 139–148.

[22] C. Gutschwager: *On prinicipal hook length partition and Durfee sizes in skew characters*, Ann. Comb. 15 (2011), 81–94.

[23] T. Harima and J. Watanabe: *The commutator algebra of a nilpotent matrix and an application to the theory of commutative Artinian algebras*, J. Algebra 319 (2008), no. 6, 2545–2570.

[24] A. Iarrobino and L. Khatami: *Bound on the Jordan type of a generic nilpotent matrix commuting with a given matrix*, J. Alg. Combinatorics, 38, #4 (2013), 947–972.

[25] N. Jacobson: *Schur's theorems on commutative matrices,* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 50, (1944). 431–436.

[26] J. C. Jantzen: *Nilpotent orbits in representation theory*, Lie theory, 1–211, Progr. Math., 228, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, (2004).

[27] L. Khatami: *The poset of the nilpotent commutator of a nilpotent matrix*, Linear Algebra Appl. 439 (2013), no. 12, 3763–3776.

[28] L. Khatami: *The smallest part of the generic partition of the nilpotent commutator of a nilpotent matrix*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 218 (2014), no. 8, 1496–1516.

[29] T. Košir and P. Oblak: *On pairs of commuting nilpotent matrices*, Transform. Groups 14 (2009), no. 1, 175–182.

[30] T. Košir and B. A. Sethuranam: *Determinantal varieties over truncated polynomial rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 195 (2005), no. 1, 75–95.

[31] T. Košir and B. A. Sethuranam: *A Groebner basis for the* 2×2 *determinantal ideal mod* t^2, J. Algebra 292 (2005), 138–153.

[32] Macaulay F. H. S.: *On a method for dealing with the intersection of two plane curves*, Trans. A.M.S. **5** (1904), 385–400.

[33] A. Malcev: *Commutative subalgebras of semisimple Lie algebras*, Izvestia Ak. Nauk USSR (Russian) 9 (1945), 125–133; English: Amer. Math. Sre. Translations No. 40 (1951).

[34] G. McNinch: *On the centralizer of the sum of commuting nilpotent elements*, J. Pure and Applied Alg. 206 (2006) # 1-2, 123–140.

[35] N. Ngo: *On nilpotent commuting varieties and cohomology of Frobenius kernels*, J. Algebra 425 (2015), 65–84.

[36] N. Ngo and K. Šivic: *On varieties of commuting nilpotent matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. 452 (2014), 237–262.

[37] P. Oblak: *The upper bound for the index of nilpotency for a matrix commuting with a given nilpotent matrix*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 56 (2008) no. 6, 701–711. Slightly revised in arXiv:math.AC/0701561.

[38] P. Oblak: *On the nilpotent commutator of a nilpotent matrix*, Linear Multilinear Algebra 60 (2012), no. 5, 599–612.

[39] D. I. Panyushev: *Two results on centralisers of nilpotent elements*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra, 212 no. 4 (2008), 774–779.

[40] D. I. Panyushev: *Nilpotent pairs, dual pairs, and sheets*, J. Algebra 240 (2001), 635–664.

[41] S. Poljak: *Maximum Rank of Powers of a Matrix of Given Pattern*, Proc. A.M.S., 106 #4 (1989), 1137–1144.

[42] A. Premet: *Nilpotent commuting varieties of reductive Lie algebras*, Invent. Math. 154 (2003), no. 3, 653–683.

[43] M. Saks: *Some sequences associated with combinatorial structures*, Discrete Math. 59 (1986), no. 1-2, 135–166.

[44] K. Šivic: *On varieties of commuting triples II*, Linear Algebra Appl. 437(2), 461–489 (2012).

[45] D. A. Suprunenko, R.I. Tyshkevich: *Commutative Matrices*, viii+155p. Academic Press, New York (1968).

[46] H.W. Turnbull and A.C. Aitken: *An Introduction to the Theory of Canonical Matrices*, Dover, New York, (1961).

[47] R. Zhao: *Commuting nilpotent matrices and normal patterns in Oblak's proposed formula*, preprint, 2014.

Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, Boston MA 02115, USA
E-mail address: a.iarrobino@neu.edu

Department of Mathematics, Union College, Schenectady, NY 12308, USA
E-mail address: khatamil@union.edu

Department of Mathematics, Medgar Evers College, City University of New York, Brooklyn, NY 11225, USA
E-mail address: bartvs@mec.cuny.edu

Mathematics Department, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 65211, USA
E-mail address: zhaorui0408@gmail.com


(11,P1,ℓ) for P1,ℓ in 𝒯(7,3), 1st A-row of 𝒯(7,3).
(11,P k,ℓ) for P k,ℓ in 𝒯(7,3) with k=2, and k=3 and ℓ=3; 1st B-hook of 𝒯(7,3).
(11,P 3,ℓ) for P 3,ℓ in 𝒯(7,3) with ℓ ≤ 2; 2nd A-row of 𝒯(7,3).
(11,7,3)
(11,7,[3]2)
(11,7,[3]3)
(11,5,[5]2)
(11,5,[5]3)
(11,5,[5]4)
(11,[7]2,[3]2)
(11,[7]2,[3]3)
(11,5,[5]5)
(9,P k,ℓ) for P k,ℓ in 𝒯(9,3) with k=2; 2nd A-row in 𝒯(9,3).
(9,P k,ℓ) for P k,ℓ in 𝒯(9,3) with k=3, and k=4 and ℓ=3; 1st B-hook in 𝒯(9,3).
(9,P k,ℓ) for P k,ℓ in 𝒯(9,3) with k=4 and ℓ ≤ 2, and k=5 and ℓ=2; 2nd B-hook in 𝒯(9,3).
(9,[9]2,3)
(9,[9]2,[3]2)
(9,[9]2,[3]3)
(9,5,[7]3)
(9,5,[7]4)
(9,5,[7]5)
(9,[7]2,[5]3)
(9,[7]2,[5]4)
(9,5,[7]6)
(7,P3,ℓ) for P3,ℓ in 𝒯(9,5) with ℓ ≤ 4; 2nd A-row in 𝒯(9,5)
([11]2,P3,ℓ) for P3,ℓ in 𝒯(7,3) with ℓ ≤ 2; 2nd A-row in 𝒯(7,3)
(9,P5,1) for P5,1 in 𝒯(9,3); 3rd A-row in 𝒯(9,3))
(7,[9]2, [5]2)
(7,[9]2,[5]3)
(7,[9]2,[5]4)
([11]2,[7]2,[3]2)
([11]2,[7]2,[3]3)
(7,[9]2,[5]5)
(9,[9]3,[3]3)
(9,[7]2,[5]5)
(9,5,[7]7)

Figure 7: Box $\mathcal{B}(Q)$ for $Q = (11, 7, 3)$